1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON						
3	PORTLAND DIVISION						
4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v.) June 6, 2019 Defendant. Defendant.) Portland, Oregon						
9							
10							
11							
12	STATUS CONFERENCE						
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS						
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL H. SIMON						
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20 21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	BILLY J. WILLIAMS
3		U.S. Attorney's Office 1000 SW Third Avenue
4		Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204
5	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
7		JARED HAGER U.S. Attorney's Office 1000 SW Third Avenue
8		Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204
9	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	R. JONAS ALEXANDER GEISSLER
LO		LAURA L. COWALL U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights
L1		Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
L2 L3		Washington, DC 20530
L4	FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF	PORTLAND:
L5		DENIS M. VANNIER City Attorney's Office
L6		1221 SW Fourth Avenue Room 430
L7		Portland, OR 97204
L8	FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF	PORTLAND: MARK P. AMBERG City of Portland
L9		City Attorney's Office 1221 SW Fourth Avenue
20		Suite 430 Portland, OR 97204
22	FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF	TRACY POOL REEVE
23		City Attorney's Office City of Portland 1221 SW Fourth Avenue
24		Suite 430 Portland, OR 97204
2.5		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	FOR INTERVENOR DEFENDANT PORTLAND POLICE ASSOCIATION:
3	ANIL KARIA Public Safety Labor Group
4	3021 NE Broadway Portland, OR 97232
5	FOR AMICUS ALBINA MINISTERIAL ALLIANCE COALITION FOR JUSTICE
6	AND POLICE REFORM: KRISTEN A. CHAMBERS
7	Wyse Kadish LLP 900 SW Fifth Avenue
8	Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97204
9	FOR AMICUS ALBINA MINISTERIAL ALLIANCE COALITION FOR JUSTICE
10	AND POLICE REFORM:
11	JESSICA ASHLEE ALBIES Albies & Stark, LLC
12	210 SW Morrison Street Suite 400
13	Portland, OR 97204
14	FOR AMICUS MENTAL HEALTH ALLIANCE: JUAN C. CHAVEZ
15	Attorney at Law PO Box 5248
16	Portland, OR 97208
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	COURT REPORTER: Dennis W. Apodaca, RDR, CSR United States District Courthouse
24	1000 SW Third Avenue, Room 301
25	Portland, OR 97204 (503)326-8191

ı	Ī						4	±
1				т	NDEX			
2	Ctatua	conference	hold Ju				5	
3	Scacus	Conference	nera ou	ne o,	2019		J	
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1 2 (June 6, 2019) 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 (Open court:) 5 THE CLERK: Your Honor, this is the time set for a 6 status conference in civil case 12-2265-SI, United States of America versus City of Portland, et al. 7 Could I have counsel, beginning with plaintiff, 8 please identify yourself for the record. 9 MR. GEISSLER: Jonas Geissler, USDOJ Civil Rights 10 Division for the United States, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Good morning. 13 MR. HAGER: Jared Hager, Assistant U.S. Attorney, for the United States. 14 15 THE COURT: Good morning. 16 MS. CHAMBERS: Kristen Chambers, counsel for AMAC. 17 THE COURT: Welcome. Good morning. MR. KARIA: Anil Karia, Portland Police Association. 18 THE COURT: Good morning. 19 20 MS. REEVE: Good morning. Your Honor, Tracy Reeve 21 for the City of Portland. 2.2 THE COURT: Good morning. 23 MR. VANNIER: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning and welcome.

Denis Vannier for the City of Portland.

24

25

All right. We are here for a periodic status conference on the settlement in the case of United States versus the City of Portland. I welcome all the parties here, including the amicus. I welcome the members of the public, who are in attendance. I welcome our city officials and elected officials and other dignitaries as well.

2.2

At the joint request of all the parties, I am going to proceed in the following fashion this morning: First, I'll hear from the United States, the plaintiff in the case, on the pending amendment relating to the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing. Then I'll hear the City's presentation on that issue, followed by comments from the Portland Police Association, and the Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police Reform, and then the Mental Health Alliance. Following that, I'll hear from a representative or representatives from the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing itself followed by any public testimony.

Let me tell you there is a sign-up sheet outside the courtroom. It has been reported to me that so far we have requests by the following members of the public to speak, and they will all be invited and welcome:

On behalf of Portland Copwatch, Mr. Dan Handelman, Regina Hannon, and Peter Parks; then also public-interested citizen Ann Brayfield; and then on behalf of the League of

Women Voters, Ms. Debbie Aiona.

2.2

After that public testimony -- and the public testimony will not be limited just to the pending amendment, but, frankly, the public is welcome to speak on anything germane to the settlement that they wish.

After that, I'll hear generally on the overall compliance assessment, again, first, from the United States, but then after that from the compliance officer followed by the AMA Coalition, the Mental Health Alliance, comments from the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing, the Portland Police Association, and the City.

Let me tell you all that I have received a number of documents, and I have read a number of documents, but I'm not sure I have everything. So let me tell you what I have received and read and then you can all tell me if I'm missing something.

I have received and read Plaintiff United States'
notice of fourth periodic compliance assessment and their
compliance assessment report, where I do note that there is
substantial compliance reported by the United States with most
aspects of the settlement agreement. The two aspects in which
there is a notation of only partial compliance; and hence,
partial noncompliance relates to an investigation time frame
issue, and then, of course, the Portland Committee on Community
Engaged Policing.

I have also received and read the City of Portland's notice of periodic compliance and the City of Portland's second memorandum and memorandum in support of the final approval of the proposed settlement agreement amendments.

I have received and read the June 2019 status report from the Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police Reform. By the way, here, I must say I found very helpful the articulation of the quantitative and qualitative metrics. That was something that I mentioned that I was looking for assistance on and needed to contemplate when we met about six months ago, and I found that articulation by the AMA very helpful. So thank you for that.

I have also received and read the June 19th status report from the Mental Health Alliance and also found that very helpful, and that's supported by the declaration of Mr. Chavez.

I have also received written comments from the League of Women Voters, specifically from Debbie Kaye, its president, and Debbie Aiona, its action committee chair, and I thank them for that.

I have received an extensive email from Portland Copwatch, Mr. Handleman, and I thank that organization for those comments.

I've received, separately, comments from Kalei and Ted Luyben, and I thank them both for their comments.

If anyone else has sent in written comments, I have

2.2

not seen it or received it or it hasn't been brought to my attention. If any other parties or anyone has sent in or filed something else, please call it to my attention because it has not been brought to my attention, or I must have overlooked it. But that's the identification of what I have seen and read in preparation for this hearing.

2.2

So unless anyone has any preliminary or procedural comments before we begin, I'm ready to hear the presentation from the United States.

MR. HAGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

At the outset I want to remind the Court and the public that the day-to-day work on this case is managed for the United States by the career attorneys of the Department of Justice, and we aren't driven by personal creed or political idealogy, but we serve justice and the rule of law. In this case we're committed to faithfully monitoring the City's compliance in representing the public's interests and constitutional policing.

This morning we are here to renew the joint stipulated motion to amend the settlement agreement by substituting the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing, or PCCEP, for the Community Oversight Advisory Board, the COAB. I will reference Docket 157.

The PCCEP reflects an intentional and inclusive effort to create a perpetual body to increase community

confidence in the Portland Police Bureau through systemic oversight and engagement. Its members have earned Your Honor's validation, and the PCCEP deserves the Court's final approval. It bears mention that final approval in this context does not mean the City is released from its obligation. It just sets in stone what that obligation is.

2.2

A bit of history will situate the United States justification for approval. The parties put the amendment before the Court in December 2017, pursuant to the process established by paragraph 184 of the agreement. The Court previously ruled that that process is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

City Council unanimously approved the amendment, but only after extensive revision following public comment. The United States agreed to the change, finding it necessary to overcome unforeseen obstacles to compliance and consistent with the principles embodied by the agreement. The PPA and AMAC -- that's the union and the Albina Ministerial Alliance, Coalition for Justice and Police Reform, they stipulated to the amendment as a path forward to achieving the goals of the settlement.

In April of 2018, the Court conditionally approved the PCCEP pending some experience that the body gets formed according to plan and functions well according to its design, and that's Docket 171.

In October 2018, the Court then deferred ruling on

the renewed joint motion until this status conference, and that's Docket 192. At that time the PCCEP had been formed, but hadn't yet held a single meeting. The record reflects the Court's wish, then, to learn a little bit more about how the PCCEP was proceeding before giving final approval.

2.2

We know a lot more now. Since October, the parties have invested quite a lot of time, quite a lot of money, and quite a lot of hard work into performing under the terms of the conditionally approved amendment. The PCCEP's volunteer members have too. Today, we have more than a facially reasonable set of terms. We have more than blind faith and a blank check, unverified by any account of experience.

Today, Your Honor, we have experienced a better informed Court's endorsement. The sweat equity that has been poured into the PCCEP is real. It is backed by demonstrable, quantitative data, and let me share some of that. The full PCCEP has held seven regularly scheduled three-hour long monthly public meetings/town halls. Meetings are scheduled for the fourth Tuesday of every month. They are scheduled out for the rest of the year. They are scheduled at accessible locations across Portland.

PCCEP has five committees that have collectively held more than a dozen hour- or two-hour long meetings that have been open to the public. These subcommittees accept public participation, accept the public as members of those

subcommittees, and we encourage everyone in this building, including the amici, including the public, to participate in those subcommittees.

2.2

Now, all of these meetings have given the community face-to-face opportunities to voice their opinion. There is one designated website that contains all of this information. It is at www.portlandoregon.gov/pccep.

Thousands of collective hours have been put into advancing the PCCEP's mission by city employees and contractors, by DOJ and compliance officer personnel providing technical assistance, by PCCEP members doing the work, and by Portland citizens giving public input.

The City has invested hundreds of thousands of dollars into supporting the PCCEP, including dedicated administrative staff, contract facilitation services, training, office and meeting space, catering, and monthly stipends for the PCCEP members.

Since its establishment, PCCEP has had 20 members, due to seven stepping down for personal reasons before the end of their term. All of them have stepped down for personal reasons, the most common of which is moving out of state. None have stepped down because of inadequate PCCEP staff support.

THE COURT: 7 out of 13 have stepped down?

MR. HAGER: 7 out of the original 13. They have been replaced by alternate members.

Before the initial pool of six alternates was exhausted, the City moved with deliberate speed to appoint a second slate of nine alternates, which PCCEP has now begun to draw on. A second round of training is in the works.

2.2

PCCEP has also made a handful of recommendations that the City has accepted, responded to in writing, except for the most recent one, which was formally recommended in the May meeting. Other recommendations have been proposed, but not passed, after vigorous debate. What we see is PCCEP building capacity, and we expect to see increased progress toward meeting substantive goals in the coming months.

Now, by highlighting these numbers, I don't mean to imply that PCCEP can't be better or to downplay its challenges. There have been a few, such as the high turnover that Your Honor just mentioned.

We are also mindful, and we appreciate and acknowledge some of the legitimate concerns that have been raised by the alliances, by the public, including the League of Women Voters, the Albina Ministerial Alliance, the Mental Health Alliance, and their status reports. But those relate directly to implementation, not to a structure of PCCEP, and they don't relate to whether the proposed amendment is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

Your Honor, adequacy of the amendment shouldn't turn on any particular snapshot of performance. That's not the

legal standard. More importantly, challenges have created opportunities to rise up to show resilience and improve, and PCCEP has not just that. It amended its bylaws to ask for a larger alternate pool. It ironed out early dissensions to a facilitated members-only retreat. Its leadership team has embraced the challenge of setting its own agenda and running meetings. Co-chairs Lakayana Drury and LaKeesha Dumas have performed that role admirably.

2.2

Now, at the last status conference, the

Reverend Dr. Haynes raised the importance of building

relationships, in addition to some of the qualitative and

quantitative measures I've just discussed. In this regard the

United States believes that PCCEP is hitting the mark. PCCEP

has connected with Chief Outlaw, with PPB' Office of Community

Engagement, led by Officer Natasha Haunsperger, and PPB's

Equity and Diversity Office, led by Elle Weatheroy.

PCCEP's members have also established relationships with the Office of Equity and Human Rights, the compliance officer, the Mayor's Office, and other relevant groups, including members of the Albina Ministerial Alliance and the Mental Health Alliance, who both participated in the training sessions for PCCEP members, and we will invite them again to participate in training the alternates.

There is active cross-pollination between PCCEP members and other city bodies, working to improve

police-community interaction. For example, PCCEP Co-chair,

LaKeesha Dumas, sits on the Behavioral Health Unit Advisory

Committee. Youth Sub-committee Co-Chair Britton Masback has

recently joined the Training Advisory Council. Newest member,

Vadim Mozyrsky, serves on the Citizen Review Committee.

2.2

Now, PCCEP has also established relationships with community members. It regularly takes comment from members of the public, including members of the amici groups, members of Portland Copwatch, Phillip Wolf, Ann Brayfield, Kalei Luyben, and others with whom this Court is very familiar. It has also heard heart-wrenching testimony from families of people who have been shot by police.

Now, last October, the Reverend Dr. Bethel talked about restoring hope. That's a lofty goal for any government body, especially so for a volunteer group of 13 citizens. But let me say I've walked with this group. I've watched them come together and become self-directed, and they are up for this task. Hope is a continuum, and we believe the PCCEP is moving the needle in the right direction. The City has endowed them with a broad mandate, the flexibility to set their own agenda, and a life beyond the settlement agreement. PCCEP creates a great chance for a lasting framework for community engagement and oversight, but they need the support of Your Honor.

In sum, we ask that you now give final approval to the amendment substituting PCCEP for the COAB for three

reasons: First, the parties stipulated to and presented the amendment in accordance with the settlement agreement. Second, the amendment is fair, adequate, and reasonable on its face, as evidenced by Your Honor's direction to perform under the conditionally approved amendment. Third, in practice, the PCCEP has demonstrated its adequacy.

2.2

At its core, conditional approval does just one thing: It preserves the possibility of rejection. At this stage, however, with the City fully invested and with volunteers having devoted substantial time and energy, rejecting the PCCEP would be both a disproportionate and inequitable response to any perceived shortcoming.

More importantly, this Court's approval today will validate the PCCEP's effort, the City's effort, and have a corresponding positive effect on the legitimacy of the PCCEP in the eyes of the public.

Your Honor, we ask that you please grant the joint stipulated motion to amend the settlement.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hager. That was very helpful.

Let me ask a follow-up question. You said a few moments ago that the PCCEP has demonstrated its adequacy, and when we were together last, last October, one of the questions that I posed to everyone is how will we know when the PCCEP is working? How we will know when the PCCEP's performance is

adequate? And you said they've demonstrated their adequacy.

2.2

One of the specific enumerations of criteria that I received in response to my question came from the AMA, where, in their filing at Docket 201, page 4, they identify 18 quantitative criteria or measurements for the PCCEP and seven qualitative criteria.

Does the United States agree that those are well stated and good criteria for measuring the adequacy of the PCCEP? Are there any that you would add? Are there any that you would delete?

MR. HAGER: We don't agree with all of them. I believe some of them conflate, very clearly, performance with adequacy of an amendment on its face.

With respect to the quantitative measures, I think we could agree with at least 14 of them, but we believe those have been met. That's 1 through 4, 7 through 11, and 13 through 17.

THE COURT: So the ones you don't agree with are 5 and 6. What else?

MR. HAGER: 5, 6, 7, 12, and 18.

THE COURT: Do you address that in your written submissions? I'm not sure I saw that.

MR. HAGER: No, we haven't, Your Honor. We received this on Tuesday and have not had a chance to get permission up our flagpole. Some of the things we do manage; some of the things we don't manage.

THE COURT: I understand. I'm not being critical. I am just seeking information.

Are there any other qualitative metrics or measurements with which the United States does not agree?

2.2

MR. HAGER: I would think all of them, and the reason being that these qualitative measures are even beyond the settlement agreement. They are very difficult to put on a PCCEP member. If the volunteers see this and say, "We aren't effective/we aren't functioning just because we haven't changed the culture of the Police Bureau," that's a heavy burden. I don't think the United States can agree to a single one of these qualitative measures.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Hager.

All right. Next, I look forward to the comments from the City regarding the PCCEP.

MS. REEVE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mayor Wheeler is here and would like to make some brief remarks. He has got city-sister mayors in town, and he is not able to stay all day. So would it be possible for him to make a couple of brief remarks?

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MS. REEVE: The podium?

THE COURT: What I generally do in this courtroom, wherever anyone feels comfortable standing, as long as I can hear you, you can stand wherever you want.

Welcome, Mr. Mayor.

2.2

MAYOR WHEELER: Good morning, Your Honor.

I hope today finds you well. As our attorney indicated, time is short. I wanted to be brief, but I wanted to be here and express my unequivocal support for the incredible work that I believe the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing has been doing. We have what I would describe as an extremely talented, passionate, and dedicated group of Portlanders who are working not only to improve the way the Police Bureau engages with the community, but I believe they have also improved the way that the City engages with the community. I also believe that the thoughtful work that these volunteers have been doing, some of which has been described by the U.S. attorney, should be honored, and it should be supported unconditionally. I ask the Court to grant full approval to the settlement agreement amendments that created the PCCEP.

Finally, I would like to add, from my perspective, while I don't follow chapter and verse, I think one aspect that we should have people talk about is the fact that there's independence of this committee, and they get to decide what issues they would like to raise, and they make recommendations to the City, to the Police Bureau, to the chief, to myself, and we implement the recommendations that they have put forward. So from my perspective, that is one measurement of success I

would like to put on the table.

2.2

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I appreciate your perspectives and your views and all that you are doing.

Ms. Reeve or Mr. Vannier.

MS. REEVE: Mr. Vannier will now address the amendment.

MR. VANNIER: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, Your Honor.

A year and a half ago the United States, the City, and the AMAC filed a joint stipulated motion to enter the amended stipulated settlement agreement in this case, and the City joins in the United States' legal arguments as to that motion, and so I will try to not duplicate them here.

But I do want to emphasize again that those amendments were adopted using the processes set forth in paragraph 194 of the original settlement agreement, which is now paragraph 184 of the amended agreement, and that is a process again that this Court had deemed fair, adequate, and reasonable at the time the original settlement agreement was adopted years ago.

Again, April 2018, this Court approved the amended settlement agreement, except for the amendments pertaining to the PCCEP, which this Court approved only conditionally; the condition being that the parties report back to this Court

within six months. The parties did so, reporting back to this Court in October of last year. At that time the City and the United States renewed their motion to enter the amendments to the settlement agreement related to the PCCEP. At the conclusion of that hearing, this Court deferred ruling on the parties renewed motion until today.

2.2

Now, as this Court is aware from the parties' written submissions, and as Your Honor will be hearing today, the PCCEP has been an active functional body since last fall. It has held monthly meetings since November of 2018. It adopted bylaws. It has formed four subcommittees, which have held 13 meetings to date. It has elected officers. It has held seven public meetings in a variety of locations across the city.

Two of those meetings have been town halls at which the compliance officer presented his quarterly reports. I also do want to correct just a small factual misstatement. I wanted to note that only six of the original 13 members of the PCCEP have resigned. The seventh was an alternate who had to move out of town, and so I did want to correct that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. VANNIER: So, in short, the PCCEP framework established by the amended settlement agreement has been implemented, and it is working.

Now, as Your Honor is aware from the City's memorandum in this case and from the United States'

submissions, the City is not yet in full compliance with the PCCEP amendments. I also want to note that criticism has been levied that PCCEP has not received adequate support because a new permanent program director has not been hired.

2.2

I do want to note, though, that following the resignation of the prior program director, the City had a very experienced and capable Office of Equity and Human Rights employee, Judith Mowry, serving as an interim program director. The City has also hired a very capable program assistant, who is providing program support and attending all meetings and has updated and expanded the PCCEP website. I want to note, as well, that the City has posted and is scheduling interviews for a permanent program director, but it is harder to hire when a program is only conditionally approved.

THE COURT: What's your evidence for that?

MR. VANNIER: Well, that is the information that was conveyed to me by my client in this case -- by the City. I would be happy to provide some evidence on that point if the Court requires that.

THE COURT: I would. I'm especially interested if that is a comment from any of the applicants or any people who have said that they've declined to be an applicant because it has only been conditionally approved.

I have tremendous respect for the City, but if it is just simply their opinion without other evidence that it is

harder to hire when there is only conditional approval, that's not as weighty as if there were evidence from applicants or people who would otherwise be an applicant. So I would appreciate any evidence on that point that you would like to provide.

2.2

MR. VANNIER: I understand. I also want to point out that yesterday Mental Health Alliance, in its filing, raised the point that would be desirable to have materials from the former COAB website accessible on the PCCEP website, and we agree with that. We will be doing that.

Another concern that was raised in the filings is that the PCCEP does not have an outside facilitator. But, again, the PCCEP was intended to have significant independence and self-determination. Today, the PCCEP has elected to self-facilitate its meetings, and that has been occurring capably by the two co-chairs. If PCCEP does request the services of an outside facilitator, then the City would provide one.

Finally, again, I want to address, briefly, the concerns regarding turnover. So four members have resigned because they have moved or are going to move out of the Portland area. Three members resigned for personal reasons.

THE COURT: I take it none of those members stated they resigned because there has only been conditional and not final approval; am I correct?

MR. VANNIER: I believe that's correct, Your Honor.
Yes.

All those vacancies were timely filled with volunteers, initially, who had gone through the original training process with PCCEP and now from a newly selected pool of alternates who are going through orientation as a group, and the City is qualified in maintaining a trained pool of qualified alternates.

I do want to note, though, that while this Court may hear concerns about the implementation of the PCCEP process, those concerns ultimately don't have any bearing on whether the amendments to the settlement agreement themselves are legally deficient.

THE COURT: Why not?

2.2

MR. VANNIER: They do not because the process for amending the settlement agreement is provided by paragraph 184 of the settlement agreement, and that process was complied with. And I do want to emphasize that the implementation of a settlement is something that happens after the settlement is adopted, and that is something that monitoring will go on even if this Court approves the PCCEP amendments.

THE COURT: Let me share with you my concern on that point and give you an opportunity to respond. I know that when I approved the original settlement agreement, it was before any implementation had taken place, precisely the arguments you are

making now, although by one of the predecessors for the City, and then we saw what happened, that there was non-compliance with a significant portion of it.

2.2

So perhaps from that lesson I needed to learn that just because a structure looks good and looks like it will likely result in compliance and even success, that maybe just simply having an appropriate structure is not sufficient, and that before approving any amendments, I would like a little bit more assurance that it is being implemented appropriately.

Is that an incorrect way to look at it?

MR. VANNIER: With respect, Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: By the way, "with respect" means, "Yeah,
I disagree with you," and that's fine. That's why I'm asking
you.

MR. VANNIER: Because, again, that is precisely why the settlement agreement embodies a mechanism for amendment, because, yes, amendments may prove necessary, and, yes, we acknowledge what happened with the COAB. So what I would say is the concern that Your Honor is voicing are concerns tied to limitation, but not to the legal standards for adopting something.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. VANNIER: Turning to the policy concerns that I do want to address briefly, the parties, members of the PCCEP, and the public need and indeed at this point deserve to know

that the PCCEP is not at this point a sort of second-class body under the settlement agreement or that it is somehow an afterthought but that it is an integral part of the community engagement process under the consent agreement.

THE COURT: If you want me to say that it is not a second-class citizen and it is an integral part, I so say it.

MR. VANNIER: Thank you, Your Honor.

2.2

Relatedly, the parties, the members of the PCCEP, and the public need clarity at this stage of the proceedings. The City needs to know what it is being required to comply with, the United States needs to know what it is monitoring, and the members of the PCCEP and the public need to know that the PCCEP is not ephemeral; that the rug will not someday be pulled out under them, but rather the PCCEP is a long-term body that has an integral and ongoing role to play in the process of police reform and accountability in the city of Portland.

Again, it is important to emphasize that approving the PCCEP amendments to the settlement agreement is the key step in that journey, but it is not the end of the journey. The parties will still report to Your Honor at these proceedings. The United States will still be monitoring the ongoing compliance and progress towards compliance under those amendments, but at this point there is no reason for further delay as a legal matter.

And for those reasons, Your Honor, the United States

and the City again renew their joint motion to enter the amended settlement agreement in this case. My understanding is that the AMAC does not oppose that motion.

Thank you, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vannier.

All right. Are there comments from the Portland Police Association, Mr. Karia?

MR. KARIA: Thank you, Your Honor. No comments beyond PPA expressing its support for both the arguments offered by Mr. Hager and Mr. Vannier and other members of the City's team and, in addition, support for the submissions by those parties with respect to the ongoing efforts of PCCEP.

I do want to note for the record that the PPA does continue to join in the motion for final entry of the PCCEP settlement agreement amendments.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Karia. I appreciate those comments.

Now, for the AMA Coalition, Ms. Chambers or anyone else that you wish to have speak for the AMA.

MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you, Your Honor. I will pass it over to my clients in just a moment here, but I wanted to preliminarily say, along the lines of what you mentioned earlier, the AMAC agrees that implementation and structure are very much intertwined, and we have serious concerns about some of the ways in which the PCCEP has not progressed at this point

and some trouble points.

2.2

My reading of the amendments to the settlement agreement is a big difference between the COAB and the PCCEP is once this Court approves the settlement amendments, there isn't a lot of leverage of the Court in terms of the actual structure of the PCCEP. So while AMAC believes that this very well could be a great structure that could work well, we just haven't seen enough of that yet to determine that.

I'm going to hand it over to Dr. Bethel here, who is joining us.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Chambers.

Whenever you are ready, Dr. Bethel. Welcome, sir.

DR. BETHEL: Good morning, Judge Simon. Thank you.

I appreciate the opportunity to remain seated --

THE COURT: Of course.

DR. BETHEL: -- to address the Court today.

This is a very, very important part of what I do for our community -- to be here. I rushed all of my physicians this week to kick me out of the hospital yesterday evening so I could be here this morning. I'm not here against their directions. I'm here because I am committed to this process.

I want to say to the Department of Justice, to the City, as well as to PPA, that the AMAC appreciates the work and the commitment of the PCCEP members and what they have done.

It has been a great job of them trying to attempt to replace

what was known as the COAB. The PCCEP is a good attempt at trying to do that for the oversight of the settlement agreement, but it has not shown nor exhibited for me the hope for replacement and action that we thought that PCCEP would bring.

2.2

I will state that there has been action, and as Mr. Hager stated, in the quantitative pieces. And, yes, there have been a lot of scheduled meetings. They are in scattered sites. Some of those sites are not the best sites to encourage community engagement because of location and the amount of space.

And there has been, even in those scheduled meetings, not a lot of participation or attendance by the community, not even as much by those who are the PCCEP members. In fact, in one of the meetings, or two, I'm told that only the co-chairs showed up, not even the other subcommittee members. So no substantial implementation really has been forthcoming.

Now, the City chose totally to be out of compliance dealing with the COAB and moved to end the COAB for over a year and then came with the conceived PCCEP. We won't rehash all of what we went through to get to that agreement, but we worked on that. But the COAB was problematic in that it had problems with keeping facilitators that could control the meetings.

The COAB was problematic in that there were people who always brought about unrest and made members of the COAB

feel unsafe. While some of them were addressed, some of them were not addressed, and when it began to reach what we might call some loggerheads, then what we had was the City just removed itself totally from it and created this new PCCEP. It was to be the new and improved and better model; however, that has not been the case.

2.2

While there have been scheduled meetings, as I've said before, and there are some forms of structure, those meetings have not been, again, well attended and therefore lacks the essential charge of the PCCEP: Community engagement.

If it is working, if it is effective, if it is doing what it is supposed to do, then community would be there. I need only go back and say that even though COAB meetings were contentious they were attended; the community was there. Now, perhaps some of the community did not appreciate them being there because of their actions, but they were there. We are lacking that now at these PCCEP meetings.

Again, we must say that we are not getting the information of these meetings as quickly as it is being made out to be. Sometimes it is less than two weeks. We ask for at least two weeks.

THE COURT: My understanding is that sometimes, too, the materials that will be discussed at the meeting aren't provided until about a day or two before the meeting. Is that correct?

DR. BETHEL: That's correct, from my understanding.

Then we move on to say that the training of the alternates have not risen, to my understanding, the level of the training given to the original 13 members of the PCCEP. If performance is not to be any of the criteria in which we evaluate its effectiveness, then the performance is not seen. If it is not manifested, then it is not working effectively and efficiently.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

When we talk about the quantitative things, we are talking about meetings being open to the public; members of the PCCEP and community members in attendance at each meeting; testimony being taken before votes; forms for the public; policy initiatives; developing metrics to measure possible bias to police stops; contact with the diversity of community members; internal training, independent assessment and implementation of the settlement agreement; response to COCL reports, including at quarterly town hall meetings; diversified locations; meetings in different communities; use of diversified cultures; meeting with community groups listed in PCCEP founding documents and others; to ensure diversity in the PCCEP membership; to maintain an active list of trained alternates so quorum is always met; announcements of meetings at least two weeks in advance to mass media, community media, and community; active work of subcommittees; to engage all of City Council, not just the Mayor, on the progress of PCCEP and

the PPB.

2.2

When we move to talk about the quantitative things -or qualitative things -- the DOJ, beyond the settlement
agreement, and it's difficult to put a PCCEP member in place,
we talk about change and transformation in the relationship
between the Portland Police and the community. While we
recognize that this is going to be ongoing and take some time,
we are not seeing those steps moving at this particular time,
in particular communities of color or houseless persons and
persons with mental illness or perceived mental illness,
reduction of the use of force and increase of deescalation.

It seems in the last few months, in the cases that we have had, that has been increased in terms of dealing with those who have been with mental illness or perceived with mental illness or in a crisis. It has been increasing rather than going down.

The reduction of disproportionate police in the communities of color. Stops are still out of sync compared to where we are in the city, particularly with persons of color.

Houseless persons and other vulnerable communities.

Use of stop data and force date and arrest data to measure progress.

Transformation in the Portland Police culture of "us against them."

Surveys that are meaningfully inclusive of

communities of color and not just sporadically used and then answered.

2.2

The issues that plague COAB are still present with the PCCEP: Lack of consistency in members. Yes, you're correct. Six are gone; one is an alternate. But the issue was the same with COAB. We had members leaving, and we could not get them trained.

I will go back and say again, on the very first meeting of the COAB, at the library, I said to then our judge who was facilitating, one of the things that we have not done, we have not provided for alternates, and we need to work on that. We went through all of COAB, and we really never got that addressed until after COAB was taken away.

We still are dealing with the facilitation. Yes, COAB members -- PCCEP members are saying they want to self-direct, but sometimes when you don't have the ability totally to self-direct, you need to ensure that there is someone beside to give you some assistance to go further.

When I learned to ride my bicycle, I put on those things called training wheels. The ability to pedal was my ability, but to balance I needed some help. I think PCCEP needs some help -- not overbearing -- but the City needs to provide that help to them.

I believe that, though, a program has not been approved, legally a candidate can be hired and can be working,

and that will help with the implementation as well as the outcome of what we should see.

2.2

Structure and implementation are not uniquely separated in this, and we need to see a map: How implementation goes to determine the adequacy of structure. Structure and adequacy are intertwined.

We appreciate the Court's question: Should we see how implementation is going to access the adequacy of infrastructure? We support and believe that that is true.

AMAC is not asking the Court to reject PCCEP, but it needs more time before it is finally entered in so that we can see that it will be working, for we have not seen that totally in the settlement agreement, and we do not believe at this time that PCCEP is ready to have that entered in.

Thank you, Judge, for your time and the Court's time.

If you have any follow-up questions, I will be happy to try to answer them or respond. If not, we do have some lawyers here.

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Bethel. I do have one follow-up question. You say that you are not asking that I reject the PCCEP, and, frankly, I'll tell you, I'm not giving any consideration at this time to rejecting the PCCEP. I think it shows tremendous progress, and it shows tremendous promise. But you tell me that you think more time is needed before I give it formal approval, which, among other things, would

result in a little bit less ability of the Court to exercise oversight.

2.2

How much time do you think is needed? How much more time do you think is needed?

DR. BETHEL: Judge, respectfully, I believe about another six months. The reason why I would have that -- that time -- the City can hire a person who can help facilitate and be totally dedicated to this process.

While I have great respect for the ones that they have used, O'Grant and Judith Mowry, and there's nothing against them or their character, utmost, I do really appreciate them, but I do not believe they are the ones that are 100 percent dedicated to doing this because they have other things in their portfolio, and that limits the amount that PCCEP gets. And PCCEP is kind of getting the treatment of being the stepchild.

THE COURT: The what?

DR. BETHEL: The stepchild.

THE COURT: Do you think that the PCCEP being perceived as the "stepchild," as you say, is in any way contributed to by the fact that I have only given conditional approval and not final approval?

DR. BETHEL: I do not believe that because it has conditional approval that it is being treated that way. I believe that it is just being treated that way to get to a

point to list the particular things that they listed as qualitative. We asked for a community organizer to help organize, get the word out, and bring people into the meetings, but the approval -- conditional or final -- does not keep it from being what it should be. If anything, because it has conditional approval, it seems like the pedal has been put to the metal to push it harder so that it would come in here sparkling so great that everybody would have to throw up their hands and shout, "Let's move with this thing." But, unfortunately, because it is not sparkling that way, we are saying we're reserved because we don't see it doing what it has the promise of being able to produce for our community.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Dr. Bethel. As always, I appreciate your comments and your insight and wisdom. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Chambers.

2.2

MS. CHAMBERS: I don't think we have any other comments from AMAC.

THE COURT: Do you want to address at this time some of the concerns that I have heard from the United States earlier in this hearing that they disagree with several of your proposed metrics, specifically 5, 6, 7, 12, and 18 of the quantitative side and all of the qualitative metrics? Any response that AMA Coalition can offer to that comment?

I understand they didn't submit it in writing. They

answered it in response to my question. I am putting you on the spot, if you want to answer it now, or if you want to submit something later, or both.

I'm going to give you this opportunity to respond now orally if you wish.

MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like the opportunity to submit something later in writing so my clients can weigh in on it.

THE COURT: Granted.

2.2

MS. CHAMBERS: But, just initially, I would suggest that, as far as these qualitative measures go, AMAC is not saying that all of this has to be accomplished before the settlement amendments can be approved. These are indications and aspirations and things that we see that there is progress towards and potential towards, and so it's a much bigger picture. We don't expect it is all going to be changed overnight.

But a lot of these quantitative measures are the things that we can actually look at and are they happening right now, and I would like to see from the DOJ why they don't believe certain line items are important or required, such as contact with the diversity community members and use of diversified cultures, because I think those would be very integral to a successful PCCEP, if we are working with all of the members of the community, and I think that that is

something that we haven't seen happening so far. So I would be curious as to why that would not be an important consideration.

2.2

THE COURT: Let me follow up with the following, because I think what you've given me in your status update -- your June 2019 status update is very helpful. But what I'm still struggling with is if I do not give final approval today, if I simply continue the conditional approval, what do I need to see to know when it's time to give final approval?

Let me share with you that, as I said to Dr. Bethel,
I'm not at all inclined, at least now not at all, to disapprove
it. I think the PCCEP shows promise and potential, and I think
it is going in a very good direction. I agree with the
comments that I've seen so far in writing that much more needs
to be done, and the implementation is not quite there.

As Mr. Vannier from the City acknowledged, the City is not yet in full compliance with the PCCEP amendments. But my question is, when will I know when is the right time to give final approval? Let me submit that I don't think that a correct answer is "never."

MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think at some point in time it is going to be time to either approve or disapprove.

MS. CHAMBERS: Correct. Dr. Bethel would like to answer your question.

DR. BETHEL: Thank you, Judge. No, the answer is not

"never," but I believe it should be stability. Stability can be determined by the stability of members staying constant; the stability of attendance; the stability or great emphasis placed towards a community organizer making sure that there are people in attendance at the meetings; and also that we would see stability from the City where the entire City Council is involved and kept up-to-date and not just the Mayor.

2.2

I think when we put some of those in, and some others, we will come to a place where we will say we are ready to move forward. I'm involved in something -- and this has maybe a different -- but in order to move from a particular financing of a venture in its construction phase to get to its permanent phase, it requires that we remain at a certain level of occupancy and maintain it for a period of 90 days.

If we cannot do that, then they say you are not ready. That's stability. Let's bring some stability to the PCCEP and watch us move this thing together. As we have been always in the position of saying, we're not here to just tear things down or see nothing happen. If that was the case, we wouldn't take the time that we have placed in this for over ten years in a congruent way. When we add up all of our community people who have been working on this in the last ten years, we are hundreds of years invested into making our community safe, not only for African-Americans, but for everyone, because what's good for us is good for everyone in the city of

Portland. Bring us stability. And they can accomplish this, I believe, within the next six months, and we will be willing to say it's time to move forward.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate those comments, Dr. Bethel.

Speaking of making the community safe for everyone, that includes those with mental health issues. I think now is the time, then, to hear the presentation on the PCCEP amendments from the Mental Health Alliance.

Mr. Chavez.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. CHAVEZ: Co-presenting with me is

Mr. Jason Renaud.

2.2

THE COURT: Of course. Welcome. Come on up.

MR. CHAVEZ: Again, Your Honor, we are grateful for your grant of our participation as an amici in this group and in this settlement. There are many comments this morning about what is the central question about these amendments as to its fairness, adequacy, and part of its equitability.

Now, that adequacy, that's not entirely for the City. That's for the community, and that is why you asked us to watch the PCCEP form, first, design for its implementation and to see whether or not it is a sound structure. I think at this time we cannot say positively or negatively whether it is a sound

structure.

2.2

To echo Dr. Bethel, we are awaiting for that kind of stability, that sign that we are there, and that there is enough paint on the canvas to know what this picture looks like. We might have chosen some of the colors, got that on a palette, but we don't know what this picture looks like. We need more time, more commitment, more resources; and again, more community participation, particularly from persons with mental illness to understand what we are dealing with.

To that end, many of these notes have been brought up in our brief and also by AMAC. But as to notices for meetings, fundamentally, social media is not going to cut it. There is enough constant out there to drown out whatever it is that's being put out by the City to notify the public about these meetings, and it's not, frankly, accessible for all people who might want to have input in these PCCEP community meetings.

We identified fundamental concepts that we need to see first. And from there, we can find, I'm sure, the other quantitative metrics being met by AMAC as well as the qualitative ones, and we will certainly be discussing the qualitative metrics later today when we are discussing the full implementation of the settlement agreement.

Fundamentally, substance is tied to process. We need to know whether implementation is happening to know whether or not the structure is sound. So, to that end, I would like to

invite Mr. Renaud up to discuss some of the substances that he has helped develop and to provide context there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Chavez. Welcome, Mr. Renaud.

MR. RENAUD: Good morning, Your Honor. I would like to thank the Court again for giving our allies the opportunity to participate in this process and being engaged formally with I also appreciate the amount of time the Court has this Court. allotted for public testimony both today and in prior conferences and the generous spirit that you have maintained over the years of these hearings. Because speaking up and speaking out is a necessary part of empowerment for oneself and for the community, persons with mental illness and addiction who are not here today but who may be directly affected by the decisions made in this room, it is essential for the credibility of the settlement that those making decisions on behalf of those areas take the time to listen and to learn. Thank you.

There are about, based on some epidemiology and census data, somewhere between 50,000 and 60,000 people who live in Portland who share the experience of severe and persistent mental illness. There is about another 80,000 people who could be diagnosed today with alcoholism. There is about, probably, 200,000 people or more who are affected by addiction of both illegal and legal drugs. This is going to be

a big number. There are maybe another 60,000 people in Portland who have personality disorders. That's a lot of cross-over between those groups, but it is clearly collectively one of the largest singularities in our community and by far the largest diagnostic group.

2.2

As we are aware, these are also the people who are arrested and tried and jailed and paroled. So there is no surprise that this entire diagnostic group is entirely estranged from law enforcement. That's no surprise at all.

Often people with mental illness have their worst day of their lives spent in custody. People with addiction, that was the day everything collapsed: The day they lost their jobs, the day they lost their home, the day they lost their kids, and some of those folks lost their lives.

so when the PCCEP plan and the PCCEP members speak about community engagement, that community -- excuse me -- when they talk about community engagement with reference to race or youth or a religious community or a neighborhood and not mental illness, I'm not surprised. Those communities are relatively easy to contact. They are easy to relate to. They are easy to engage with. It just takes money and skill and leadership and facilitation and persistence and more money. But it can be done successfully. The police can repair those relationships, if they want to.

But the separation between law enforcement and the

courts and people with mental illness is wide and rather terrifying. We read in the paper every day how people with mental illness are powerless and abused by law enforcement; how over half the people arrested in Portland are people who are homeless. And for us, that's just a phase or illness; it is not a condition independent of our illness. People are queued up on gurneys in hospitals and jails waiting to see a doctor. Downtown, people are sleeping in the gutters and howling at the moon. Our people are statistically the ones harmed by police.

2.2

So what do we do about this? I have ideas. You have ideas. I think everybody here has ideas. And as ideas are okay, that's a good start. They show some interest in the problem. But what are we going to do with these ideas? There are two things we can do. We can keep them to ourselves, or we can share them, talk about them, compare them, measure them, augment them, build on them, and throw them out and start over.

So where and when does this sharing of ideas happen? Well, we have agreed somehow that that's going to happen when the PCCEP gets around. After six months or so, the PCCEP still has not created a safe forum to discuss the notion of abuse of force against persons with mental illness. We have a little subcommittee that started it. The co-chair, who was due to be here today to speak, but, unfortunately, he doesn't have updated ID, so he was stopped by the gentlemen at the front desk.

But I don't think it is going to get there without 1 2 unbiased and unconflicted leadership. I don't think it is going to get there without people who have gentleness and 3 curiosity about the problem. It might get there by talking to people who have good ideas -- a facilitator or experts in this 5 6 area. It might get there with a capable facilitator or a 7 reasonable budget to solicit community members to attend. 8 Those things might materialize over the next six months or so, but I don't think they will. It is hard to tell at the moment. 9 10 So today I oppose the full approval of the PCCEP. 11 Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Renaud. I appreciate

Next, I would like to hear from a representative from the PCCEP itself. After that, we will take a short mid-morning recess. After that, I'll invite public testimony, and I'll discuss that process before we take our recess.

Who speaks for the PCCEP?

MR. DRURY: I do.

THE COURT: Please come forward.

MR. DRURY: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Your names.

MR. DRURY: Lakayana Drury, co-chair for PCCEP.

MS. DUMAS: Good morning, Your Honor. LaKeesha

Dumas, co-chair for PCCEP.

22

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that.

24

25

THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome to both of you.

2.2

MR. DRURY: Thank you for having us and allowing us to speak today. It has been a very busy nine months. I joined PCCEP. I speak on behalf as one of the members today and also as a resident of north Portland, a high school teacher, and as a black man. A couple of reasons why I joined PCCEP was because I don't want to see one of my students or one of our youth be the next victim of police brutality. I also don't want to see mental health criminalized or people to be endangered because of that. I think that it is very important that we have a body like PCCEP.

I want to start by just giving a few "thank yous" to a number of organizations and people who have helped support us, because it would have been impossible to do it. We wouldn't have done it without them:

AMAC for helping us during our training process, which was key to getting us off the ground and providing us the background and the work that has been done already.

And also the City; the history of policing here.

The Mental Health Alliance for supporting us in that process and the training and also for helping out in our mental health subcommittee, which I would say is our strongest subcommittee that we have so far.

The City, the Mayor, various representatives for helping getting us off the ground and being supportive but also

giving us some space and distance to have enough time to really be an independent body, which is what we seek.

2.2

Chief Outlaw and the other officers who participated and have come, presented to our meetings, and engaged with us, as they should.

Our staff, which we have already noted, has changed a bit, but I really do want to single out Judith and Claudia for their outstanding support, which has been key.

Also, the Department of Justice and Jared for providing mentorship to our group and stepping in that capacity.

In these nine months, we've done a lot. I'm not going to go over a lot. It has been stated that in our first few meetings we started with leadership structure to carry out work. We put together four subcommittees. We had joint town halls and meetings across the city in order to engage various parts of the community, which is to say has been a community process.

We still have challenges as well. We have done this all on the backbone of most of our members being new to public service in this respect, having to conduct all of our business in front of the public, having the turnover of several members, not having a full-time program director, but often it does feel that we do with the support I just mentioned.

But through all of this, when you come to our

meetings, they are well run. We have prepared, and we have created a platform to go out and do the work. Do I think we have actually completed it? No. We have just gotten to the point where we can start digging in, and I thought that the conditional approval was good initially, in the sense that it allows us to see this as something that is working, and I do think that it is. I think we have created a balance and well-structured format and the committee that can go on and do the work.

2.2

Some of our challenges have already been stated, but things like seeing people in a room in our meetings that have my skin color or my age, or other groups for that matter, is something that I think we obviously still need to do. I'm not so much concerned whether they show up or whether we engage them in some way. Even if they don't attend the meetings, we are getting out to them. Their voice is being included in the recommendations that we are bringing forth, and I think that's what's is important.

I will defer to the community partners as to whether it should be conditional or final approval. That's not going to impact how I go about this work. I know it is not going to about how LaKeesha goes about this work. For us, this goes beyond a settlement agreement or a court order. This is something that's in our conscience and in our DNA to carry out this work, and it is not affecting how our PCCEP members have

gone out and done their work.

2.2

THE COURT: Let me make sure what you are saying on that point, please. If I continue the conditional approval, as opposed to granting final approval right now, you do not see that as interfering with the likelihood of PCCEP's succeeding with its mission. Am I hearing that correctly?

MR. DRURY: Yes. I think long-term, obviously, it would, but in the immediate days to come and in our next few weeks and months --

THE COURT: What I'm hearing is approximately six months.

MR. DRURY: Yes. Like I said, I will defer to the group as to what that looks like.

THE COURT: But you don't see any current interference with the ability of PCCEP to succeed based on the fact that it does not yet have final approval from the Court; am I correct?

MR. DRURY: Yes, that is correct. We are committed to this for a two-year term, and I will fulfill it to the best of my ability. Also, know that the people who have been selected for this committee, our work was already in progress before we joined this committee. You asked what would you need to see. I think there are a couple of things already mentioned.

A full-time program director, I think, would

solidify -- somebody who can fully, 100 percent, commit to this. Stability of members. I'm not concerned with any of the members that have stepped down. Again, it has been for personal reasons; things outside the committee. People on the committee feel safe and feel it is a space that they can engage and have their voice.

2.2

It wasn't mentioned, but we also had, like, an internal retreat midway through January, where we were able to troubleshoot our own issues. I thought that was very healthy and showing we are able to carry out this work and facilitate our own meetings. We had a facilitator, which did a great job to begin with. When they stepped back, we were able to step up and continue with a variety of voices. I think those are two key things.

The third thing I would say is not just community engagement but community engagement through our subcommittees. I mentioned our mental health subcommittee has done an outstanding job so far. We heard from (indiscernible) at the last meeting I attended, and that's where I think our work is going to be completed, not necessarily who shows up at the monthly PCCEP meeting, but how are those subcommittees being engaged and work out -- our race and ethnicity subcommittee, our youth subcommittee -- now those committees get out and do the work they need to do, then I'm confident that PCCEP will be successful. So that would be my measurement if we were to come

back at a later date: How are those subcommittees looking?

All three of those have not been able to get to the way that the mental health subcommittee has been, because there has been a high turnover. Some of the members on those committees have left. Once we are able to get those fully going, which those can also be where community members can sit on those subcommittees as well. So once we can get each of those to have a full body, I think that will be a very good indication where PCCEP is. I just want to be mindful of the enormity of the task that we are looking at.

As someone mentioned, we are not going to change police culture in the next six months or racism or anything of that nature. So I think we have to be realistic on what we can accomplish, but I'm very proud of what PCCEP has done so far, and I'm very proud of the members who are serving, and I think we are off to a very good start.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate those insights and comments.

MS. DUMAS: I echo that.

THE COURT: Is there anything you want to add?

MS. DUMAS: I am LaKeesha Dumas. I feel like PCCEP is functioning as it should right now. It can be better. We have had a few setbacks, and we are doing our best. The folks who are seated are committed to this work. Like me, I don't

personally live this experience. I am a person -- a surveyor of police brutality and a lot of things. Now I have good relationships with officers. And I want to see that spread, but trust takes time. It won't happen in the next few months. It might take years. It might happen after my life time.

2.2

I'm committed to building those bridges and coming up with some strategies to really help improve our relationships.

Whether it is conditional or final, like Lakayana said, the work is still going to get done. It won't make a difference, because it is from the heart, not the mind.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

First of all, I appreciate both your comments and the insights that you have presented. Will you please convey to all of the members of the PCCEP and all of the people that work on the subcommittees that the Court very much values what they do? I value their contributions, their commitment, their work, and their efforts. I do think what your committee does is very, very important. As I said earlier today, I think it shows a great deal of promise and potential, and I think it can do an awful lot of good.

So whether I grant or decline final approval today, that's not the issue. The issue is that you are making good progress, and I encourage you to continue. Will you please communicate to everyone involved with PCCEP that you have the Court's appreciation and recognition? Because you're an

```
important part of the settlement process.
 1
 2
               Will you do that, please?
 3
               MS. DUMAS:
                           Yes, sir. Thank you.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you, both.
 5
               MR. DRURY:
                           Thank you.
 6
               THE COURT: Before we take a mid-morning recess, let
     me again share with you the list that I have and ask if there
 7
 8
     is anyone who wishes to participate in public testimony, which
     we will do after our mid-morning recess.
10
               I have Mr. Handleman, Ms. Hannon, Mr. Parks,
     Ms. Brayfield, Ms. Aiona.
11
12
               Is there anyone else?
13
               Commissioner Hardesty. Thank you. Do you want to
14
     speak now or after the recess?
15
               Anyone else? Can you state your name?
16
               (Indiscernible.)
17
               THE COURT: Mary, will you make sure I get a copy of
     the updated list during our recess?
18
19
               All right. Let's take a ten-minute recess.
20
               (Recess.)
21
               (Open court; proceedings resumed:)
2.2
               THE CLERK: Please be seated.
23
               THE COURT: All right. We are back in session.
24
     Welcome back.
25
               So the updated list I have been given is as follows:
```

I will hear from Mr. Handelman, Ms. Hannon, Mr. Parks -- and if you all want to come up together, that will be fine. understand there is that request, and that's fine -- followed by Ms. Brayfield, Ms. Aiona, Ms. Zingeser, Ms. Gary-Smith, Ms. Newell, Commissioner Hardesty, and Chief Outlaw. All right. So Mr. Handelman, Ms. Hannon, and Mr. Parks, you may come to the podium.

Let me make a request of all of you. We are making a record of this through our court reporter, and so I would ask everyone to speak slowly. I didn't direct that to any particular person.

2.2

MR. HANDELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. If I might count, there are ten people. So that gives us ten minutes each, perhaps?

THE COURT: Do you really need ten minutes each?

MR. HANDELMAN: I know myself and Mr. Parks and Ms.

Hannon would like ten minutes.

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.

MR. HANDELMA: Thank you. I'm Dan Handelman from Portland Copwatch.

On Tuesday, Portland Copwatch sent you an extensive analysis about the suitability of the provisions in the settlement agreement around the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing (PCCEP), which also looked at compliance

reports.

2.2

THE COURT: And I have read it. Thank you.

MR. HANDELMAN: We would like to go on the record to summarize some of the points we made in our analysis.

The COCL and the U.S. Department of Justice think that either 73 or 81 out of 88 paragraphs are in substantial compliance, but Copwatch is among those who have witnessed or read about various deadly force incidents taken note of the PPB's over-policing of houseless persons and/or attended demonstrations attacked by police who do not have the same feeling of progress as these two reviewing bodies. Our analysis looks at 13 areas where the COCL and DOJ disagree.

Aside from the specific differences between the two reviewing bodies, PCW called attention to some of the serious issues which indicate the progress seems to be more about quantity -- - did the City check boxes to create certain policies or programs -- than quality? Are those programs resulting in meaningful change?

Our general observation about the PCCEP is this:
While it is possible the settlement agreement terms could be
the basis for a committee to fulfill the tasks outlined in
paragraph 142, the City is incapable of creating and nurturing
such a body. The failure of the COAB has been attributed to
many factors, but the key is that the City of Portland did not
give that group the kinds of support it needed to succeed.

COCL didn't understand Dr. Bethel's comments earlier either about nurturing them and giving them support without going overboard and telling them exactly what to do. That has been resolved by letting them chair their own committee somewhat.

2.2

The PCCEP is already far behind in its work for similar reasons. One of their two contracted facilitators quit in late November, the other only came to one early meeting but was not "invited" to be back at others. The main staff person for COAB who helped usher in PCCEP also quit in late November, and she has not yet been replaced, meaning people who have other work to do for the City have been plugging in the hole left.

A good example of the City's inability to make PCCEP work is that at several general and subcommittee meetings one of the DOJ's attorneys has been chiming in to suggest procedural and substantive ideas to the PCCEP. The DOJ's role is to monitor the City to be sure they are coming into compliance. Once the DOJ is gone, nobody will be there to take on this role. Thus, to some extent, the PCCEP experiment is already tainted.

The City's founding document for PCCEP promises a staff community organizer, a key position, which could have ensured that dozens of Portlanders would have continued attending meetings after the initial meeting in November. However, the COCL says the City only has to fill the

administrative position to be in compliance with paragraph 144. The DOJ finds this paragraph is already in full compliance because the temporary staff has, for instance, created a website. However, Copwatch and others in the community have complained it is difficult to find things on this website. For example, PCCEP's first four formal recommendations are posted, but it is not clear whether the City's written responses came as separate documents or whether the Mayor's signature approving of new members is what the COCL and DOJ consider to be adequate responses. The recommendations are mixed in with dozen of other PCCEP-related documents on a single web page. The community survey conducted by DHM Research, in conjunction with PCCEP, was finished in February and released two days after the group's May meeting; Portland Copwatch had to go to The Oregonian's website to find a copy of the survey.

2.2

At least six original members and one alternate have already resigned from PCCEP, as you have heard. It is not clear with all this turnover how they will be able to generate the work products laid out in paragraph 142. The DOJ believes PCCEP already is in compliance because they recommended three new members to the Mayor and made comments on the Bureau's annual report. One of PCCEP's few powers is to request a certain PPB policy be reviewed for amendments, yet PCCEP has not even made comments on a single Bureau policy in seven months.

Truly confounding is the DOJ's assertion that PPB has substantially complied with paragraph 145, asking that they improve their community outreach in association with the PCCEP. Both they and the COCL say the community engagement plan is going to be wrapped into the Bureau's five-year strategic plan, but apparently the PPB's "impressive array" of other outreach activity led DOJ to their full compliance rating. It should also be noted that PCCEP has not received back the data from DHM's community survey when DOJ gave this rating.

2.2

I should also point out that at the time these new alternates were appointed were not announced by named publicly, some of them who already seated were on the committee and, as far as I know, the alternates aren't serving on the subcommittees, which would be one way to make sure those subcommittees complete their work.

Now, we are going to look at oversight. The DOJ thinks the City is already in full compliance with paragraph 128, asking for the "independent" police review to be able to conduct "meaningful, independent investigations." The COCL wants to talk to supervisors and officers about how the system is working. However, they do not express an interest in talking to complainants. The COCL notes IPR is unable to access all the documents they need for their investigations because they are not a law enforcement agency, but doesn't suggest the City try changing state law to fix that issue.

There is no meaningful analysis of why the COCL's quarter four report showed 20 percent of all allegations "sustained." But only 1.57 of force allegations. Based on IPR statistics, PCW found that only 1 percent of force allegations have been sustained since IPR began functioning in 2002.

2.2

Neither the DOJ nor COCL delves in any meaningful way into how the Citizen Review Committee's appeals are being used to change Bureau findings. Three findings were changed to sustained in the last two years as a result of CRC recommendations. DOJ incorrectly says CRC only sent one case back for further investigation in 2018. In fact, two cases were sent back and a use-of-force finding was changed from "unfounded" to "exonerated" by the Bureau after reinvestigation in one.

The COCL and DOJ both think the Bureau is properly using the Employee Information System, even though traumatic incident flags led to intervention 72 percent of the time, while force only leads to such supervisory action 34 percent of the time and complaints just 11 percent of the time.

Supervisors previously admitted not coding interventions into the system for fear of stigmatizing their officers. There is no analysis from either entity about whether interventions have actually changed officer behavior in any way -- another "check box versus quality" question.

In fact, as far as outreach, here again, it seems

both the COCL and DOJ are more interested in quantity -- how many programs the Police Bureau creates to ostensibly engage with the community -- than quality. Is that fostering more trust in the police? The results of both the internal Bureau non-scientific survey done in conjunction with their five-year plan and the agreement-related survey by DHM show there is not only a general distrust of police -- particularly in communities of color -- but an overarching feeling that they inappropriately use force against both people of color and people in mental health crisis.

2.2

The DOJ and COCL define "community outreach" in their draft metrics for measuring success to include when police "provide services." Portland Copwatch has cautioned over and over not to count conducting investigative stops, giving tickets, citing people, arresting people, and/or using force against them as "community outreach." It would be fine to change the definition to acknowledge officers need to be professional and treat each stop with the same neutrality and respect as they do with active outreach efforts.

Finally, for my part, we urge the Court to comment to the City about the implementation of paragraph 150. The Bureau was supposed to put out annual reports every year since implementation, meaning we should have seen four by now.

However, only two of those reports were generated, and only one was presented in the Bureau's precincts. Neither has come to

City Council. The Bureau has had to present the 2017 and 2018 reports at the same time after the new report allegedly gets published later this month.

Moreover, Mayor Wheeler has decided to make a blanket policy never to hear public testimony on reports, defeating one of the main reasons the Bureau was required to present their report to Council in the first place. We hope the Court will instruct the City that taking public testimony is not harmful and may lead to better analysis of the changes being made pertinent to the agreement.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Hannon.

MS. HANNON: We now turn our attention to four areas of key concern to our community: Race, force, oversight, and community engagement.

I will speak about race. While relegated to two paragraphs in the report, the issue of race has been a key point of contention since the DOJ issued its initial findings in 2012. Over 25 percent of those shot or shot at by the police are African-American in a city which is 6 percent black, but the DOJ said they could find no pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing.

In January, Portland Copwatch critiqued the COCL's defense of the Bureau's 2016 stop data report, yet the April COCL report includes the 2017 stops report as further evidence

of "progress" at the PPB. The Bureau asserts African-Americans are naturally being pulled over more because they are more likely to be victims of crime.

2.2

In a rare occurrence, the City Auditor recently put out a report about the stop data concurring with Portland Copwatch that the benchmark of gang violence victimization is not appropriate to apply to the gang enforcement team (now known as the Gun Violence Reduction Team).

The Bureau's use of that benchmark led them to say that the Gang Team was stopping African-Americans too infrequently because they made up 6 to 10 percent less of the stops than those affected by "gang violence." The Bureau is saying people who make up 6 percent of the population should be 63 to 71 percent of the Gang Team's stops instead of 57 to 61 percent.

None of the officials seem concerned that the Bureau's pedestrian stop data indicates the police only stop 16 to 25 people per month on foot or riding bicycles, which seems highly unlikely. With just 192 stops reported in 2017, 34 -- or 18 percent -- were African-American, with no benchmark for "pedestrians most likely to be victimized" to explain the 300 percent disparity in this figure.

The COCL and the DOJ both give substantial compliance to paragraph 148 because it only requires the Bureau to collect the stop data and deliver them to the PCCEP. This is a key

example of "check box over outcomes," as the disparate numbers have not changed significantly in the years since the DOJ arrived.

2.2

Similarly, the other section, referring to race, asks the Bureau to collect demographic information for each of its three precincts to help them do outreach and tailor their programs appropriately. The Court should be aware that the Training Advisory Council has formally requested the Bureau to put demographic data into the quarterly force reports, but to date the Bureau has not done so.

In March, the training division claimed the census data would not be up-to-date. However, the Bureau's predict data utilized census Bureau estimates, so that is not a valid argument.

We now discuss force. Neither the COCL nor DOJ looked at the OIR group's analysis of Portland Police shootings, which came out in February. OIR's data tables indicate deadly force against people in mental health crisis went up from 55 percent to 65 percent after the DOJ agreement was signed by Council at the end of 2012.

Moreover, the Bureau's average shootings per year went from four to five since the DOJ came to town. More strikingly, there were seven deadly force incidents in just 99 days between the end of September and the beginning of January. The last time there were seven deadly force incidents in one

year in Portland was 2006 when James Chasse, Junior was killed.

The DOJ continues to support the use of the term "de-escalation" to mean both using verbal communication to avoid using force and to lessen the amount of force already in use. Although the COCL seems to be concerned that some officers give commands and then claim that is de-escalation, they include a quote from an officer telling a suspect, "I didn't want him to get bit by the dog." This is really a threat and not a form of de-escalation.

Also, under paragraph 69, DOJ says they looked at four crowd-control incidents, noting the officers' reports could be better, especially around documentation of warnings and de-escalation. They gave the Bureau a pass for a strike (perhaps by a baton) and for using pepper spray on the wrong person, saying that overall the PPB had a "measured" response to a chaotic situation. If a civilian were to "accidentally" use force on an officer, they would be charged with a serious crime. Perhaps the DOJ needs to offer to role play as protesters during the next PPB crowd training and be subjected to such force before minimizing these outrageous actions.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hannon. I appreciate your comments.

Mr. Parks.

MR. PARKS: Good morning, Judge Simon. I'm

Peter Parks. I am a community member and member of Portland Copwatch.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

One area we would like to address in our testimony is whether the City is properly enforcing its rules against retaliation, paragraph 130. The Department of Justice says there aren't enough data to show whether this is being enforced. As it happens, retaliation was the subject of the misconduct case, which led to a sustained finding by City Council on May 16th, the first such finding in the 18 years of IPR's operations. The officer in this case told investigators that he wanted to give the complainant a jaywalking ticket because he did not like the way she looked disapprovingly at his armored vehicle and took pictures of it. There is no explanation why he didn't just go up to her and ask her what she was doing rather than selectively enforcing the law on a street where many other people were also "jaywalking." Thus, CRC and a majority of Council found the officer retaliated. It is our understanding that the police association can still undercut any proposed discipline for the officer, which leads to questions about whether officers are properly being held accountable for misconduct, as required by paragraph 169.

We also noted that in looking at whether the Bureau's changed use-of-force policy is effective -- paragraph 66 -- the DOJ examined 20 percent of serious force cases but excluded

crowd control and shootings.

2.2

Portland Copwatch appreciated that the DOJ's report notes that Your Honor asked the Behavioral Health Unit to find ways to open its advisory committee's meetings to the public. The DOJ's report says they were going to vote on the idea in April, but apparently the BHUAC has not had a quorum for several meetings and have not yet done so.

In their review of paragraphs 118 and 119, looking at Employee Information System thresholds, the DOJ praises the Bureau for modifying their alerts by reporting "Category IV" (low level) force as only half a use of force, resulting in fewer triggers. However, Portland Copwatch continues to believe pointing a firearm at a person should always be counted as a full use of force since it means that the officer thinks they have the right to use deadly force, and an accidental discharge could have disastrous results.

Portland Copwatch also continues to disagree with the DOJ and COCL that the City asking officers to do an on-scene walk-through after deadly force incidents meets the intent of paragraph 127. Officers have universally refused to do so.

In conclusion, Portland Copwatch continues to be concerned that the Portland Bureau has only made minor changes in its outward behavior, even though it is now collecting and analyzing more reports and data. Once the compliance officer and the DOJ are done with the agreement, we wonder whether

PCCEP will take the time to review the Bureau's reports, and more importantly, we wonder who will have the authority to make the City keep collecting data to ensure ongoing improvements, such as they are or may be.

2.2

It is unfortunate the Court's purview is limited to the confines of the agreement and, to some extent, to the question of whether the parties agree compliance has been reached. If a reasonable person could look at the information in these reports through the same lens that Portland Copwatch does, one would get a different picture about how far along the reforms really are.

That's the end of the Portland Copwatch thing, but I would like to take my Portland Copwatch hat off and make a personal comment. I would like to say that I have worked for many years in the immigrant community here. In the past year I've dealt with houseless people in particular, and so I have gained some particular feelings of my own. I wouldn't say I'm an expert.

The issue brought by the Department of Justice is the use of excessive force by Portland Police, particularly in cases of interactions with people experiencing mental illness. It is my belief -- and I hear this from many members of the community, there has been very little change in how police treat the citizens of Portland since the settlement agreement was made in 2012. I ask the Court to consider carefully

whether the City of Portland's Police Bureau is actually making significant progress.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Parks. I appreciate your comments.

Mr. Handelman, Ms. Hannon, and Mr. Parks, I also appreciate the continued work of Portland Copwatch. Thank you for what you are doing.

Ms. Brayfield.

MS. BRAYFIELD: Good morning, Your Honor. I am

Ann Brayfield. I, once again, thank you very much for opening
your court to public testimony. Thank you.

I would like to address a couple of things. One has to do with PCCEP, and the other has to do with compliance. I think you invited us to make comments that way too.

First of all, I would like to say that with PCCEP I see progress. At the same time I would support you continuing the conditional approval to the amendment. A couple of things that I would really hope that we could see and/or have more clarity about in the amendment, the first is the future -- beyond when compliance is substantial across the board and after the DOJ leaves a year after substantial compliance.

It is not clear to me how the PCCEP will move forward in the future. I think that Mr. Parks addressed some of those questions, and that is a question that I have. I think before

substantial compliance, before the DOJ leaves, it must be set forth very clearly how the PCCEP will move forward with independence, with oversight, and have the ability to handle matters as they come up, whether it concerns the PPB and their actions, shall we say. So that's one I would like to see more clarity on.

2.2

The second thing is there was a piece in the PCCEP's authority that I would like to see them have some experience with before we move out of the conditional approval, and that is with the Mayor's written approval and after consultation with the other city commissioners, PCCEP is authorized to identify a schedule for review directives not related to the DOJ settlement agreement or key areas of concern, and the PCCEP must provide a written explanation for the request, which will be considered by the Mayor and city commissioners.

I would like to see something happen in that regard so that we can see whether this particular structure is going to be effective or not. I mean, I would certainly have concerns that there would have to be so much approval before the PCCEP can take action and look into matters. I would like to see something happen before the end of a conditional period to reassure us all that they will be able to move forward with some authority.

And as far as compliance with the settlement agreement goes, I believe that compliance is the tip of the

iceberg, and I believe that there is still a gap -- a pretty good-sized gap -- present between data and actual behavior. I think policy has happened. Procedures have been put in place. Data systems have happened. But what about behavior? That's a gap that I would like to see close further before this whole agreement is found to be in substantial compliance.

One hopeful thing, when it comes to behavior, I would like to point out is recently, last month, the Technical Advisory Committee brought forward a recommendation to the Police Bureau when it comes to officers' health and wellness, and they also presented that recommendation at the last PCCEP meeting. I'm hopeful that PCCEP will take hold of that and also make that a strong recommendation, because as I look across this state, I look at Bend, I look at Hillsboro, and I look at other places in the country where there have been these health and wellness programs, most of which contain, in part, at least some yoga, some mindfulness, and I think what we see -- and the results is different outcomes on the street.

I would say that I think one way or another this needs to happen in Portland because our citizens need to be safe, including our officers, and everyone needs to go home at night.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate those comments, Ms. Brayfield.

Ms. Newell.

2.2

MS. NEWELL: My name is Nancy Newell. I am a long-time activist here in Portland; 24 years. I've closed nuclear plants -- three of them. The most recent one is a small modular reactor out of Corvallis, and we killed that to the tune of a billion dollars by the time we got to the state of Idaho. We all know here that we have a nuclear plant operating, and it relates to mental illness. It causes autism in children at age 7.

And we have one operating -- that's illegally operating -- that the public passed a law 13 years ago to close it, and none of the public officials have closed it. The Mayor is out on peace-bank operations basically. He gave our Bull Run water system, the infrastructure repair that we have already paid for in federal tax dollars, and now we are paying double, with interest, to the people that were exonerated for cheating the American public on their credit cards.

What kind of city is this? Where are we living?
What are the conditions? When are we going to change these
conditions? How is the public going to believe that the
process is legitimate?

Michael Simon, you certainly have a responsibility here today, because some of these factors are caused by this plant continuing to operate. It is worse than a nuclear weapon. Every day we eat salmon that's loaded with radiation,

and they blame it on the dams. Most of the environmental groups don't even talk about it. Most people don't even know it exists. It nearly melted down in 2016, and 28 public utility owners throughout the Northwest never reported it. My friends at Union of Concerned Scientists reported it. What is the atmosphere? Look at it, Judge Simon, in this city.

And that's my comment.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Newell.

That reminds me. I've got to turn to the dam case pretty soon, but that's not today's issue. I do appreciate your comments, Ms. Newell.

Ms. Aiona.

MS. AIONA: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. AIONA: I'm Debbie Aiona, representing the League of Women Voters of Portland. The League has actively monitored the City's Police Bureau for decades with a focus on public participation, transparency, policy development, and accountability.

League representatives have attended a number of PCCEP's monthly meetings and have reviewed many of the relevant reports issued every month. In our view the committee is a work in progress. Setting up and organizing a new volunteer City Council appointed body takes time and effort.

The committee is made up of sincere, hard-working

2.2

members with diverse backgrounds and perspectives. As a group, they've started to accomplish their assigned tasks. They have participated in the required training and learning about the responsibilities of public officials and the history and provisions of the settlement agreement.

2.2

The League appreciated the public briefing on the agreement that we suggested at the last status conference.

Inclusion of training materials and COCL report promoted transparency and gave interested members of the public access to useful information.

The PCCEP encourages public participation in a number of ways. It gives community members the opportunity to comment throughout its meetings. The meetings are televised live so that people who cannot attend in person can follow along and submit questions and comments remotely. Personnel from the Police Bureau, City Attorney's Office, and the DOJ are present and available to respond to questions when needed.

The PCCEP formed subcommittees where recommendations are developed and organizational issues are discussed, although we understand these would benefit from broader participation. The full committee has adopted several recommendations and submitted them to the Bureau. Before the vote was taken, recommendations were presented and discussed, and the public was given the opportunity to comment.

Challenges facing the committee include member

resignations that result in constant turnover. New committee members have to be screened, appointed, and trained before they can fully participate in the monthly meetings. This takes time and should be a high priority.

2.2

As far as we can tell, the Police Bureau leadership has not responded to the recommendations the committee submitted in February. Those recommendations apply to the annual report the Bureau is expected to present to the public at precinct meetings and at a City Council hearing. The League is on a number of email distribution lists, but we have never received a notice about the required precinct or City Council presentations.

The Bureau should tap into the numerous police-related contact lists and social media outlets to inform the public when those precinct meetings are scheduled. The committee needs more staff support, and the City should fulfill its obligation to supply it.

The project manager position has remained unfilled for nearly six months, and the budgeted community organizer has yet to be hired. An organizer likely would help the committee achieve its goal of reaching a broader diversity of community members.

Facilitation services also might contribute to meetings that remain inclusive but are more orderly and efficient. For example, with the help of a facilitator, the

committee might find it easier to limit public comment before a vote to the item under consideration. This would help focus the discussion and expedite the proceedings.

2.2

In conclusion, the committee has made progress, but much more needs to be done in order for it to fulfill the committee's expectations and the requirements of the settlement agreement. The City should step up and provide the support it needs to succeed.

Then, as you know, we submitted additional written comments that sort of describe our sense of the disconnect between what we are seeing and what this COCL evaluated in February.

THE COURT: And I have received those. Those were from you and Ms. Kaye, the President of the League of Women Voters. I have read those and appreciate those, and I appreciate your comments here today. I really do also recognize and appreciate the work in many areas of the League of Women Voters of Portland. I commend you for that, and I thank them for their work.

I also want to note that next year, 2020, will be the 100th anniversary of the Nineteenth Amendment that gave women the right to vote. You know, that's less than half of the life of this country. It does, on the one hand, show that we are making progress, but it sure does seem to take a long time to make progress. With that said, we're making progress.

Thank you for your comments and the work of the League of Women Voters.

MS. AIONA: As a follow-up, not only it is the Nineteenth Amendment's 100th anniversary, it is also the League of Women Voters'.

THE COURT: Congratulations.

MS. AIONA: We are celebrating our birthday next year.

THE COURT: Excellent. Thank you, Ms. Aiona.

Ms. Zingeser.

2.2

Good morning.

MS. ZINGESER: Good morning.

Judge Simon, thank you for the opportunity to speak.

My name is Sylvia Zingeser. I'm a NAMI Multnomah family

member. I served on the Crisis Intervention Training Board

before the Department of Justice settlement agreement with the

City of Portland and the Portland Police Bureau regarding

excessive use of force on people in mental health crisis.

I am one of the charter members of the Training

Advisory Council. Today I am speaking only as a community

member. I have a son who lives with mental illness. He has

struggled with suicidal ideation, severe depression, et cetera.

On several occasions we have had to ask for help from the PPB.

Many years ago, our first call did not go well when he was

having a psychotic break. He does not hear what people are

saying to him. We have had Enhanced Crisis Intervention

Training officers and ECIT street officers intervene. They

have saved his life on several occasions. At one point they

actually cut him down from a tree where he was trying to hang

himself.

2.2

I have seen a paradigm change in officer attitude in dealing with my family member. I have seen this paradigm change, as the Training Advisory Council works to recommend training possibilities based on the Council's concerns of use of excessive force of people who are in mental health crisis. After recently reading The Oregonian article about the low marks that the police have received from the community -- I'm assuming that's PCCEP -- the use of force on people in a mental health crisis, particularly in communities of color, I'm concerned about the performance of the police officers in this area and how the TAC might be able to facilitate change.

I am making a plea to all community members, particularly community members of color and diversity to apply for serving on the Training Advisory Council, also known as TAC. Quite frankly, the TAC is made up of mostly white community members. It is very difficult for white community members to speak for community members of color and/or diversity. What looks like change to us may not seem like change or help to your community. We need your voice, your input. Otherwise, we may never be able to get where we need to

be as a city or as a community.

2.2

In closing, I want to thank Dan Handelman of Copwatch and Debbie Aiona of the League of Women Voters for faithfully attending the Training Advisory Council meetings and participating in the community comments. It has been very helpful.

And, in closing, I did hear that Sean Campbell, who is our chair for the Training Advisory Council, did go to a PCCEP meeting, so that's good. You heard about the wellness program. We are also looking at emotional intelligence and how that might be of assistance.

How to apply: Go to the Portland Police Bureau website. Go to the Training Advisory Council. There is information on how to apply for becoming a TAC member. We need your help.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. ZINGESER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Zingeser. I appreciate your comments and for you being here.

Ms. Gary-Smith. Welcome.

MS. GARY-SMITH: Thank you. Again, I'm

Sharon Gary-Smith. I chose to sign up at this point as a

community member, which I have always been, but I'm most

recently a former PCCEP as of a matter of days ago. So I want

to talk about, just briefly, that experience; why I, having

grown up an African-American female and vertically challenged, all of my life in Portland, Oregon, would take the step to join a committee that could be a committee of struggle, in a place of struggle, and a place where constantly people who look like me, who think like me, who move around like me could be dismissed, disrespected, disregarded, and invisible, despite my face.

2.2

It was a very strong and difficult decision for me to decide to cast myself in a public that I have always operated in, but I live in the intersection between hope and reality every day of my life. The hope, despite what I know to be true about many institutions that were organized to repress or to marginalize us, who could possibly move to a place of transformation and engagement so that I, my family, and my community could be protected and served as all expect in our community and our larger world.

The PCCEP -- which for a long time I called "something other than the second coming of the COAB" -- could be that body that could infuse, could inform, could investigate, could critique, could ask questions, and expect an engagement and a relationship with the Portland Police Bureau, but also the City Council and those decision-makers who want to create a community for all of us to thrive.

I look to and I represent and I appreciate the AMA for staying the course, for causing us to, in fact, be together

today, and for all of these years that the settlement agreement has been in effect. What I learned as an elder member of that committee, I tried to bring my thinking and my history and my experience. I am a second-generation organizer, activist, and agitator.

2.2

My mother, the late Bobbi Lu Mosley-Gary (phonetic) said, "You buy and pay for what you want; you don't have to beg for you what need." And I need a community where I'm represented, where I'm safe, where my family and community can thrive. I believe the PCCEP had the opportunity, had the benefit of training, had the benefit of facilitation, and has organized itself, bumps and all, to represent the voices that must be a part of any process of improving this city.

Yes, there has been hesitation. Yes, there has been turnover. I'm one of those who not only said that I could not serve in the same capacity but, because of constant technical IT problems, I began to be isolated when I could no longer access the City of Portland email. I did not want anyone to send me things privately that could compromise the system.

THE COURT: I'm not following you.

MS. GARY-SMITH: Passwords every six months need to be changed. I was on the system, and it was thanks to the administrative support of a brand-new administrator for the PCCEP, Claudia. She and I worked to get me access to the City email. And I was on it, conveying information with my

colleagues, being able to represent some thinking, asking questions.

2.2

At the password changeover, I was dropped and had no access. Despite the number of IT professionals who engaged with me, we couldn't get me back on the system. So I was isolated, left out, unable to convey information or see information. I got frustrated over a period of two months and felt that I didn't know what was going on, when it was going on, where it was going on.

That, coupled with some family health issues, caused me to decide that I could not maintain the pace or be a valuable contributor. So I want to say that the City has a process for how you engage their system, I understand. But when it was so tiring to attempt to pursue engagement in a system that you have got to call, you have to leave a message, an IT person will get back to you, the back and forth, finally, they said, "It must be your computer." I said, "It was working until this most recent change, so could it possibly be your system," not in a confrontational way, but in an information-sharing way.

What I believe about that experience in the PCCEP -we have a nominal stipend. It could hardly maintain any
lifestyle for the time and work we put in. The lack of
significant resources for a body that is supposed to be
important, these are the kind of things and issues that I

believe can crop up. So I want to say that on the record; that my commitment, my engagement, and my hope is that we can move community engagement to something more than PR or scripted interactions to real deep, logical, informed presence with the Police Bureau is my ultimate wish.

I am familiar and aware, though, that systems do not move and change just on good intention or sanction, and so I believe that our ability to be collaborative with new administration at the top of the Police Bureau can be more than just window dressing. I believe the commitment and engagement of our new chief, and not just because she is a woman that reflects, looks like, and is as short as I am. (Laughter.) I believe she walks tall, talks with intention, and that if we can move the forces that were there before her, many of whom believe they will be here after her, if we can do it, then that community that is said to be missing will step up because we have watched so long good intentions come and go.

I believe the PCCEP, when it is strengthened, when it continues to be engaged, when it critiques and its recommendations are recognized, then we have the substance of things not yet seen. That's the day I'm waiting for, and that's the work I'm willing to continue to follow.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gary-Smith. I appreciate your comments and, frankly, your optimism. Thank you.

Commissioner Hardesty.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: To the Court, I am very grateful for the opportunity to speak to you today. What a difference a few months make, right? This is where I should be sitting, but apparently I have done this crazy thing, like run and get elected to Portland City Council.

As you know, Judge, I have been a proud member of the AMAC since its inception with the goal of having an accountable police force that would work for all of us.

Now that I'm sitting in a different seat, I still care passionately about making sure that our Police Bureau actually responds to every community member in a way that is respectful and in a way that actually leads to good, positive community engagement and outcomes.

You may know, Judge, that recently a community survey was conducted that did a survey of both police officers and community members to take the pulse of what does the community and what does the police think of the current police force. As you may know, if you've read that survey, there is some very interesting findings. 71 percent of the community members indicated that they do not have a high level of trust in Portland police officers. And if you start talking to communities of color, you will find that trust level is lesser.

85 percent of the black residents surveyed had a lack of trust in Portland police; 87 percent of Asian-Pacific Islanders;
77 percent of people who are multiracial; and 75 percent that are from a Native-American community.

2.2

I find those numbers very, very troubling, and I find them troubling because, as the DOJ continues to applaud the changes that have taken place based on the settlement agreement, it is clear that we're not all having those same experiences. It is clear that the community does not feel like we are safer today because the DOJ was requested to come to town and get justice for our community.

As you consider whether or not you're going to extend the timeline or not, I'd like to remind you of some things we just haven't heard much about since the settlement agreement was created. The plan to address racial profiling was supposed to be updated and supported by the Community Police Relations Committee that never met after the settlement agreement was filed, and no one that I know of recently has even mentioned the plan to address racial profiling. So that is something that is not considered in this new reiteration.

You may also remember the settlement agreement recommended that we start collecting data on mere conversations. As the Auditor's recent survey of the Police Gang and Enforcement Unit showed, mere conversations make up 70 percent of those stops, and those stops are not required to

be calculated based on a racial impact. However, what we know from the Auditor's report is when 54 percent of the stops of the Gang Enforcement Unit -- now called the Gun Violence Reduction Team -- a committee by any other name that still does the same work and still has the same outcomes will still lead to the same conclusions, which is that African-Americans are significantly impacted by over-policing in this community, and nothing that the settlement agreement has done thus far has done anything to correct that.

2.2

I do understand that the settlement agreement was totally focused on people with mental health issues or people perceived to have mental health issues, but the DOJ acknowledged that the racial inequality and policing in the city of Portland is something that we should not back away from it. However, we have backed away from it, because we don't talk about it.

I want to remind you that the review of stop data -even the data that the Portland Police Bureau collects
itself -- has never changed from predominantly impacting
African-American and other communities of color. I am very
disappointed that the DOJ believes that we are 99 percent of
the way to success, because what we know is that since the DOJ
has been here, since the settlement agreement has been filed,
we are still killing people who have mental health issues, and
they're dying because they are doing what they are told to do

when they encounter a police officer. But we also know that people suffering from mental health issues will never do what they are told to do because that's the nature of having a mental health issue.

2.2

What I would like to leave you with is hope, because I am "Ms. Doom and Gloom," and I can tell you all of the things we haven't done and all of the things that aren't working, but I want to leave you with hope, because in my new position I will have the opportunity to totally transform who shows up when a community member calls 911. And I have the privilege of working with the Mayor and my colleagues to introduce the Portland Street Response, which will be a more, I believe, humane way of addressing what the community is experiencing on the street.

It is modeled after the CAHOOTS Program in Eugene, Oregon, but here what we're basically going to do is when people call and think a police officer is the appropriate response, our 911 call takers will be able to do an assessment and figure out who the right first responders should be and then deploy those folks. It may be that we are sending a social worker and an EMT. It may be that we are sending a community advocate and an EMT. We are still designing the system, as we speak, but because we've made a financial commitment to actually fundamentally changing how this process works, it is my belief that this will change how police

function without being actually having to change how police function, because if we send the right people out, we will have less opportunity for people to be harmed by people who are first responders and who are supposed to protect and serve.

2.2

I thank you, Judge Simon. You have been very open with the community through this entire process, and I trust that you will make a good decision that will benefit our community

THE COURT: Thank you. And don't leave yet. Thank you very much for your comments. I appreciate you being here. I appreciate all of the work you do, and, frankly, those last few words of optimism as well.

I have a question for you. You talked about conversations and interactions with police officers. There was something that we talked about several years ago that I have not heard much talk about relatively recently, and I also recall that you were one of the skeptics or people expressing concerns and/or doubts about body cameras.

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: Yes.

THE COURT: I would like to ask you and then also get the views of Chief Outlaw too. What's the state of the thinking, or maybe there's a debate. Maybe there is no common view on body cameras. But what's the state of your understanding of pros and cons and where we may be going? I know it is not required under the settlement agreement, but it

is not inconsistent with the settlement agreement. We had some talk a few years ago that it may further the objectives of the settlement agreement, but then you also -- and some others -- expressed some concerns and reservations.

Where are we?

2.2

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: I'm so glad you asked that question, Judge Simon. The City of Portland will be hearing at the City Council meeting in the next couple of weeks a proposal for a pilot program around body cameras with Portland police officers.

I still today am totally opposed to body cams. I'm really, really opposed to this pilot project because the former mayor gave away any pretend oversight of body camera footage to Portland Police Bureau. So the policy that is not actually a policy yet would allow police officers to view body cam footage before writing a written report and reviewing any community footage before they are investigated by a police investigative entity.

I totally oppose us spending \$162,000 on a pilot project for several reasons: One, we could not afford to buy body cams and implement it based on the current costs and data storage needs of body cams. More importantly, we know more today than we knew before about body cams and what the studies that have been done that weren't paid for by the people trying to sell body cams. What those new studies tell us is that body

cams does nothing to change the behavior of officers or the outcome for community members.

I will remind you, Judge, what I said a couple of years ago. We have seen lots of body cam footage all over the country of community members being brutalized by law enforcement, and we have seen none of those videos lead to the prosecution and firing of police officers.

THE COURT: I appreciate those comments. I recall what you said. I do recall the studies from a number of years ago that talked about the existence of body cameras playing a positive role in de-escalating conflict. I recall the response is that they were financed largely by sellers of body cam systems.

I do have observations, generally, that -- whereas recollections and testimony can be inconsistent, infallible, or even worse, and even photographs can be partial or incomplete -- but where we have a complete photographic record of what's going on, that tends to be pretty persuasive evidence of what happened.

Go ahead.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: Sorry, Judge. I was just going to say that the challenge for me is if I am at a scene of an incident and I'm required to write a report before I go home, I should not have to review the footage to determine what I was involved in before I write my written report. If we're

going to invest millions of dollars in buying the technology and then more millions of dollars in actually storing data, it is imperative that the public get something out of that investment.

2.2

Based on what we've been presented, the public gets absolutely nothing out of that investment of all those resources, right, because if the police get to decide what the public sees, that's not accountability. That's a police tool that they get to play with, and the public has no access to it. The public doesn't know whether or not a good decision was made. If the police officer doesn't know what they did at the end of the night and they can't write their report without looking at a video, they are in the wrong line of work.

THE COURT: As always, you make a very fair point.

But just because one particular way of implementing one

particular tool has a number of problems and drawbacks doesn't

mean that the tool implemented a different way wouldn't have

value. That said, it is not my decision as part of this

settlement to order anything there. I just want to facilitate

the discussion. I appreciate you updating me on the state of

the discussion.

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: Thank you, Judge. I appreciate you asking the question, because your name comes up every time we have this conversation at City Hall, and the implication is that you want us to have this. So I would be

greatly appreciative if you would put on the record today that you are not weighing in one way or the other about whether the City should invest in this resource.

THE COURT: I would say it is not my decision to make. I will even say, by way of full disclosure, I don't live within the city of Portland. I live in unincorporated/other areas, and so I don't even vote for the City officials.

COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: Then I will make sure to tell my colleagues that. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: But I do view my contribution here as facilitating discussion, and I appreciate your role in that.

Thank you.

2.2

Chief Outlaw, thank you.

By the way, I do want to note, Chief Outlaw, I believe you've been at every one of these hearings since moving to Portland. I recognize that and very much appreciate it, and my guess is so does the community.

CHIEF OUTLAW: Thank you, Your Honor.

I appreciate the invitation to address the Court. I don't have prepared remarks because I didn't expect to come up here, but now that I do have the opportunity to say something, I do want to go on the record and publicly acknowledge the PCCEP members. There is a lot of time and dedication and commitment that has been put in over several months on a

volunteer basis, and I think that's very, very important to recognize, because there are consultants all over the country that get paid a lot of money to do what they are being asked to do. So I want to say thank you again, and I acknowledge the work you do.

2.2

PPB has a huge vested interest in the success of the PCCEP. I'm not interested in another advisory committee that meets for the sake of meeting. We have blind spots. We don't know what we don't know, and I'm relying upon the PCCEP to provide us with not only recommendations, but with the perspectives and the insight that we don't regularly get, either because we are going a mile a minute focused on one thing over here, or we just don't have that representation that is represented in the PCCEP. So thank you for your time and your effort.

I'm not coming up here to defend PPB against everything that has been said, but I also want to say that I'm proud of the work that the men and women at the PPB are doing. We have made a lot of progress. Of course, there is work to be done. There is always work to be done, because we're a learning organization.

We all know that the nature and the role of our jobs has changed over the years because of the change and complexity of societal issues and, because of that, expectations of police officers are also changing. Where I personally struggle is

balancing those expectations, making realistic expectations, but balancing the expectations of the community, of officers, which are also part of the community, but also making it very clear that we are still law enforcement and we have a job to do.

2.2

But I believe we can continue to do our jobs with compassion and recognizing the humanity of those who we provide service to. So I, too, come before you today with hope and optimism. All is not lost. We are all partners here. We are not adversarial. I meet with everyone in this room -- not everyone -- but all of the partners at this table in this room on a regular basis, because I welcome, again, the input and feedback. And I think we can get there. It doesn't have to be prolonged or over months and months and months, but I think we will get there. And I am excited about it.

Thank you for the opportunity to be heard today. I also want to acknowledge that my executive team is here as well, and you'll be seeing them here in court with me whenever we are in front of you.

THE COURT: Thank you. You're always welcome. Thank you, Chief Outlaw, for your comments as well.

I have a question for the Government's attorney. The next substantive item on our agenda is a presentation by the United States regarding the compliance assessment overall with the settlement. I also know that the parties have requested

about 60 minutes for a lunch break.

Do you have a preference? Would you like to do your presentation on compliance assessment now and then we will take a lunch break, or would you like to basically take a lunch break until about a quarter to 1:00 and then we will do the compliance assessment presentation at that time? It's your preference.

MR. GEISSLER: Your Honor, it seems more logical to do all of the presentation on compliance together and take a break now.

THE COURT: All right. Is that acceptable to everybody?

All right. We will be in recess for 60 minutes. We will begin at 20 minutes to 1:00 by that clock. So let's take a 60-minute lunch recess.

MR. GEISSLER: Thank you, Your Honor. (Recess.)

(Afternoon session; open court; proceedings resumed:)

THE COURT: The United States' presentation on

compliance assessment. You may proceed whenever you are ready.

MR. GEISSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

2.2

May it please the Court. We have divided the compliance of the settlement agreement by provisions with my colleague, Mr. Hager, and myself. I will speak to Section 3, use of force; 4, training; 7, EIS; and 8, accountability.

Mr. Hager will speak to community-based mental health, crisis intervention, and community engagement.

The United States' compliance assessment report filed with this Court provides the most wholesome explanation of our current compliance regulations. We are pleased to take this opportunity today, however, Your Honor, to address a few particular points within that report and then to address Your Honor's questions, if any, with respect to our report for the subject areas that Mr. Hager and I will be covering.

Overall, Your Honor, the take-home message is that the City of Portland is now in substantial compliance with all of the provisions of the settlement agreement except the 180-day provisions that affect the accountability sections -- Section 8 and Section 9 -- where the City is making substantial progress in the community engagement section. This is a significant achievement to be at this point for a settlement agreement that has been in effect from final approval for fewer

than five years.

2.2

Once the City achieves substantial compliance with all sections of the settlement agreement, as Your Honor pointed out this morning and one of the other speakers addressed, the City will need to maintain that state of compliance for a period of one year before the parties come back before this Court and seek final dismissal.

With respect to the use of force, Your Honor, in our last compliance report, in 2017, we knew that PPB had adopted a force policy as part of its force policy suites, as directive 1010. That policy met the requirements of the settlement agreement, but it's not static. It was still subject to change as the organization changed and subject to challenges.

We also reported that implementation of the approved policy would require further training specifically concerning de-escalation. We have now had the time to see the results of the full implementation of the use-of-force policies. In this past monitoring period, we have observed, of course, use-of-force training, implementation, and outcomes.

Training has stressed critical decision-making skills and de-escalation that the compliance officer and Department of Justice reviewed and edited lesson plans and instructional material. Like before, PPB trained all officers on the use-of-force policy, both through classroom settings and through demonstration and scenarios, but this time PPB gave

even more emphasis to de-escalation throughout the course of the interaction with an individual.

2.2

Importantly, PPB conducted a separate training, as well, for supervisors on the requirements of force reporting and investigations, including Directive 1010.10. That is the response to the most critical abuses of force.

We observed implementation not only through assessment force reports, but also through ride-alongs with field training officers, or sometimes called coaches, where trainees are quizzed force policy requirements throughout interactions of the day. PPB force reports from all sorts of interactions, not just those FTO interactions, showed frequent use of disengagement and utilization of special units, like ECIT. PPB also had implemented more rigorous force audits and provided force use data, both publicly and directly to the Training Advisory Council.

So what outcomes have training and implementation yielded? We now have a palpable period of time to assess the outcome of PPB's change-in-force policy. In the fourth quarter of 2017, shortly after the change-in-force policy, PPB used force 226 times. In the fourth quarter of 2018, after more training and the effects of the after-action reviews for each of the force uses, PPB has used force 177 times. That's a decrease of 22 percent.

Our review of the sample of force events that

actually did occur showed that PPB is now acting substantially in compliance and in accordance with the approved force policies. One of the principal concerns in our original 2012 letter, if the Court will recall, was the use of Electronic Control Weapons, ECWs, like tasers and other devices.

2.2

Data now show, as we have in our compliance report,

ECW use has dropped significantly since our investigation

began. Even with a slight increase in reporting alone,

attributable to the more rigorous reporting requirements in the

force policy, PPB maintained a significantly lower level of use

of ECWs in the past five years than in the four years preceding

that period, and the graph at paragraph 68 of our report

substantially demonstrates that.

When PPB does use force, there is another outcome.

PPB has continued to internalize the value of providing medical aid directly to the subjects rather than waiting for EMS. PPB has practiced that value many times, including in this reporting period, providing immediate medical aid to an individual who was the subject of a non-lethal officer-involved shooting.

Next, Your Honor, I would like to turn to Section 4, training. We continue to observe that PPB highly values training. And as I've described today, in the monitoring period, PPB implemented training, stress-equivalent decision-making, and de-escalation. PPB also responded to the

need for separate supervisory training, requiring a supervisory in-service, which is in addition to the in-service for all sworn officers.

2.2

PPB employed the competency-based evaluation system, which we have lauded in the past, by way of tests, group discussions, individual, ECW, and firearm qualifications, physical skills demonstration-type scenarios. Officers had to demonstrate their proficiency in each required skill in which they were trained, and then the instructors would critique the outcome of each scenario. Skills training, like ECW, continue to include an oral quiz during the proficiency demonstration; that is, integration on the policy within the training

PPB also shored up two areas that we previously said needed improvement. PPB developed a more comprehensive training plan to address more than just the basic in-service training, as the fire training plan had done. PPB's training plan now covers all training. PPB was responsive to its own needs assessment for training for force training and now incorporates equity and procedural justice, use-of-force decision-making and de-escalation.

The second area that needs to be shored up, PPB is now utilizing its learning management software. Your Honor will recall that there was delay in the LMS implementation. It is now tracking all of its training.

Your Honor, with respect to Section 7, EIS, we noted

in our last report that PPB Employee Information System was a work in progress and not well utilized. Here, PPB's performance has significantly improved. With prompting from the EIS administrator, supervisors are now performing the required timely checks of their subordinates' EIS records, their jacket, if you will, that follows them between supervisors.

2.2

PPB has also enacted a series of standard operating procedures, SOPs, for the handling of EIS alerts. The alert is, of course, when one crosses a threshold and should be reviewed by a supervisor. As we pointed out in our assessment in paragraph 116, Your Honor, PPB is not closing as many EIS alerts without action; rather PPB is now sending more alerts to the responsible unit managers for their attention and action.

Consistent with this Court's approval of paragraph 117, as amended now, PPB has also developed SOP 47 on the use-of-force inspector data to identify outliers as compared to peers, supervisors, and groups. The force inspector went even further than what the settlement agreement now requires, and for each of the outliers, he also identified solutions and conclusions that the supervisor should addressed.

Chief Outlaw has gone beyond what paragraph 117 requires and has now directed for each of the patrol precincts that PPB conduct risk assessment meetings. We have directly observed two of these meetings. These efforts show that PPB

has internalized a risk mitigation framework that goes far beyond and is more robust than what 117 requires

With respect to Section 8, Accountability,

Your Honor, that has been both the section where PPB has

demonstrated some of the most impressive progress during a

small training period, but also an area most difficult to bring

into substantial compliance. PPB and the Independent Police

Review, IPR, implemented long plan changes to the

accountability system. With significant input from the

compliance officer and the Department of Justice, PPB and IPR

each completed revisions to their directives on reporting and

investigation allegations of officer misconduct.

The City completed extensive joint training with IPR and Internal Affairs investigators together to support consistent investigative standards, even when IPR conducts its own independent investigations. The compliance officer and DOJ attended these trainings directly and provided on-the-spot feedback to better integrate the IPR and IA investigators together in the training.

The outcome of the training is promising. Our review of a sample of the investigative files closed during this monitoring period showed that by a preponderance of evidence that supports the PPB's and IPR's findings sustained, exonerated and unfounded.

Many of the accountability provisions in the

2.2

settlement agreement concern reporting and investigation of critical force incidents as well. Our review showed that the City complied with its revised 1010.10 policy for each of these incidents. PPB sought on-scene public safety statements, when necessary, and had witness officers provide on-scene walk-throughs. PPB also issued communication restriction orders, CROs, even when required and even in a very chaotic large situation.

2.2

The City improved the timeliness of many of its investigations but still struggled with timeliness in some.

PPB and IPR are now taking steps to address that longstanding issue. In order to reach substantial compliance, the City needs to implement corrective action plans to address the new complaints and more expeditiously handle them and to identify the times and areas in which the City, IPR, and PPB do not have access to evidence and must reasonably toll the administrative investigation.

The City must also demonstrate that changes to investigations in which the Bureau of Human Resources participates have cured the previously delinquent investigations in those cases.

Are there any questions on my areas, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes and no. First of all, I understand your report on the directions toward achieving substantial compliance.

You mentioned de-escalation efforts. I know it is not required by the settlement agreement, but let me ask you, does the United States have a view as to the relative benefits and disadvantages of what we have talked about the last couple of years, and that is body cameras?

MR. GEISSLER: The United States does not have an official view. We do have cases that have body-worn cameras, and we have been able to use those for the benefit of enforcement and the consent decree -
THE COURT: Do I correctly understand from that

2.2

THE COURT: Do I correctly understand from that answer, in the other cases where they have been used, they have been shown to be helpful in achieving the objectives of those settlements?

MR. GEISSLER: They have. They have been helpful in ensuring compliance to a policy that is approved by the United States for body cameras. In that respect, that is an action that comports with civil rights, and they have been helpful in providing information that is subject to police interactions and the officers themselves.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Geissler. I appreciate your report.

MR. GEISSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hager.

MS. REEVE: Thank you, Your Honor. Jared Hager on behalf of the United States.

As Mr. Geissler previewed, I am going to address compliance with Sections 5, 6, and 9. Section 5 is community-based mental health services, paragraphs 88 to 90. We find the City remains in substantial compliance with these provisions. As we previously reported, the City, through PPB and the Bureau of Emergency Communication, or 911 in common parlance, has regularly engaged their system partners to bridge the gap in mental health services, and they continue to do so.

2.2

PPB works with community partners to increase delivery of services and to decrease the number of contacts with law enforcement. The Behavior Health Unit has two different teams that proactively reach individuals in need of mental health addiction and related care services. Those are the Behavioral Health Response Teams, the BHRT, and the Service Coordination Team.

This year, the City expanded the Behavior Health
Response Team, adding two units to the three that are required
by the agreement. That's paragraph 106. These units pair an
officer with a qualified mental health professional from
Cascadia's Project Respond, and they have been instrumental in
helping connect people with mental illness and frequent police
contacts to community-based services so that those people might
avoid the next law enforcement contact.

The Service Coordination Team, for its part, collaborates with system partners throughout the region. They

advise the Oregon Health Authority on implementing the State's mental health performance plan. Locally, they have reduced arrests and increased employment and housing of community members by helping connect people to key services.

2.2

Separately, PPB's patrol officers continue to use their crisis intervention training and enhanced crisis intervention training to recognize signs and symptoms of mental illness and to engage ambulance services to take individuals to a hospital rather than to jail.

Finally, the PPB Behavioral Health Unit Advisory

Committee is comprised of and works with a wide array of system partners, including people with lived experience, non-profit service providers, advocacy organizations, governmental agencies, and peer advisers too.

As for BHUAC, it has deployed quality assurance measures to better triage calls, including by diverting 911 calls away from a police response, when appropriate. For example, dispatchers can and do transfer suicide calls to the Multnomah County Crisis Line when immediate counseling and connection to services is more appropriate and more suitable than an immediate police response.

These efforts provide clear benefits to the broader community, and we expect the City to remain engaged with its partners to address gaps in community-based mental health services. These, like other provisions of the agreement, are

continuing obligations.

2.2

Section 6 concerns crisis intervention, and that's paragraphs 91 to 115. The United States has now found the City has substantially complied with all 24 provisions, as spelled out in our compliance report. So what has changed in the last 18 months? Well, the City has demonstrated the overall effectiveness of the crisis response model, which consists of three things: A, policy. That's PPB directive 850.20, which is titled "Police Response to Mental Health Crisis."

B, training. And that's 40 hours of crisis intervention training for all officers, an additional 40 hours of enhanced crisis intervention training for the volunteer group of 140-plus ECIT officers, as well as annual in-service refresher training that all officers receive on mental health response.

And C, its practice, which is backed now by reliable data showing generally successful outcomes and quality assurance measures to ensure continued improvement over time.

As a result of these three things, this year the United States gave final approval to Directive 850.20. This policy emphasizes de-escalation and, where appropriate, disengagement. The policy also codifies PPB's efforts to ensure a noncriminal resolution for persons with actual or perceived mental illness, including by referral to mental health services and by hand-off to an ambulance for transport

to a hospital.

2.2

Finally, the policy incorporates the ECIT's dispatch criteria, which reflects the combined good work of PPB and BHUAC to ensure the success of the City's mental health crisis response model.

The criteria reflects a contextualized crisis intervention approach to triage mental health crisis calls by directly dispatching ECIT officers to those calls that pose a relatively greater risk of harm to the subject or to the public.

We had previously reminded the Court of these criteria, but they recently expanded by adding a new category, and it bears repeating, given that our evaluation of this dispatch criteria, in practice, has resulted in our final approval of the policy.

BHUAC will send an ECIT officer to seven types of mental health crisis calls:

- 1. Upon the request of a citizen.
- 2. Upon the request of a responding officer.
- 3. When the subject is violent.
- 4. When the subject has a weapon.
- 5. When the subject is threatening suicide and has the means to carry through with that suicide.
- 6. When a call is from a residential mental health facility.

7. The new category, when the subject's behavior is escalating the risk of harm to self or others.

2.2

I want to emphasize that categories 1 and 2 could, in theory, capture all mental health crisis calls. We want Portlanders to know that if you want a ECIT officer to respond to your 911 mental health crisis call, you just have to ask, and there is no magic set of words. You could say, "Send ECIT. You could say, "Send a specialist mental health officer," and that would work. BHUAC officers and PPB officers are trained on that, and the BHU emphasizes that in both its training internally and in the community.

As for training more broadly, the United States and expert consultants have observed firsthand PPB's crisis intervention, enhanced crisis intervention, and the annual refresher training. We have also observed BHUAC's training on recognizing the signs and symptoms of mental health crisis to ensure appropriate dispatch and triage decisions.

We have repeatedly found this training to be qualitatively excellent, and we are not alone in that assessment. PPB and BHUAC have received regional and national endorsement of their mental health response training. BHUAC, in particular, has been called on to train other groups throughout the state and the nation.

For its part, PPB continues to improve its training by incorporating community members and licensed professionals

and by expanding content. As one example, a PPB officer, who is also the mother of a child on the autism spectrum, joined with a professional to lead a very well-received presentation on appropriate interactions with those individuals.

2.2

PPB also added training focused on juveniles in crisis. As Mr. Geissler and the compliance officer have mentioned in their reports on the training, PPB has folded into its comprehensive plan both notions of equity and procedural justice.

Good policy and training are the seeds of good practice, and reliable data quantitatively confirms PPB's improved response to calls involving a mental health component. Over the 19-month period from October 2017 to April 2019, PPB officers responded to over 40,000 calls involving an actual or perceived mental health issue.

Force was used in fewer than 1 percent of those calls. The majority of that force is category 4, the lowest level of force, defined as not reasonably likely to result in physical injury. 40,000 calls; fewer than 300 uses of force.

Category 2 and 3 force, which includes the use of ECWs or tasers, amount to 78 cases over that 19-month period, or just one-fifth of 1 percent of encounters. This is a substantial and demonstrable improvement from when our investigation began.

Now, the United States is mindful that the use of

deadly force, category 1 force, is the most consequential power granted to law enforcement. And a handful of these tragic cases have an understandable impact on public perception of PPB's approach to crisis intervention.

2.2

But in addition to overall force being way down, the reality is that officers connected with PPB's Behavioral Health Unit have saved many lives. PPB's proactive response teams have helped people to necessary life-changing services, including housing and employment, and PPB's patrol officers, the ECIT and non-ECIT, have regularly achieved positive outcomes in terms of transporting those in need to a hospital and avoiding the use of force altogether.

The final piece of our approval is data-driven quality assurance. At both PPB and BHUAC, we have seen efforts to learn and to adapt and to improve through the collection and analysis of relevant data. For example, BHUAC and PPB regularly audit 911 calls, in collaboration with system partners, to improve training on ECIT dispatch protocol and recognizing the signs and symptoms of mental illness.

These audit programs are designed to outlast the life of the settlement agreement, and they serve as a testament to the City's various bureaus, acting as a learning organization. For these reasons, the United States believes the City has demonstrated the effectiveness of its crisis intervention approach and substantial compliance with Section 6 of the

agreement. We expect and we will ensure that the City maintains its compliance for the duration of the case.

2.2

The last topic I'll discuss is Section 9 on community engagement. That's paragraphs 141 to 152 of the amended agreement. These are conditionally approved terms by which the Court has directed or advised us, I should say, to assess the City's compliance.

Now, we talked at some length this morning about the progress of the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing, or PCCEP, but taking a step back, the United States has observed that the City, through its various bureaus, engages in outreach initiatives to hear community voices and to advance equity inclusion and improve local government services.

PPB's noteworthy efforts include the universal review process that take public comment on every one of its policies every two years. They have various councils and committees and boards, like the Training Advisory Council that you have heard a little bit about today, and they make recommendations too and interface with the training division and numerous other community events that the Bureau engages in.

Likewise, BHUAC and IPR, the Independent Police

Review, engage in community outreach to help members of

Portland's many diverse communities effectively access 911

services and lodge allegations of misconduct. IPR, for its

part, now conducts a monthly outreach program that they call

cultural connections that has met so far the Muslim Advisory Council, the City's Tribal Liaison, and similar groups.

2.2

As for the PCCEP, we have found that the City has substantial compliance with 9 out of the 12 paragraphs. This isn't to say that those obligations aren't continuing. Like everything else, they're continuing obligations.

The City has empowered PCCEP to independently assess the implementation of a settlement agreement. They have empowered PCCEP to solicit information from the community and to make recommendations about policing, and the City has enabled PCCEP members to conduct business without undue influence or interference with their self-direction.

Thus, while some community members have criticized PCCEP's failure to exercise a particular power or address a particular issue, we are mindful that PCCEP's volunteer members have allocated their scarce resource of time in a deliberate, and we find, acceptable manner.

Its subcommittees, from the gamut, include a settlement agreement and policy subcommittee, a youth subcommittee, a race subcommittee, and a subcommittee for persons with mental illness. Those subcommittees all accept public members, and so I would encourage anyone that is dissatisfied with the direction that the PCCEP is taking to come to a subcommittee meeting and join a subcommittee.

Now, PCCEP has begun to successfully discharge its

duties under the PCCEP plan. There have been challenges.

PCCEP membership has seen over a 50 percent turnover in membership in the first nine months, but most resignations due to relocating, as I mentioned, and the PCCEP and the City moved swiftly to fill the vacancies to refill a pool of alternate candidates. Orientation and training for the new alternates is slated for later this month.

2.2

Work remains to be done, particularly as it relates to increasing the diversity of public participation and creating recommendations for PPB's community engagement plan, and the City also needs to provide PPB's 2018 annual report to PCCEP for comment and release it to public. Now, that's going to happen later this month. The draft has been formed that is going to PCCEP. Then they need to hold precinct meetings, which I know several members have commented on today.

Like the rest of the settlement agreement, I want to emphasize one more time the terms of Section 9, the continuing obligation of the City, and we will continue to monitor PCCEP's regular meetings. We will provide technical assistance, if needed, and report to the Court to ensure PCCEP continues to accomplish the objectives set forth in the plan and in the agreement.

Your Honor, that concludes my presentation. I'm happy to answer any questions you have

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Hager. I have

one question. It's a very specific question, and it may simply reflect my lack of understanding on this issue.

2.2

But you mentioned that for persons who are either threatening suicide or exhibiting a risk of suicide, there's a referral made over to the Multnomah County Crisis Line. Can you tell me what's the relationship between the Multnomah County Crisis Line and Lines For Life? Do you know?

MR. HAGER: I don't know, but I'm happy to follow up.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask. Who here can tell me?

Please state your name for the record.

MS. PAYNE: My name is Melanie Payne. I am the training manager for BHUAC. Lines For Life is a national nonprofit suicide hotline. They do have a site here that answer calls -- and all over the country. The Multnomah County Crisis Line is Multnomah County funded mental crisis line, and they do all sorts of services. We have a partnership with Multnomah County Crisis Line. We don't have a partnership with Lines For Life. That has been explored, but right now our partnership is with Crisis Line. The crisis line can also connect you to -- they can get into walk-in clinics. They have access to a lot of other services. Lines For Life frequently refers callers to us.

THE COURT: That's very helpful. The reason I ask, I know that Lines For Life has received national recognition for their work in Oregon, in Portland, and I was just wondering

what that connection was, if any, and that was very helpful.

I appreciate that. Thank you.

2.2

All right. At this time I will invite the compliance officer, Dr. Rosenbaum.

DR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.

Dennis Rosenbaum, compliance officer. Since we last appeared before you, the City and the Portland Police Bureau have implemented a number of changes that you've heard about here today and has brought them much closer to achieving substantial compliance with the terms of the settlement agreement.

The United States/DOJ has already covered much of that. I apologize for any redundancy. I think they did a good job of listing some of the details. We are doing an independent assessment, as you know. But I do want to say that there is substantial agreement between DOJ and the compliance team.

I want to emphasize upfront that the substantial compliance with the agreement does not mean that there is no room for the Police Bureau to continue on a path of improvement, especially in community police relations and public trust. As with all learning organizations, there is a lot of work to always be done. Rather than -- I guess "substantial compliance" means, in my judgment and in our judgment, that the City has completed the reforms required by

the settlement agreement and has made a good-faith effort to fulfill this obligation without cutting corners and just checking the boxes and that sort of thing.

2.2

It also means, especially for the way we have looked at it, that the systems for tracking performance and for taking corrective action are now in place and should help to prevent a pattern or practice of misconduct from developing in the future.

So let me just briefly summarize some of the status of each of the major sections that DOJ did.

On Section 3, on use of force, we reported that the City and the Bureau have received substantial compliance for nearly all paragraphs and have created a complete system for reviewing and managing use-of-force incidents, and that includes policy changes, training changes, audits, and accountability measures.

In addition, our independent review of use of force indicates that the Police Bureau's overall uses of force are consistent with constitutional standards in general. Over the past five years the Bureau has revised its use-of-force directives, provided training on use of force, including Taser deployment, provided training on de-escalation and force mitigation, and implemented a system of review for all use-of-force events.

As a result, for example, officers are no longer, in

general, confusing command and control tactics with de-escalation during tense interactions, as they were in the beginning.

2.2

The Bureau's inspector continues to conduct careful reviews of all use-of-force events that they might have for policy training, equipment, and personnel. And in our review of use-of-force cases independently confirm the findings of the inspector's analysis regarding the objective reasonableness of force applications and supervisory reviews of those incidents. So when a problem did arise, the officers did receive corrective action.

As required, the inspector also identified trends in use of force at the officer unit and precinct levels and has given feedback to unit commanders, supervisors, and training divisions about these trends. We have also seen evidence of the inspector utilizing a formal feedback system, something which we were insisting on, thereby memorializing the system for future inspectors. So these changes satisfied our remaining recommendations for compliance with Section 3 on force.

Section 4 on training, as you know, is at the heart of the reform process, where new or revised policies on use of force, accountability, crisis intervention, early intervention with officers, and other key reforms are translated into officer education and hands-on training.

After careful observation and analysis, we have concluded that the Bureau has achieved substantial compliance with nearly all of the paragraphs in Section 4. They have created an effective system of training that includes a careful needs assessment upfront; high-quality training; rigorous evaluation of instructors and students, as we have insisted on; feedback of training results to training administrators; a comprehensive audit of the training program; and user friendly

2.2

If maintained, this training system should be a driving force for continued improvement in street-level decision-making by officers regarding force, de-escalation, and procedurally just treatment of Portland residents.

automated recordkeeping system on the training received.

Our assessment of training in 2018 revealed that only three paragraphs had yet to achieve substantial compliance.

Two of those had reached substantial compliance by the end of the first quarter of 2019; paragraph 81, requiring an electronic database to capture all training records and one that's accessible to supervisors; paragraph 85, requiring that the inspector audit the training program.

The only remaining area of training where additional work is needed, paragraph 84-A1A, which requires the Bureau to increase the use of role-playing scenarios and interactive exercises related to force, ethical decision-making, and peer intervention.

And given the importance of procedurally just actions for presenting escalation of tension and for making ethical decisions, we have requested the Bureau's training division give members more opportunities to practice interpersonal skills related to procedural justice.

2.2

Specifically to achieve substantial compliance, the Bureau is expected to design a training scenario where all officers can rehearse the skills needed for a respectful, fair, empathic, and effective communication with members of the community and receive feedback on their performance. We have reviewed a draft of their scenario training and will be providing feedback to the training division in the near future.

Sections 5 and 6 on mental health responses. After extensive analysis over several years, we are now confident that the City is very near to substantial compliance with the terms of Section 6 on crisis intervention and has already substantially complied with Section 5 on community-based mental health services.

I thought DOJ has done a very nice job of summarizing some of those details. The COCL team has previously maintained that the dispatch criteria used by the BHUAC should be expanded to allow ECIT officers to respond to more mental health calls because of their demonstrated ability to handle these calls differently than non-ECIT officers.

Over the past four years, the City has been

responsive by revising the BHUAC dispatch criteria, by revising directive 850.20, and providing additional training to BHUAC employees on multiple occasions.

2.2

More recently, BHUAC provided in-service training to call-takers and dispatchers, expanding upon previous training and reinforcing the mantra "when in doubt, send them out," referring to ECIT officers.

Initial impact of the training appears positive, with a higher number of ECIT calls in April and May of 2019 compared to those in the months of 2018.

We also bring to your attention, Your Honor, that the Bureau has resolved data reliability issues that we had raised earlier in paragraph 105. Through the training of supervisors and unified BHUAC and Bureau data set and quality assurance checks on mental health templates submitted by officers, the Bureau is now able to more accurately be able to document interactions with persons with mental illness.

Looking at the larger picture, we want to emphasize that the Bureau and the City have an excellent overall system in mental health response compared to what we have seen in other places. When considering the Behavior Health Response Team and the Service Coordination Team, working in conjunction with the ECIT program, we believe Portland has a comprehensive strategy that mitigates the original concerns articulated in the settlement agreement.

Under Section 7, the Bureau is required to maintain and enhance its Employee Information System, EIS, to more effectively identify at-risk employees, supervisors, and a team to address potentially problematic trends in a timely manner. This includes the requirement that commanders and supervisors conduct prompt reviews of EIS records for both current and new employees under their supervision and to document the review; that the review has occurred in the EIS performance tracker.

2.2

To achieve this, the Bureau has created a system that notifies and reminds managers to complete their EIS reviews in a timely manner. Our analysis revealed that compliance rates were low at the start, but the Bureau's enhanced notification and reminder system for EIS reviews has led to nearly 100 percent compliance within the past six months. Furthermore, the between-unit differences that were observed have largely disappeared with nearly all units completing the required reviews on time.

The Bureau has also enhanced its EIS to identify and address problematic trends at the individual group level.

Intervention rates for alerts related to force have increased, suggesting that supervisor in-service training on this matter was effective.

Additionally, the Bureau has begun performing intervention for officers to receive EIS alerts for trends in administrative complaints.

For paragraph 117, the Bureau continues to use force audit data to identify groups, units, and supervisors.

Responding to our recommendations, the Bureau has revised its SOP 47 to formalize the process for identifying outliers, formally identifying groups to the RU managers, and managing group-level transfers to improve trends.

2.2

Finally, as advocates for a more evidence-based approach to policing, we are pleased that the Bureau is using a risk management model to further identify potential problematic officers, supervisors, and groups. This risk management approach, which involves the simultaneous analysis of multiple variables that may help to predict at-risk employees and at-risk behavior, goes beyond the requirements of a settlement agreement and represents a more comprehensive approach to potential problematic trends.

Section 8, on officer accountability, last year we reported progress made by the City to achieve due process during administrative investigations and enhanced civilian oversight. The independent police review, or IPR, has continued to take on more cases, and we continue to believe that additional civilian oversight is a good trend for Portland and for the nation as a whole. However, this translation has not come without a price. Such investigations were new to IPR and IPR investigators, and so this required policy changes, joint training, and other adjustments, as some others have

discussed.

2.2

But as we reported, IPR administrative investigations, in general, are being done in a comprehensive manner and are producing incredible and reliable findings. Perhaps the most challenging requirement of the entire settlement agreement is paragraph 121, which requires the City to complete administrative investigations within 180 days of the receipt of a complaint of misconduct or discovery of misconduct by other means.

The Bureau and IPR have struggled with this requirement but have made progress completing the various stages of administrative investigation in the past six months, as we have documented in our report empirically.

Over the past, the Bureau, IPR, DOJ, and COCL have been engaged in a serious dialogue about this issue. Both the Bureau and IPR have critically reviewed their protocols for conducting administrative investigations and have carefully analyzed the reasons that cases have exceeded the 180-day timeline. So we are pleased with that kind of empirical analysis.

A number of factors that can reasonably extend an investigation beyond 120 days for good cause have been identified, such as the number of alleged officers, the number of witnesses, the number of allegations, the nature and seriousness of those allegations, and the need for outside

forensic evidence testing, just to name a few.

2.2

However, there are other factors, such as a lack of adequate supervision and management structure, that need to be corrected and are in the process of being corrected. This week, the DOJ, COCL, and the City met to discuss this issue in detail and to evaluate the factors that inhibit expeditious investigations.

Some of the larger delays have occurred at IPR, and in response they have proposed a new project management structure that includes timelines for each stage of the investigation, stronger supervision and management oversight, and more support for our investigators who are facing difficult cases or heavy workloads. We believe this is a reasonable approach to correcting this problem. Also, IPR and Internal Affairs hold weekly meetings to ensure that cases are moving ahead with fewer interruptions, although keeping their independent assessment.

As a result of these corrections, Your Honor, the City has made considerable progress on this issue, as reflected in the reduced time needed to complete various stages.

However, we wish to emphasize that efficiency is not the only consideration. The community, as well as our legal system, expects a thorough and fair investigation of the facts and carefully develop findings based upon the preponderance of the evidence, and that takes time.

Section 9, as you know, requires the City to create and support a system of community engagement around the terms of the settlement agreement. To achieve this goal, the Portland Committee on Community Engaged Policing, or PCCEP, has been empowered to replace the COAB. This is obviously the topic of the day

THE COURT: Or at least the morning.

2.2

DR. ROSENBAUM: The morning for sure.

Under Section 9, the Bureau is also expected to make additional community outreach efforts to promote confidence in the Bureau and facilitate police-community relations. The Bureau has done a lot of that, especially under the new chief with their five-year outreach plan. I'll talk about that in a second.

In our fourth quarter report of 2018, we covered community engagement that the Bureau is doing in considerable detail, and we later provided an update in our first quarterly report in 2019.

Let me briefly summarize some of this work, first of the PCCEP and then the Bureau.

Our analysis suggested that the City invested considerable time and resources in recruiting and training and supporting members of the PCCEP, and we observed this entire process. Since then, PCCEP has continued to function as a new body that seeks community input and makes recommendations about

ways to improve police-community relations. PCCEP has met regularly since their inaugural November meeting, including holding town hall meetings in January and April of 2019 to review our compliance findings and conduct other business.

2.2

PCCEP has established four subcommittees, as people have already discussed, though attendance at these meetings has been sparse. Since PCCEP was originally seated, several members have resigned, as has been discussed, but alternatives have been elevated to fill these seats, and they're operating at near full capacity.

More importantly, there are a number of people in the pool of alternates, and the City's staff have finalized a process to ensure that the alternate pool is repopulated, as needed.

Our overall assessment, frankly, is that PCCEP is on track to function as a legitimate body of community engagement and feedback on the performance of the Bureau. The members, from our perspective, are very talented, thoughtful, and motivated to make a difference in police-community relations, and you've heard from them here already.

As we would expect from an independent body, the members have not been elected to express criticism of the Portland Police Bureau, which I think is equally important. In sum, we believe this group, if supported by the City and if supported and endorsed by other stakeholders in Portland, has

the capacity to engage effectively with the Bureau and the authority to hold them accountable for strategies linked to public trust.

2.2

Substantial compliance for paragraph 142 will be assigned when PCCEP can complete the work required in the PCCEP plan and can contribute to the Bureau's community engagement plan. We are also tracking the City's progress to hire a PCCEP project director, project manager, and community organizer. The first round of interviews with this project director occurred in early June, and our understanding is that the hiring is expected by the end of this month.

Quickly, to the Bureau's work in community
engagement, as we described in our reports, the Police Bureau's
other community engagement work has been extensive, working
with marginalized and at-risk communities through various
outreach programs and activities. The remaining community
engagement task for the Bureau to achieve substantial
compliance, under paragraph 145, are, A, to develop a working,
transparent working relationship with PCCEP; and B, to develop
a reasonable community engagement plan with input from PCCEP.

At the March PCCEP meeting, Chief Outlaw and her staff presented the community engagement component of the Bureau's five-year strategic plan to provide a framework for developing the community engagement plan, as required under the settlement agreement, and seek community input from PCCEP.

Furthermore, the Bureau has attended PCCEP subcommittee meetings and distributed a template for receiving community input on a community engagement plan.

A citywide survey, by the way, of community members, required in paragraph 146, has been developed, has been reviewed by PCCEP, and our professional opinion is that the survey methods were sound. I am happy to talk to you about that more, if necessary.

The survey is complete now. The results have been delivered to PCCEP and released to the community. So the final step to achieve substantial compliance is for the City and PCCEP to use the results to inform the work of the PCCEP and the development and implementation of the community engagement plan.

The Bureau has completed the requirements of 147 to collect and distribute precinct level demographic data that can be used for outreach and policing programs specifically tailored to local residents and to inform the work of PCCEP.

Using Census Bureau data, the Bureau has now delivered this information to PCCEP containing these precinct-level demographic statistics.

The Bureau has also collected, analyzed, and reported demographic data on police encounters with the public, as required by paragraph 148, to examine potential bias and police stops by race, age, sex, and mental health of a community

member. The Bureau has submitted these data as well to PCCEP.

2.2

Paragraph 149 required the Bureau, the DOJ, and COCL to agree on a set of metrics and present them to the PCCEP for review. That work has been completed, Your Honor, and we agreed on several indicators of community engagement and outreach for the Bureau. I won't list those now, but I am happy to, if you'd like.

Finally, in paragraph 150, it requires the Bureau to issue an annual report with specific content. The timely release of these reports have been a problem in the past, but that has been corrected this year. The final version of the 2017 report has been released, and we are awaiting the 2018 report, which the Bureau anticipates releasing this month. We can assign substantial compliance with paragraph 150 when the Bureau is completed precinct presentations on the report and has presented its annual report to the City Council.

Thank you, Your Honor

THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Rosenbaum. I appreciate that presentation.

All right. At this time let me invite the AMA Coalition to discuss overall compliance with the agreement.

Is that you, Ms. Albies?

MS. ALBIES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dr. Bethel will be presenting.

THE COURT: Dr. Bethel.

DR. BETHEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

2.2

The City has made progress on the settlement agreement. It seems to be that there are some discrepancies as to what areas are in compliance and what areas are not in compliance or in substantial compliance. There really needs to be a meeting of the minds between the COCL, the DOJ, and the City, as well as the other partners, to help determine and eliminate these areas where there is still compliance needed and what has been complied with.

We must ask who determines the level of compliance for the settlement agreement. Certainly it should not be the City alone, nor should it be the community. I think COCL was the one assigned to monitor this, as that officer, and DOJ to concur, and we want to see that happen as quickly as possible.

We want to come back again and talk about the PCCEP for just a few moments and talk about the budget for the PCCEP. We still believe that the organizing community organizer is needed. This is not an area or a position that is optional. It is a necessity to bring about full implementation and encourage potential for the PCCEP.

Even if, as the PCCEP members/co-chairs implicated this morning, are of the opinion that the subcommittees are the place to get most productivity and work done and if they would get out and engage the community, they believe that would be of great help for accomplishing what PCCEP is to do, even if they

did not have the community in attendance at the meetings.

Well, even at that point, to give a voice of the community, you need an organizer to help out, because currently and presently, at this time, that is not being done. The potential is there to see that happen. We feel that this organizer is very much a necessity to have.

2.2

We also believe that transparency is being asked for and needed in the area of knowing what is the total budget for the PCCEP, not just a line item, but the line item detailed and breakdown. What is there for the members of the committee to be supported? What are the resources there for the community organizer? For the project manager? We feel that would be of help for us to further understand how PCCEP is to be implemented and carried out.

We ask the question: What was the intention of the settlement agreement? We've had many different answers. But basically it was to reduce the force of those against mental illness or perceived to have mental illness and also, in addition to that, we would have to talk about the use of force against others, which is disparate to those persons of color.

It is still disheartening that those numbers are not going down but seem to be rising, even since the Department of Justice has come to town. Is the settlement agreement work being done, accomplishing what it was designed to accomplish? The United States referred to the work in progress but was not

effectively being used -- the EIS information system -- on officers.

2.2

We looked at the report from the COCL's quarterly report, and it shows that supervisors intervene at a higher percentage in traumatic incidents with officers -- about 72 percent -- as opposed to when it is dealing with those in terms of force. The intervention has been 38.7 percent as compared to 71.9. Then when we talk about complaints, it is only 11.4 percent in terms of interventions.

We believe that when these thresholds are met, interventions need to be just as effective and applied equally between those of use of force and community complaints by supervisors as they are dealing with those of traumatic incidences with officers.

We concur with the United States that accountability has had some progress, they say. But we concur with the fact that it is the most difficult to bring into compliance. And one continues to ask the question: Why is there so much resistance and what can really be done to bring about compliance? If it is the most difficult area -- or one of the most difficult areas -- then it seems that we must begin to apply some more strength to bring about cooperation to bring in compliance the accountability of what we had. We are still finding that we're finding more and more no true bills being returned from grand juries and more and more people are being

impacted on the force that is being used on them by the Portland Police Bureau.

2.2

We appreciate the fact that the use of force is down with regards to people with mental health issues or those who are perceived to be in mental health crisis. We also agree with the Department of Justice that deadly force incidents have tremendous impact on the public's perception of the Portland Police Bureau's efforts in effectiveness in engaging safely with people in crisis, but so does Portland Police Bureau's response to those critical incidences. Lack of accountability exacerbates community distrust in Portland Police Bureau in the wake of these incidences. 77 percent of people, typically African-Americans, saying they lack trust in the Bureau really brings us back to the point that we must have a coming together to build and develop more and more trust between community and the Bureau.

For a moment let me address the IPR and the lack of access that we're seeing of them to all confidential information, such as CAD reports, et cetera. There needs to be some mechanism for IPR to gain access to these types of information so they're able to conduct a more thorough investigation. We're still awaiting the release of the 2018 report that the Bureau is to present as well as to the precinct and to the Council, and yet, to date, it is our understanding that no presentation has been made to Council.

As we stated in our report to the Court, in order to have effective community relations, to work on repairing the harm of the past, we must have some type of truth and reconciliation being done. We need not only just refer back to the report of black and blue. We may not only just refer back to the last several months of things that have happened. We do not look at our past to define us, nor do we look back to the past to be our destiny. We look back to the past so that it educates us, to bring us about to progressive change, and not just enough change to ease the pressure, not just enough pain to change to remove the media coverage, not just enough change to say we're trying, and we dress it up with some report of a lot of quantitative things done, but no qualitative results that are coming.

2.2

We call upon the collaboration of the partners, the Department of Justice, the City, PPA, MHA, AMAC, and the community to work on the settlement agreement and bring this to a win/win for all of us.

I will conclude with this in this short period of time today: The City of Portland is a loving city. I was explaining to someone yesterday why I came to Portland and how impressed I was about the City when I first got off the plane and did some exploration around the City. I have been here now over 25 years.

I don't find it to be a place that is anti-police,

nor do I find it to be a place to be termed as a "cesspool." I must understandably recognize that we have issues. What city does not have issues? But I celebrate our livability. I celebrate that we do have the ability to sit around the table and discuss issues, even though we may not agree on all of those issues, at least we have a seat at the table to talk about those.

Understand that an encounter between a person with another person colors the opinion of that person if it does not go in a certain way, and the same as it is with institutions. Where we are today with our struggle and our fight for justice in quality, with those with mental health issues, illnesses, or perceived; that of the use of force and excessive use of force and all the other ramifications we have in the settlement agreement did not get there overnight. It will not go away overnight. But it's time for us to recognize that we really have been and really began to do something about them, and let's move the color off the palette and blend it together so we can get a great portrait, a masterpiece, that the City of Portland really is for all of her citizens.

Thank you, Judge

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Bethel.

Dr. Bethel, one of the points that you made in your oral comments was that the IPR is having difficulty getting access to certain needed documents from the Police Bureau.

That's also, I noticed and I read, referenced in the AMA's written comments as well.

Can someone here tell me a little bit more about the progress that's being made about how are we going to get a handle on that issue? AMA may want to start or go to the United States.

Mr. Geissler.

2.2

MR. GEISSLER: Your Honor, there are a few categories of documents. There are dispatch records from the CAD system, as there are LEDS records. The LEDS records are governed by state law, and the FBI also audits the data from NCIC. Those records, to my understanding -- and the Police Bureau can be more expert on this -- those records are only available to law enforcement officers, not to the Independent Police Review.

The CAD data, and such, are provided to the City.

Perhaps the City could generate a system where IPR could have direct access to the terminal. But, in either case, the solution that has been in place and could continue to work is to have access from IPR, making a request to the Police Bureau, and the Police Bureau timely responding. If the data show that IPR cannot get the data in a timely fashion, then we need to address what is the adequate remedy. The adequate remedy may not be trying to change state or federal law. It may be having the Police Bureau respond in a timely fashion or giving them direct access if they walk across the street.

THE COURT: So where are we in that problem? Have they made requests for documents that they believe have not been timely provided? Where are we on that issue?

2.2

MR. GEISSLER: We did a postmortem on the series of cases that IPR conducted independently. That took more than 180 days. We sat with Mr. Berry, with IPR, and went through as a group. Of those cases that IPR ran independently, and they would need the data on their own and just not have internal affairs do an investigation, none of those cases involved an instance in which IPR identified to us a lack of timely production of LEDS data or CAD data.

I know it would be easier and more expeditious, perhaps, if they had the data immediately available themselves. But it doesn't appear to be the driver, at least for that postmortem of the cases. It doesn't appear to be the driver of the late cases.

THE COURT: Does the AMA have any further insight into what the nature of the problem is in terms of does the AMA perceive that IPR has been requesting information and not getting a timely response, as opposed to do I understand the fact that they don't have a realtime delay to request some of these things?

DR. BETHEL: Judge Simon, we refer, again, to the COCL's quarterly report where it says "related to this," and it is talking about the IPR access to documents. These are

remaining issues with administrative investigations beginning on page 77 of that document, and may I read this short paragraph? "Related to this, one investigator noted that IPR does not have direct access to confidential information," which ultimately impedes timely and comprehensive investigations.

2.2

"Subsequent conversations reveal that because IPR investigators are not law enforcement, information on records management system and computer-aided dispatch records at times requires redaction. Determining the legality of IPR investigators accessing confidential and/or system documents is best reserved for those with better knowledge of Portland City Code and state law. We are, therefore, unaware whether this issue is one that cannot be avoided or simply requires additional background of IPR investigators. However, where possible, the Portland Police Bureau and the City should work together to resolve outstanding issues related to document access."

We call attention to that paragraph because the COCL brought it out in its report, which is open, and all of us have seen, and that's why we are addressing the issue that we have concerns that they are not being able to access the information they need to do timely and thorough investigations.

THE COURT: Dr. Rosenbaum, do you have any insights on this issue? On the one hand, one can say you can't access it because you don't have the ability directly to get online

information because you're not law enforcement, but on the other hand, you can access it by making a request. On the third hand, making a request is not necessarily productive if either the response to the request takes too much time or results in something that has too much redaction to make it unusable.

Any insight?

2.2

DR. ROSENBAUM: Mr. Christoff will be addressing this.

MR. CHRISTOFF: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I am

Tom Christoff, a member of the compliance officer team. With

reference to the lack of access, one of the things we had done

for, I believe, our quarter four 2018 report, because of the

joint training that IPR and IA had gone through because of the

changes to the system, we interviewed both IA investigators as

IPR investigators.

One of the issues that was identified, and it was one of a number of issues identified, was this lack of direct access. When we had spoken with folks from PPB, they informed us that if IPR does make a request, that that request gets priority over any other request for information. It was an issue that was identified by investigators, but like I say, it was one of many.

I understand that the City has attempted to make changes to the state of law, but they have been unsuccessful.

Again, it was a question of -- I don't know if it was -- we don't have any indication that it is the cause of a delay over 180 days. It was just one of the of issues that IPR investigators brought to our attention.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. CHRISTOFF: Thank you.

THE COURT: At this time I will call on the Mental Health Alliance for its views on overall compliance.

Mr. Chavez.

2.2

MR. CHAVEZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I would also like to call upon our MHA member, Jan Freidman.

THE COURT: Of course. Welcome.

MR. CHAVEZ: So we would echo the concerns of AMAC, as far as where we are in the implementation of the overall settlement agreement.

Additionally, we would like to highlight a couple of things that we cited in our brief. Back in the hearing in October, when discussing qualitative metrics, one of the ones that Mr. Renaud cited was whether or not members of PCCEP would feel comfortable calling the police themselves if they were in crisis.

As you can see from the materials that we produced, unfortunately, deadly force contact with police have unfortunately affected persons with mental illness, which brings our belief that we are far -- perhaps not far -- but we

need more time to work on that before we could ever say we are in compliance.

2.2

And we would like to take the opportunity, since it was questioned earlier regarding body cameras, MHA does have some positions on whether or not body cameras would be appropriate, particularly as it relates to persons with mental illness. So I would just like to convey some of our beliefs.

If there was, in fact, a body camera program developed by the City and piloted, we would be okay with that so long as it follows our recommendations, particularly that the cameras will not be operated while on hospital property, including hospital parking lots. It will not be operated on welfare checks; when a person is not a suspect or in an ambulance or being assisted by medical personnel; when the police are intervening on suicide or self-harm calls; a request for medical assistance; on property of a mental health agency, an alcohol and drug facility, or housing owned and operated by such an organization.

They should not be used on property for clean and sober housing, such as the Oxford House. They should not be used on property or on a person that is not a suspect or known to be suspected to be experiencing symptoms of mental illness, have a brain injury, or have an intellectual disability.

Cameras should not be operated when a person is not a suspect and is known or suspected to be intoxicated or under

the influence of drugs, or when a person is not a suspect in an alleged sex crime that has been committed against them, or when a person is not a suspect and is known or suspected to be in shock, or without permission of a parent or guardian when a person is a minor, or against the wishes of that guardian and conservator.

2.2

THE COURT: When you say that it shouldn't be used on some one who is intoxicated or suspected of intoxicated, so if someone is making a disturbance somewhere, and the police interact, it's your position that if it appears that person is intoxicated, and that may be playing a role in that disturbance, a body camera shouldn't be used?

MR. CHAVEZ: Specifically when they are not a suspect. We believe if they are a suspect of that matter, if they are causing a disturbance, or in the circumstance of a disturbance that would give rise to them being a suspect, in which case a camera would be appropriate. But if it is purely a circumstance where we have a person with a substance abuse problem, having a camera present isn't presumed to be some investigatory process. So we don't believe a camera should be operated at that time.

We would hardly advocate that the council create a process whereby civilians can provide oversight and can request and review those videos. The recordings should be made available to civilian and police oversight bodies, the

auditor's officers, commissioners, the IPR, the Citizens Review Committee, PCCEP, and BHUAC.

2.2

Additional recordings should not be accessible without court order to the Portland police officers who were at the recorded event. The recording should be accessible to PPB commanding officers for use in supervision and for training department purposes for assistance in instruction. The recording should be made available on request to defendants directly, without cost, if charges are not filed or are dropped. The recording should be made available on request to attorneys for defendants if charges are filed.

The recordings should not be used by PPB or the City in its documents or messages or promotion or improvement materials. The recordings should not be shared publicly online by PPB or individual officers.

The recordings should be kept for at least two years, and all persons appearing in the recording should be shared with defendants or their attorney introduced as evidence in trial, used in police training, reviewed by citizen oversight committees or by -- city employees should be advised prior to the release.

One last thing before I turn matters over to

Ms. Freidman. We would also highlight again, as we have at the
prior hearing, that we do not believe that the City is in
compliance or substantial compliance with paragraphs 88 and 89

of Section 5 of the settlement agreement, particularly the drop-off and walk-in center and support for creating --

2.2

THE COURT: So what would be needed to come into compliance with those paragraphs in the opinion of the MHA?

MR. CHAVEZ: Certainly. We believe that an effective drop-off and walk-in center would be designed to provide a client-centered trauma care that is based on the urgent or emergent concerns identified at the time of arrival to such location. There should be resources for follow-up care and support in the community, including geographic proximity to a mental health officer or other services that might immediately meet the clients' needs.

Peer support would be important. Individuals with mental health and/or substance abuse disorder, persons with living experiences or trained to provide engagement and assistance as well as access to mental health and substance abuse providers for matters of greater acuity in an emergency.

Additionally, culturally relevant and specific support and resources reflected with those that utilize the services, they would provide opportunities to address basic living needs at the time of the visit, including such services such as restrooms, showers, laundry, food, places that charge their phones.

We would hope that these facilities would divert people from emergency departments and jails and corrections

facilities and that there would be support for police drop-off and individual or referred walk-in methods for arrival and access -- fundamental access. They need to have a mental health and a general health program that would allow people to actually access them without cost or reduced cost.

So those are the factors that we're looking at, and those are not being met currently with -- well, like the Unity Center. We are heartened that Multnomah County has made a significant investment in developing a facility that could meet a few of these needs, but we do not see the City's involvement as significant in that requirement, and we would ask that we could check that off our list.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Chavez.

Ms. Freidman, welcome.

2.2

MS. FREIDMAN: Thank you. I'm Jan Freidman. I am with the Mental Health Alliance. I work at Disability Rights of Oregon. I'm here to talk about the same thing that everybody else is here to talk about, which is the importance of community engagement, communication, and trust building, including with the Behavioral Health Unit Advisory Committee, which, as you know, is specifically a unit that was a creation of the settlement agreement to help people with mental health issues in our community and the police in their interaction and communication.

So I'm just going to read a quote from the National

Council on Disabilities recommendations from Privileges to Rights. This was almost 20 years ago, but it is still true today.

2.2

"People labeled with mental health disabilities should have a major role in the direction and control of programs and services designed for their psychiatric disabilities themselves and should not be confused with the roles that family members, professional advocates, and others often play when consumer input is sought."

This also is a similar underpinning of the peer recovery programs. So, as you know, the importance of community engagement is emphasized all over the settlement agreement. Throughout it, it talks about trust between the community and the Portland Police Bureau and the importance of gaining that kind of confidence.

There is a quote that has to do with setting up the COAB; that there's a significant community and city interest in improving Portland Police Bureau's community relationships.

"The community is a critical resource in redefining and restructuring the existing community input mechanisms to provide for independent oversight of the agreement while also enhancing Portland Police Bureau's current community outreach efforts will promote community confidence in Portland Police Bureau and facilitate police-community relations necessary to promote public safety."

So the reason I bring this up is the Behavioral

Health Unit Advisory Committee is a governing body under the

Oregon public community laws, and they are giving

recommendations and thoughts and information to Portland Police

Bureau, as well as BHUAC, which are both public bodies, and

they need to gather information and make recommendations, and a

forum is used to make decisions.

2.2

As stated in our Mental Health Alliance's letter to the Mayor dated February 1st of this year, BHUAC comes under the Portland meeting laws. Even if it were a close call, the public community laws themselves say that if it is close, construe it in favor of openness. We've requested that the BHUAC be under the meeting laws. The City Attorney, who is here, has responded, also in February, saying, well, they can decide if they feel that's appropriate, and also that they're not officially under the Oregon public meetings laws for a variety of reasons, which we believe are not accurate.

Basically, the way the BHUAC works, there is a BHUAC lieutenant. There is also a BOEC representative. They set up the meeting. They get the recommendations. They get the information. They forward those on to the BOEC. It gets forwarded on further in Portland Police Bureau. It gets forwarded on to the compliance people, and it is done by forum.

So it really comes under the public meeting laws. It's certainly within the spirit of the settlement agreement.

We very much appreciate you that, during all of these hearings, you have welcomed and made accessible and been gracious to all comers -- the public -- and that is key for there to be the sort of healing and empowerment of everybody in our community. It can't be an "us" and "them." Well, we at the BHUAC represent all these people. Everybody needs to be able to come, if they want to -- many people don't want to -- and have a voice and at least have the doors opened and allow there to be public input.

2.2

So that's something we feel that is important and is really key on community engagement, especially for this unit that has three functioning aspects that are allowing people with mental health issues to be served better by the police. I mean, this is something that would help engender trust if people actually knew about it. But they should also not find out about it after the fact, but actually have some input.

"You know, I think it would work better if you did it this way."

It seems like sometimes, with having the public come, there is this fear that it is just going it make everything worse; but, no, you could have someone come who is going to come up with ideas, like they did today, that nobody would say. And they're important. They're making us think, and they're making us improve our city and improve our relations.

So on behalf of the Mental Health Alliance, I request

that you consider taking whatever action you feel appropriate in terms of allowing BHUAC to feel comfortable in opening their doors and allowing people to see what they are doing and have input and see what's happening.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Freidman.

2.2

Any comments or responses from the United States, from AMA, PPA, or the City on that specific issue?

MR. HAGER: Your Honor, we have not required the meeting be public and have deferred to the self-determination of the committee. We don't take a position on whether it is subject to Oregon public meetings law. I think that would be up for the state courts to decide.

THE COURT: On the merits or the suggestion, not the legality of it, you're saying that you're leaving that to the self-determination?

MR. HAGER: Yeah. Earlier this year Jason Renaud gave a presentation to the Behavioral Health Unit Advisory

Committee urging them to vote in favor of opening their meeting. That had been scheduled. They hadn't had their quorum. I understand they are going to be taking that vote up, but from the United States' perspective it is up to the committee.

THE COURT: Thank you. Does anyone else want to be heard on that issue at this time?

MS. REEVE: Your Honor, from the City's perspective,

we don't believe that there is a requirement under the public meetings law that the meetings be open. I have provided that written analysis to the BHUAC and the Mental Health Alliance and also came and briefed them at the meeting. We also agreed and understand that the Police Bureau has taken the position that the BHUAC itself should make the determination about how it can function best.

THE COURT: Am I correct in concluding that the City, though, does not believe that if the unit wishes to open its meetings, all of its meetings or some of its meetings, there would be anything legally that would preclude that? Am I correct?

MS. REEVE: Correct.

2.2

THE COURT: Anyone else?

MS. ALBIES: The AMAC, as most people can probably guess, believe in transparency and accountability, and so we would support opening up those meetings regardless of the legal position.

THE COURT: When you say "regardless of the legal position," I think we have a consensus, a complete agreement, there's no legal prohibition for them opening it up. Whether or not there is a legal requirement is not before me, nor as I think is my place to opine upon, at least not without briefing, argument, and jurisdiction.

But everybody seems to agree that there is no legal

prohibition. We have heard some arguments in terms of why it 1 2 would be helpful and a transparency if at least some of the 3 meetings were opened up. As I hear from Mr. Hager, a final decision has not 5 yet been made by a self-determining body on that question? 6 MR. HAGER: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: The remaining items on my agenda are to 8 hear compliance assessment overall from the PCCEP, from the 9 Portland Police Association, and then finally from the City. 10 Would you all like one final ten-minute recess? 11 THE COURT REPORTER: I would, Judge. 12 THE COURT: That's a good answer. Some votes count 13 more than others. (Laughter.) 14 Ten-minute recess. 15 (Recess.) (Open court; proceedings resumed:) 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. At this time I invite comments from the PCCEP 18 regarding compliance assessment. 19 20 Welcome back. 21 MR. DRURY: It's good to be up here again, 2.2 Your Honor. I am going to keep my remarks brief. I feel a lot 23 of the groups have already had a lot to do with this. 24 just going to speak on my behalf. Some of our other members 25 are here. They would articulate some of this, but I don't want to speak for all of them.

2.2

As far as the issue of compliance is concerned with the settlement, I find the words "substantial compliance" to be very difficult, given the state of police-community relations in our city right now. That being said, I think PPB will fulfill its compliance requirements.

So what I've always kept in mind and talked to our fellow committee members and the officers that have come to present before us with regard to compliance, compliance does not equal community trust or community trust in the institution of policing. I think there's still a substantial way to go before that's there. So when we talk about compliance, I'm looking at the larger goal that goes beyond the settlement, and the settlement certainly works, specifically with persons with mental health issues.

I look at the broader scope of people of color, houseless people, and I think there's a substantial amount of work to be done, particularly training improvement, how we respond to certain calls, policy transformation.

There are many areas that I've mentioned, like houseless persons. A recent report is that over half of the calls are to houseless people, persons obviously with mental health issues, people of color. When I'm out teaching, I talk to my students, students of color, and where they are at with the police. There's a huge gap.

We are doing a research project with the Multnomah Youth Commission right now, another organization I work with. We have been talking with a lot of youth about their views on policing, and there is a substantial gap in the latino community, especially with regards to immigration and obviously a person's mental health problems.

2.2

So I'm looking at more of like a community compliance initiative, I think, is what we need. Like I mentioned earlier, I will go back to our subcommittee, and those are the groups that are really going to have to push to see engagement. So when we talk about engaging the community and seeing them at our meetings, it is not like a group of people that are out there waiting to be invited. That's a group that you have to earn their trust, and it goes even beyond the institution of policing. It is an education. It is in every layer of our city that we are failing to engage and properly interact with those groups.

So that is all I have to say as far as the compliance. I think there is a lot to be done. It is up to us to go out there and get to work.

THE COURT: Thank you. It sounds like it is in good hands.

MR. DRURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. REEVE: Your Honor, if I could. At this time

Judith Mowry, who is the PCCEP interim program manager, would

like to speak. I don't know if you would like her to speak now 1 2 during the remainder of the PCCEP time. 3 THE COURT: What's your recommendation? 4 MS. REEVE: Either now or during part of the City's 5 time. 6 THE COURT: I defer to your recommendation. 7 MS. REEVE: Let's have her speak now since we are 8 talking PCCEP. 9 Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Mary, could you get the hand-held microphone. 12 might be easier if you stay on that side of counsel table. 13 Thank you. 14 State your full name, please. 15 MS. MOWRY: My name is Judith Mowry. My day job is 16 that I am a senior policy advisor in the Office of Equity and 17 Human Rights. I was the supervisor of the last project manager When she had to leave unexpectedly, I was asked to 18 for PCCEP. step in and try to fill the void and go. 19 20 I really want to thank everyone in the room. 21 such an important issue. I wanted you to know my background is 2.2 I have done a lot. I was a mediator, a facilitator. 23 done a lot of complex multiparty policy development, community 24 meetings.

And when you want to really include people from

25

different walks of life, who come from different life experiences, what that looks like might not look like what you might put out in a dominate cultured court order for how a meeting would go. I just wanted to share -- first, I wanted to assure everybody we are checking references for the finalists for the project director. That's in play. We are arranging the orientation for the new alternates and anyone who has missed it otherwise.

2.2

But one of my observations is there is so many tensions here that we are trying to hold. The tension of independence for this committee and at the same time giving enough guidance and enough support that they can function.

The thing with location. It was very important to the steering committee and the members that the meetings be all over town so there's accessible places around town for the meetings. Well, the downside of that is there is not perfect locations for every public meeting in every neighborhood, and we don't have -- sometimes it is hard to build up a following for that.

Another thing that is, historically, this group -and this goes back to the COAB -- has dealt with some very
challenging public comment time, to the point of sometimes
being disruptive, clearly being rude, sometimes being
frightening. So I do know that that's been difficult for
people, and I think I might be looking in on YouTube rather

than going into the room, if that was my choice, because it can feel really overwhelming.

2.2

I think the way that particularly Lakayana and his facilitation and the way the meetings have been handled has just been masterful. It is not an easy situation. One of the first people we lost from the PCCEP was someone with lived experience. She had a social anxiety disorder, and it just overwhelmed her completely. This is very public, very high conflict. Nobody else but the City Council has to sit through public involvement and just get slammed on, right, with nothing else to do.

So I thought it was important to say that I think if we want people who are coming from different walks of life, who bring lived experience, we have to figure out that might look different. I know we are kind of partway through a settlement agreement.

I appreciate the oversight and the diligence of the AMA and the mental health community and everybody who is working so hard on this, but I did just also want you to know that we feel -- I speak for Claudia, the other staff member, and myself -- we are very conscientious about the integrity of the group, the integrity of the experience, and, really, again, wanting to support them.

They didn't want an outside facilitator. They wanted to figure out how to do it for themselves. They made

tremendous strides in that. You know, sometimes we miss an agenda item or public comment goes on very long or we don't cover everything on an agenda. The folks at that table are really interested in engaging with the community, and that's what they really want.

2.2

And, again, the last thing I want to say is that this -- particularly about helping the Police Bureau learn how to engage with the community, there are so many expectations on the PCCEP in terms of their own engagement and other tasks that they're supposed to perform. It's just a huge amount to ask any community volunteer to do.

And the last thing, it was written into the agreement about having a community outreach person. I didn't know about that until a little ways into things. There is already an issue where people don't trust you because you work for the City. That's a heartbreak for me sometimes, when people trust my care about the community because of where I work. What we've been thinking is would it make more sense to actually have branding agreements with different community-based organizations for them to do organizing in their communities; to identify the issues they care about that are related to the PCCEP; to bring members, to get people engaged. Because I think that's actually more of a best practice if we really want to start to empower communities to be a part of this community.

So that's some of what's been going on behind the

scenes, and I thought it was only fair to everyone in the room to just have sort of an up-to-date update.

THE COURT: That makes sense, and I very much appreciate your perspectives on this.

Thank you.

2.2

MS. MOWRY: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: I believe it is time for Mr. Karia and the Portland Police Association.

MR. KARIA: Thank you, Your Honor.

I don't have any particular comments on any specific issue of compliance related to a settlement agreement term, but I did notice an important pattern, I think one that is highlighted for the Court, if the Court has not picked up on it, after listening to the United States and the COCL and reading their status reports.

The pattern I picked up on, Your Honor, is that even with final approval by this Court of incredibly important settlement agreement terms, terms that deal with things of high-public interest, such as training, use of force, accountability, to name a few, at least three things have resulted:

One is the City has shown and its officers have shown commitment to reaching substantial compliance. The City and its officers have, in fact, reached substantial compliance on a whole number of measurements set forth in the settlement

agreement, and the parties have actively come before this Court and briefed this Court in public settings to provide some light, if you will, on the work of the Police Bureau and its officers on a day-to-day basis.

With respect to the core issue that's before this

Court as it relates to PCCEP and final approval of those PCCEP

amendments, I think it's worth highlighting, once again, that

final approval does not stop monitoring by the United States or

the COCL. It does not stop the City's active work towards

substantial compliance, and it does not stop the PCCEP from

doing its incredibly important work.

This Court's approval of those PCCEP settlement agreement terms does provide for a stable footing for reaching substantial compliance, which is the parties' ultimate goal with respect to the settlement agreement.

Thank you, sir.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Karia.

All right. Now it is time for further comments from the City, either Ms. Reeve or Mr. Vannier, or both of you.

MS. REEVE: Thank you, Your Honor. I have some brief comments on compliance. Then Mr. Vannier will have some final comments on the issue of the renewed motion for approval of the amendment.

I hope everyone will bear with me a bit. I kind of ditched my prepared remarks because I felt they were going to

be too repetitive of what we have already heard.

2.2

So I want to start by acknowledging and this is, I think, in keeping with the point that Mr. Drury just made -the City and PPB both recognize that we have continued work to
do to improve an area of providing public safety services and
that the settlement agreement was never intended to address all
of those issues. Even when the City does achieve substantial
compliance with the many obligations in the settlement
agreement, much work in other areas will remain.

It's important to note, as many people have, that after the Department of Justice investigation and as a result of that investigation, the settlement agreement in its terms is focused on mental health and largely not on other extremely important issues, such as racial equity. That does not mean that those other issues aren't just as important, but it does mean that they are not the focus of the settlement agreement, which is largely what we are here today to discuss.

With regard to mental health, and as Chief Outlaw noted in her remarks, our society is changing. Over a period of many years, the good news is we've shifted from over-institutionalization of people with mental health conditions to a philosophy of community-based mental health services. The downside, of course, as we all know, is that the resources have not been there to provide community-based mental health services to the extent that they are required. And

we've heard much from the MHA today about that.

2.2

As a result, police officers have been put in the position of often being first responders to mental health crises. I know I'm covering old ground, but the City of Portland and the Police Bureau have worked many years, frankly since well before the Department of Justice's investigation, to try to improve how the City provides public safety services to people experiencing mental illness or a mental health crisis.

And as I have noted, that's been the primary focus of the settlement agreement, and tremendous progress in that area has been made. Every single PPB officer now receives 40 hours of crisis intervention training. Every Bureau of Emergency Communications call taker and dispatcher now receives crisis intervention training. A large subset of Portland Police Bureau officers volunteer as members of the Enhanced Crisis Intervention Team and receive another 40 hours of crisis intervention training.

As we've heard today, the City has the Behavioral Health Unit and the Behavioral Health Unit Advisory Council and the Behavior Health Unit response teams that try to ensure that the Bureau continues to refine and grow and better serve people with mental health needs.

The City is also providing services in other ways, including the service coordination team, and I would just note again, along with there not being enough community-based mental

health services, there is also not enough addiction and recovery services for folks.

2.2

As we heard from Commissioner Hardesty this morning, the City Council is considering a new pilot project for Project Street Respond, which will be another effort to reduce the times when a PPB officer, rather than another more appropriate responder, is dispatched to folks in a mental health crisis.

All of these programs have had and hopefully will continue to have beneficial impacts. None of them is a silver bullet. Honestly, the City, the Portland Police Bureau, the City Council, all of us would love to get to zero uses of force -- serious force against people experiencing a mental health crisis. That may not be necessarily possible to get to zero, and it is not the goal or requirement of the settlement agreement.

What is a reasonable expectation and what is a requirement of the settlement agreement is that PPB delivers police services to the people of Portland in a manner that effectively supports officer and public safety and that complies with the constitutional laws of the United States.

That's the goal of the settlement agreement. That's what we are working towards -- substantial compliance.

I'm going to even abbreviate my abbreviated remarks a little bit in some of these areas. The settlement agreement recognized, at the time we entered into it and negotiated it,

which was several years before it was finally entered, as we know, that there has been an accelerating movement toward a model police management that relies on existing and developing management tools, data, auditing, what I know the compliance officer team calls being a learning organization.

2.2

Much of the most important work that has been done is to enshrine those systems within the Portland Police Bureau, and some of those systems allow PPB to use data to evaluate its development and compliance of policies, uses of force, its training needs and outcomes, and data on its interaction with people in mental illness.

PPB has been extremely fortunate for the last -Chief Outlaw has been here for the last 18 months. She was
closely involved in similar systemic reforms in Oakland. She
believes in them. She is familiar with them, and they are a
key focus of her leadership team.

PPM now has a comprehensive audit system in place.

We have heard a lot about that. It enables it to audit its own uses of force, to have a lot of data about its own use of force, and, in particular, its use of force in connection with people where there's a mental health component.

To some extent, it's hard to compare our current data with prior data because we didn't previously have the systems in place to track it as effectively as we do now -- outcomes and what interactions are necessarily interactions with people

experiencing a mental health crisis or having mental health illness.

2.2

But we can do that now and we can see -- and it has been alluded to here today -- that the vast majority for 2018, over 99.3 percent, over 26,000 interactions in 2018, involved no use of force. I don't want to lose sight of that because, of course, there are some interactions that we have talked about today, when you have an officer-involved shooting and someone loses their life, that is tremendously impactful, and it should be, and there should be very extensive review of that, and there is.

But 99.7 percent of the time when Portland police officers have a call that involves some mental illness or mental health crisis component, 99.3 percent of the time no force at all is used. When force is used, 65 percent of the time -- approximately -- it's the lowest category. Two of those components are resistance against handcuffing, meaning the officers have to use some level of force to apply handcuffs, or to patrol against resistance, where the officer is trying to physically control a subject and has to use some level of force to control their movement.

So the percentage of calls where anything other than extremely minimal uses of force are used is very, very small.

I didn't do well in math. I tried to quickly do the percentage, but it was so small, and I couldn't be sure if I

was doing it correctly, but it is very small.

2.2

We talked about some of the other systems, and I'm not going to go over those, other than to say that, as I mentioned, Chief Outlaw is extremely committed to not only utilizing the systems, but continuing them beyond the life of the settlement agreement, ensuring their independence, and I know that she is going to be -- and she told me that I could say this -- she is going to be announcing organizational changes to further strengthen the independence of some of the auditing and review functions in the very near future.

I would like to briefly address some issues that have been raised earlier today. I mentioned the number of uses of force. I want to point out -- there's, I'm sure, an inadvertent error in the Mental Health Association's submission. In an exhibit to its submission, the Mental Health Association says that in 2018 five people were killed in officer-involved shootings. In fact, there were three such deaths in 2018. To date, in 2019, there have been two deaths from officer-involved shootings

Of the five officer-involved shootings since

January 1, 2018, every subject was armed with either a firearm,

a realistic replica of a firearm, or a knife. The majority of

those officer-involved shootings were in defense of others and

not just a threat to the officers themselves.

Finally, I do want to mention the League of Women

Voters had some very good feedback, and we appreciate their wonderful organization that is reviewing and engaged in monitoring much of this. They did note in their submission that PCCEP has reviewed PPB's 2017 annual plan and made five recommendations, and they were asking what had occurred with those. All five of the recommendations that were forwarded to Chief Outlaw from the PCCEP, with regard to the annual report, were approved by the chief.

2.2

The first was that the draft of the 2018 annual report be prepared no later than June 2019 to allow PCCEP to review and comment in time for PPB no later than September 2019. That report has been prepared and is being finalized. It is in the final draft. It is currently being edited and will be provided to PCCEP before its June meeting later this month.

The precinct meetings, because, as we all know, COAB was not functioning for a period of time, and so there was no community engagement body to review the 2017 report until PCCEP was seated. So PCCEP did review that, but we didn't have separate precinct meetings because it was getting compressed with the 2018 meetings. So precinct meetings will be scheduled in the near future. Both of those annual reports will be reviewed, and then we will get back to the one annual review.

Notice of those meetings will be pushed for a variety of meetings that include PPB's website, the City's website,

including Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, all of the existing advisory councils, and each precinct also has its own network of city and advisory councils that will put that information out. PCCEP will post it on their website and push it out to their network.

2.2

In closing, I just want to note that the City and the Portland Police Bureau have made systematic reforms that will enable the Portland Police Bureau to audit its own performance and to gather data and make data and to inform decisions and corrections as it goes forward. These systems have resulted in both improvements, to date, in reductions in uses of force and improved training, but perhaps equally importantly, they enable PPB to monitor its own performance and determine where improvement is needed and make that improvement and to truly become learning organizations. Those systems will be extremely useful beyond the life of the settlement agreement, and they will be extremely useful as PPB is able to devote more focus to other system issues, including continued progress on racial equity.

Thank you very much

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Reeve. I appreciate those comments very much.

Mr. Vannier.

MR. VANNIER: Thank you, Your Honor.

So I'm here to just hopefully wrap things up a little

bit and just offer some brief argument on the parties' joint renewed motion to enter the settlement.

2.2

Now, as Your Honor heard today, the PCCEP is a functioning body and has been operating as such for slightly over six months at this point. As a matter of law, the parties have met the legal requirements for entry of the full amended settlement agreement at this time.

THE COURT: And those legal requirements are what?

MR. VANNIER: The legal requirements are those

provided by -- so it was paragraph -- I believe it is 194 of

the original settlement agreement and now paragraph 184 of the

amended settlement agreement. That process is essentially that

those amendments were formulated with the United States. They

are submitted to this Court by stipulation of the parties, and

they become effective, I believe it states after 45 days,

absent further action by the Court. Our position is that under

the circumstances of this case, it is not a discretionary

matter; that the legal standard for the entry of the amendments

have been made.

Now, I do want to also add that even if it were a discretionary matter, on this record, declining to enter the PCCEP amendments, I believe, on this record, would be an abuse of discretion, and I'll explain why.

On this record, there is nothing that suggests that the PCCEP amendments are not fair, adequate, and reasonable.

You have heard concerns about the implementation of the PCCEP amendments. However, you have heard no concerns about the underlying structure, and that is something that is important to emphasize. Concerns about implementation do not provide a valid legal basis for declining to adopt -- to accept -- I'm sorry -- the parties' stipulated amendment. Again, approving the amendments is just part of the journey. The parties and the City will be reporting to this Court. The DOJ will be monitoring the City's compliance. However, at this time the parties especially need clarity. The City does need to know what it is being legally required to comply with and the U.S. DOJ needs to know what it is monitoring.

2.2

So for those reasons, Your Honor, we would urge this Court to accept the joint motion to enter the stipulated agreement at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vannier.

Anything further from anyone else?

All right. Let me state that --

MR. GEISSLER: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Geissler.

MR. GEISSLER: One suggestion. If Your Honor would be inclined to grant final approval today of the PCCEP amendments, we would be amenable to showing up in three months or six months to report back on the status of further implementation. I don't want to give the impression to either

the Court or the audience that there is a capacity involved here. This is an open process, as transparent as the AMAC rightfully seeks. If we could have finality to know what we should monitor, we would still report back to the Court.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: I understand. I also understand

Mr. Vannier's position to be not inconsistent with what you
just said as well.

To the extent that anyone here or the City is arguing that there is no discretionary action needed by the Court, I disagree. But if you are right, well, then there is an appellate process to correct that. But I don't agree.

I think that, ultimately, here the question is: Are the PCCEP amendments, which is the only part that has been conditionally approved and that remains, are they fair, reasonable, and adequate? I have already found that other portions of the amendment are fair, reasonable, and adequate.

Now, let me take a step back. Looking at the settlement agreement as a whole -- and by the way, before we get into that detail, I do want to say that when the settlement agreement was first presented in the latter part of 2012 and in the six-plus years that we all have been living with it, I have seen substantial progress. I'm not going to use the words "substantial compliance," but you have used the words

compliance on many, many aspects. But I am trying to keep the legalisms out of it for now.

2.2

I've seen tremendous progress. I think overall it has been very, very good for the City. I have seen good faith performance by all of the parties involved. We now have a new chief, who also embraces the values and spirit as well as the letter of the settlement agreement. I think that this is really, really good for the City.

And so I commend everyone involved in this process.

You have the respect of the Court, all of you, and this includes the United States, the City, Portland Police

Association, the AMA, the MHA, and everyone else who is involved in this, the COCL, the folks that were involved in the previous COAB process, and the folks currently involved in the PCCEP process. You are all doing a tremendous benefit for the City and for the people that live in the city, and so I commend you for that.

All right. So don't misunderstand anything I'm about to say when we looked at the entire settlement agreement and its structure, there were two things about it that strike me as very significant procedurally. No. 1 is that it didn't envision that the United States would be supervising the City and the City police forever. The plan was that, once substantial compliance has been achieved and maintained for 12 months, then, upon motion of the parties, the case will be

fully dismissed. And I think that's a very good way to approach it. I notice something very interesting, though, in that. It is not the case that once substantial compliance has been received a motion will be received and then if the Court agrees that there is substantial compliance the case will be dismissed. There has to be an achievement of substantial compliance followed by one year of showing, yeah, we're going to continue with substantial compliance, and that was very wise, and that's something that informs my analysis of the structure and wisdom of the settlement.

2.2

A second procedural aspect of this settlement, which we talked about at our very first hearing on whether or not the settlement was fair, reasonable, and adequate, and there was some divergence of opinion, and so I heard some opinions from the public who offered some views along these lines, was that there was no ongoing Court supervision.

You know, this was not the first of this type of lawsuit filed by the United States Department of Justice around the country, but it was the first to not have a court monitor or a consent decree. It was a settlement agreement.

Am I right on that?

MR. GEISSLER: Your Honor, the Warren, Ohio, agreement is phrased as a settlement agreement, and the United States is the only monitor.

THE COURT: Was that before this agreement?

MR. GEISSLER: It was before this agreement, and there has not been ongoing Court oversight.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. But the ones, at least the ones I looked at -- Washington, Los Angeles, St. Louis, and a few of the others -- they had court monitors that reported to the Court and continuing Court oversight. And when we had our fairness hearing, one of the criticisms, one of the arguments we heard from some people is that I should not approve this settlement agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate because it didn't have continuing Court oversight; only oversight by the United States.

Notwithstanding those arguments, which were well articulated, I accepted the arguments by the United States and the City and others, and I approved the settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, even though it didn't have continuing Court oversight. Was that a mistake in hindsight? I'll leave academics and others to opine upon that in the future. It is not for me to opine upon right now, and I may be a little too close to it, in any event.

But upon approving the settlement agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, it very rapidly became clear that a significant portion of it or piece of it was not adequate.

There was substantial compliance achieved in many areas, and I think that was very good. But the community engagement aspect of it, which I thought was a critically important part, it

wasn't maybe -- it is certainly not the only part, and it may not even be the most important part, but it was an important piece, it fell apart.

2.2

And as everybody conceded, the United States and the City and everyone else, there was non-compliance with the COAB aspect, Article 9 of the settlement agreement, and that lasted for several years. There was several years of inaction, and that was a problem.

So at least in hindsight that portion of the settlement agreement dealing with community involvement and engagement was not adequate. It just wasn't sufficient to address the problems that needed to be addressed.

So after a little bit of delay, a little bit of other activity, the parties came together -- the United States, the City, with the assistance of PPA and the AMA -- and they came together with a proposed amendment.

The amendment dealt with a number of other issues, and, as you know, I have already approved those other issues. Those are good changes. And the amendment proposed totally revamping the community involvement and engagement portion, completely eliminating the COAB structure, creating the PCCEP structure, and they presented that to me for my approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

It was presented to me before it had actually been formed. I think the members of the PCCEP had only been

appointed a matter of days or a week or two before we had this hearing. They hadn't even yet had their first official meeting. They had a little bit of training, but they hadn't even had their first official meeting. And people wanted me to approve that.

2.2

The City moved that I approve it as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and I said I couldn't do that at that time. I wasn't disapproving it. It looked like it had a lot of promise and potential. Frankly, it still does. And I'm even more impressed now with the direction that the PCCEP solution is heading than I was back when we knew nothing at all about it last October.

I really am very impressed with the dedication of the people involved in the PCCEP process, the way it seems to be coming together. I am very impressed with its promise and its potential.

As I ask myself, as I have to, is it adequate, I also think I must learn from history. I thought the COAB process was adequate. That's why I approved it originally. I've now learned from hindsight that the COAB structure that was proposed wasn't adequate.

So now I'm a little bit more skeptical about giving approval until I have further confidence that something is adequate. As a matter of fact, even this morning, when we heard from Mr. Vannier on behalf of the City, and he

acknowledged -- and I appreciate your candor to the Court -the City is not in full compliance. I appreciate your candor.
We are not in full compliance with the PCCEP process. There
are a number of things to be done. Hopefully, some will be
done in the very near future in terms of hiring a project
director and manager. There is progress that still needs to be
made before this portion of the settlement agreement or the
amended agreement is in substantial compliance.

2.2

So one of the things that I was struggling with when we met in October, one of the questions put to me is what do I need to see? Well, the answer is -- and now I'm basing my lesson on the overall structure of the settlement agreement. The structure of the settlement agreement is very wise. It says that before this case is going to be dismissed, I must see not only substantial compliance, but I must see it for a reasonable enough period of time to know that it is working. And now that's my answer to your question what must I see to be satisfied that this aspect of the proposed amendment is fair, reasonable, and adequate, especially the "adequate" piece of that.

So I want to see that the PCCEP structure is in substantial compliance. I want to see that it has been in substantial compliance for an appropriate amount of time. I don't know whether that's a full year or not. We have heard some comments about six months. By the way, I'll share with

you right now, so you can start looking at your calendars, my trial calendar at the end of this year is really busy, and so let me give the following dates to you all, and you can check your calendars. I will give you time and we will talk later.

2.2

The week of February 18th, Tuesday, February 18th -Mary, will you double-check this too to make sure that these
days I'm giving we would be available for a full day, if need
be? That week of February 18th -- Tuesday, the 18th; Tuesday,
19th; Thursday, the 20th; Friday, the 21st. I think I can give
you any one of those days for a full day. The following week:
Monday, February 24th. And then possibly on possibly Monday or
Tuesday, March 2nd or March 3rd. I think I can give you full
days on all those, subject to Mary checking.

I'm thinking that I want to see full compliance -maybe full compliance -- and the advice I've heard is
approximately six months. That gives us maybe two months or
so, 60 to 90 days, to achieve full compliance with PCCEP, and
then let's have that run for six months. Then you can report
back to me when we meet, maybe at the end of February or early
March, that there has been full compliance.

Now, that said, I did have one concern going into this hearing, but this concern has been answered from your answers during this hearing. I wanted to make sure that not having final approval of this amendment wasn't interfering with achieving substantial compliance of this PCCEP portion of the

proposed amendment, and I'm satisfied, based upon what I heard today, that not having final approval is not interfering with achieving substantial compliance. I heard the argument from a few others, including Mr. Karia who just recently said that approval will provide substantial footing. You know, I don't really know what that means, but I know that the inverse of that is -- that the lack of final approval is not interfering with providing substantial footing. I've not heard any specific information today that tells me the lack of approval is in any way interfering with achieving stability or stable footing.

2.2

You've heard me say I have a lot of hope and promise, as all of you do, for the PCCEP approach here. I am not going today to disapprove it. I think it's going in the right direction. I commend you all for that. But I've learned my lesson from the COAB in the original hearing. I'm not ready to conclude that it's adequate until I see that it is in substantial compliance and has been in substantial compliance for some period of time. I'm just following the basic framework of this agreement.

So for that reason, I am continuing my conditional approval. I am continuing to express optimism and support for the PCCEP process. I have already asked the co-chairs of PCCEP to tell the members of PCCEP and subcommittees my appreciation and commendation for the hard work they're doing. I think it

is probably the case that PCCEP will succeed where COAB did not.

2.2

But before you get a final sign-off by the Court that something is fair, reasonable, and adequate, especially adequate -- it seems fair, it seems reasonable, but I can't tell that it is adequate. And especially in light of the history in this case, I'm not going to conclude that it's adequate until I see a record of positive performance; namely, some period of time, perhaps six months, of substantial compliance. So that answers the pending questions.

I do give it continuing conditional approval. I am at this time declining to give final approval; but, for the reasons I've stated on the record, to get substantial compliance and for an appropriate period of time, it is my expectation that I will give it full and final approval, and I do not believe that the lack of final approval at this time is in any way interfering with achieving substantial compliance.

So that said --

MS. REEVE: Can I ask a clarifying question,

Your Honor? Do I understand correctly that the Court is

stating that the criteria for substantial compliance are the

criteria contained in the conditionally approved amendments and

the PCCEP plan appended to the settlement agreement?

THE COURT: No. I view the criteria as is the agreement fair, reasonable, and adequate. That's what I view.

I think, as I discussed back when we had our original fairness hearing, at that time I viewed the entire agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. Since then, there has been non-compliance with the COAB portion. We now have a proposal to replace the COAB portion. As part of that proposal, I've approved so many other pieces of it. The one other remaining piece is to replace the COAB proposal with the PCCEP structure. I am being asked is that fair, reasonable, and adequate. And the answer is, as of right now, I can't tell.

2.2

MS. REEVE: And does Your Honor have any criteria that Your Honor will be applying to make that determination?

THE COURT: I thought I said it. I thought I said when I see substantial compliance for a sufficient period of time, and right now it has been urged upon me that six months of substantial compliance will be sufficient, then I will feel more comfortable in concluding that it is adequate.

MS. REEVE: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I am probably misstating my question, but that was my question. Is the criteria whether we achieve substantial compliance with the terms of the conditionally approved amendments to the settlement agreement and the PCCEP appended to the settlement agreement? That's what Your Honor is going to be judging substantial compliance on?

THE COURT: Along with the basic structure of the entire settlement. What I heard from counsel from the City

this morning is that we are not yet in substantial compliance on the PCCEP issue. What I've heard from the friend of the Court, amicus curiae, AMA, is "I think we're going in that direction," but their advice is let's wait and see after we have had about six months of compliance with the PCCEP program, let's make sure it is working, before I can conclude it is adequate. And that's my criteria. If you think that's legally inappropriate, you know what to do, because you've done it before.

2.2

MS. REEVE: No, Your Honor. I'm just trying to have some clarity as we try to make sure that we are complying with what the Court is looking for. So we will continue to work to substantial compliance with the conditionally approved amendments and with the conditionally approved plan.

THE COURT: Because they are conditionally approved, there are absolutely no legal impediments at all for the City to comply with all aspects of the proposed amendment. That's what "conditional approval" means. They are conditionally approved. Go forth and comply with them, I hope.

MS. REEVE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So if those dates do not work, then we can go back to the drawing board and look again.

Do any of those look workable?

MR. GEISSLER: My only preference, Your Honor, is to avoid a Monday or Friday, if possible.

```
So that would leave us with Tuesday,
 1
               THE COURT:
 2
     February 18th; Wednesday, the 19th; or Thursday, the 20th.
 3
               Mary, are we free the 25th?
 4
               THE CLERK:
                           Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: You could have Tuesday, the 25th, or
 6
     Tuesday, March 3.
 7
               Do all of those work, Mary?
 8
               THE CLERK:
                           Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. So Tuesday, Wednesday
10
     Thursday, February 18, 19, 20; Tuesday, February 25; Tuesday,
     March 3rd.
11
12
               If any of those look particularly better for you all,
13
     speak up. If any of them look problematic or inconvenient,
14
     speak up, and I'll accommodate you.
15
               MS. ALBIES: Your Honor, counsel for AMA, I have a
16
     trial set for the week of the 17th, 18th, and 19th of February.
17
     We prefer the 25th or March 3rd.
18
               THE COURT: All right. How are we doing on the
     25th or on March 3rd?
19
20
                           25th works for us, Your Honor.
               MS. REEVE:
21
               THE COURT: Does it work for the United States?
2.2
               MR. GEISSLER: It does, Your Honor. Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right. We will schedule our next
23
24
     status conference and hearing on February 25th.
25
               Is there anything further than any party would like
```

```
me to address in today's hearing?
 1
               MR. HAGER: Just one question. Is the joint motion
 2
 3
     deferred or denied?
 4
               THE COURT: Deferred --
 5
               MR. HAGER:
                           Thank you.
 6
               THE COURT: -- and continued, to be addressed again
 7
    on February 25th, 2020.
               Do you all prefer 9:00 a.m. or 10:00 a.m.
 8
                                                          9:00 a.m.
 9
     seems to work. Is that all right?
10
               MR. GEISSLER: It does, Your Honor.
                                                    Thank you.
               THE COURT: February 25th at 9:00 a.m. The pending
11
12
     motion is deferred and will be addressed on February 25th,
13
     2020, at 9:00 a.m. I do appreciate and commend everyone.
14
     think your written submissions, including from the public, have
15
     been extremely helpful. I think our oral presentations today,
16
     oral argument today from the parties and counsel and the public
17
     have been very, very helpful. I appreciate everyone being
18
    here.
19
               We will be in recess until February 25th .
               Thank you.
20
21
               COUNSEL: Thank you.
22
               (Court adjourned.)
23
24
```

25

--000--I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified. /s/ Dennis W. Apodaca July 25, 2019 DENNIS W. APODACA, RDR, RMR, FCRR, CRR DATE Official Court Reporter

100th [2] 75/21 76/4 **1010 [1]** 96/11 **CHIEF OUTLAW: [1]** 91/18 1010.10 [2] 97/5 102/3 **COMMISSIONER HARDESTY: [7]** 83/1 83/3 87/18 88/5 89/20 **105 [1]** 120/13 90/21 91/8 **106 [1]** 104/18 **COUNSEL:** [1] 183/20 **10:00 [1]** 183/8 DR. BETHEL: [9] 28/12 28/15 30/25 35/4 35/17 35/22 38/24 **11 [1]** 17/16 129/25 137/22 **11 percent [1]** 59/19 DR. ROSENBAUM: [3] 115/4 125/7 139/7 11.4 percent [1] 132/9 MAYOR WHEELER: [1] 19/1 **115** [1] 106/3 MR. CHAVEZ: [7] 40/10 40/12 40/15 140/9 140/12 142/12 116 [1] 100/12 **117 [4]** 100/16 100/22 101/2 122/1 MR. CHRISTOFF: [2] 139/9 140/5 **118 [1]** 66/8 MR. DRURY: [10] 45/18 45/20 45/22 46/1 49/6 49/11 49/17 **119 [1]** 66/8 53/4 151/20 153/22 **12 [4]** 17/19 36/22 112/4 171/24 MR. GEISSLER: [16] 5/9 94/7 94/15 95/3 103/5 103/13 103/21 12-2265-SI [1] 5/6 136/7 137/3 169/18 169/20 172/21 172/25 181/23 182/21 183/9 **120 [1]** 123/22 MR. HAGER: [13] 5/12 9/9 12/23 17/10 17/18 17/21 18/4 114/7 **121 [1]** 123/6 149/7 149/15 151/5 183/1 183/4 **1221 [3]** 2/15 2/19 2/23 MR. HANDELMA: [1] 54/19 **127 [1]** 66/20 MR. HANDELMAN: [3] 54/12 54/16 55/2 128 [1] 58/18 MR. KARIA: [3] 5/17 27/7 158/8 **13 [9]** 12/23 12/24 15/15 17/16 21/11 21/17 31/4 55/12 71/11 MR. PARKS: [1] 64/24 130 [1] 65/5 MR. RENAUD: [1] 42/4 **14 [1]** 17/15 MR. VANNIER: [13] 5/22 20/7 21/20 22/15 23/5 23/25 24/14 **140-plus [1]** 106/13 25/10 25/14 25/22 26/6 167/23 168/8 **141 [1]** 111/4 MS. AIONA: [4] 72/12 72/14 76/2 76/6 **142 [3]** 55/22 57/19 127/4 MS. ALBIES: [3] 129/22 150/14 182/14 **144 [1]** 57/1 MS. BRAYFIELD: [1] 68/9 145 [2] 58/2 127/18 MS. CHAMBERS: [7] 5/15 27/19 36/16 37/5 37/9 38/19 38/22 **146 [1]** 128/5 **MS. DUMAS: [4]** 45/23 51/19 51/21 53/2 **147 [1]** 128/15 MS. FREIDMAN: [1] 145/14 148 [2] 62/24 128/24 MS. GARY-SMITH: [2] 78/20 80/20 **149 [1]** 129/2 MS. HANNON: [1] 61/12 150 [3] 60/21 129/8 129/14 MS. MOWRY: [2] 154/14 158/5 **152 [1]** 111/4 MS. NEWELL: [1] 71/1 **157 [1]** 9/23 **MS. PAYNE: [1]** 114/10 **16 [1]** 62/17 MS. REEVE: [17] 5/19 18/15 18/21 20/5 103/23 149/24 150/12 **169 [1]** 65/22 153/23 154/3 154/6 159/19 179/18 180/9 180/16 181/9 181/19 16th [1] 65/9 182/19 **17 [1]** 17/16 MS. ZINGESER: [2] 76/11 78/16 **171 [1]** 10/24 THE CLERK: [4] 5/4 53/21 182/3 182/7 177 [1] 97/23 THE COURT REPORTER: [1] 151/10 **17th [1]** 182/16 **THE COURT:** [138] 5/11 5/14 5/16 5/18 5/21 5/24 12/22 16/18 **18 [7]** 17/4 17/19 36/22 65/9 106/6 163/13 182/10 17/16 17/19 17/25 18/12 18/20 18/22 20/2 21/19 22/14 22/19 18 percent [1] 62/20 23/22 24/13 24/21 25/11 25/21 26/4 27/4 27/15 28/10 28/14 **180 [3]** 123/7 137/6 140/3 30/21 34/18 35/16 35/18 36/12 36/18 37/8 38/2 38/20 40/3 **180-day [2]** 95/21 123/18 40/11 40/14 42/2 45/11 45/19 45/21 45/25 49/1 49/9 49/13 **184 [4]** 10/10 20/18 24/16 168/11 51/17 51/20 52/10 53/3 53/5 53/16 53/22 54/15 54/18 55/1 **18th [6]** 177/5 177/5 177/8 177/8 182/2 182/16 61/11 64/21 68/3 70/23 72/7 72/13 75/12 76/5 76/8 78/15 78/17 **19 [1]** 182/10 80/19 82/23 83/2 87/8 87/19 89/7 90/13 91/3 91/10 93/19 94/10 19-month [2] 109/13 109/21 95/1 102/22 103/9 103/19 103/22 113/24 114/8 114/22 125/6 192 [2] 11/2 62/19 129/17 129/24 135/21 136/25 137/16 138/22 140/4 140/6 194 [2] 20/17 168/10 140/11 142/6 144/2 145/12 149/4 149/12 149/22 150/7 150/13 19th [3] 8/13 177/9 182/2 150/18 151/6 151/11 151/16 153/20 154/2 154/5 154/9 158/2 **19th of [1]** 182/16 158/6 159/16 167/20 168/7 169/15 169/19 170/5 172/24 173/2 1:00 [2] 94/5 94/14 179/23 180/11 180/23 181/14 181/20 181/25 182/4 182/8 **1st [1]** 147/9 182/17 182/20 182/22 183/3 183/5 183/10 2 **20 [4]** 12/18 94/14 146/2 182/10 **\$162,000 [1]** 88/19 **20 percent [2]** 59/2 65/25 200,000 [1] 42/24 **2000 [1]** 3/8 -oOo [1] 184/4 **2002 [1]** 59/5 2006 [1] 64/1 **201 [1]** 17/4 /s [1] 184/11 **2012** [5] 61/19 63/20 67/25 98/3 170/21 **2016 [2]** 61/24 72/3 **2017 [10]** 10/9 61/1 61/25 62/19 96/9 97/20 109/13 129/12 **1.57 [1]** 59/3 166/4 166/18 10 percent [1] 62/11 **2018 [21]** 10/21 10/25 20/22 21/10 59/11 61/1 97/21 113/11 **100 [3]** 35/12 50/1 121/13 118/14 120/10 125/15 129/12 133/22 139/13 164/4 164/5 1000 [3] 2/3 2/7 3/24 165/16 165/18 165/21 166/9 166/21

2	8
2019 [14] 1/6 4/2 5/2 8/5 38/5 109/13 118/17 120/9 125/18	80,000 [1] 42/22
126/3 165/18 166/10 166/12 184/11	81 [2] 55/6 118/17
2020 [3] 75/20 183/7 183/13	8191 [1] 3/25
20530 [1] 2/12 20th [2] 177/9 182/2	84-A1A [1] 118/22 85 [1] 118/19
210 [1] 3/11	85 percent [1] 84/1
21st [1] 177/9 22 percent [1] 97/24	850.20 [3] 106/8 106/20 120/2
226 [1] 97/21	87 percent [1] 84/2 88 [3] 55/6 104/3 143/25
24 [2] 71/3 106/4	89 [1] 143/25
24th [1] 177/11 25 [4] 62/18 134/24 182/10 184/11	9
25 percent [1] 61/19	90 [3] 39/14 104/3 177/17
25th [7] 182/3 182/5 182/24 183/7 183/11 183/12 183/19	900 [1] 3/7
25th or [2] 182/17 182/19 25th works [1] 182/20	911 [7] 86/10 86/18 104/6 105/16 108/6 110/17 111/23
26,000 [1] 164/5	950 [1] 2/11
28 [1] 72/3	97204 [8] 2/4 2/8 2/16 2/20 2/24 3/8 3/12 3/24 97208 [1] 3/15
3	97232 [1] 3/4
300 [1] 109/19	99 [1] 63/23
300 percent [1] 62/22 301 [1] 3/24	99 percent [1] 85/21 99.3 percent [2] 164/5 164/14
3021 [1] 3/4	99.7 [1] 164/12
326-8191 [1] 3/25 34 [1] 62/19	9:00 [3] 183/8 183/11 183/13 9:00 a.m [1] 183/8
34 percent [1] 59/18	
38.7 percent [1] 132/7	A FEI 100/0 100/0 100/0 100/11 100/12
3:12-cv-02265-SI [1]	a.m [5] 183/8 183/8 183/8 183/11 183/13
4	abbreviate [1] 162/23
40 [4] 106/10 106/11 161/11 161/16	abbreviated [1] 162/23
40,000 [2] 109/14 109/19	119/23 135/4 138/25
400 [1] 3/12	able [21] 18/19 36/12 50/8 50/10 50/12 51/2 51/5 57/18 58/18
430 [3] 2/16 2/20 2/24 45 [1] 168/15	69/22 77/16 77/25 81/1 86/18 103/8 120/16 120/16 133/21 138/21 148/6 167/17
47 [2] 100/16 122/4	about [118] 8/11 11/4 15/14 19/20 24/10 27/24 29/25 30/24
5	31/9 31/10 32/2 32/5 35/5 40/18 40/19 41/14 42/19 42/22 42/24 43/16 43/17 44/10 44/15 45/4 48/21 48/22 48/22 54/23 55/8
50 percent [1] 113/2	55/15 55/19 56/2 58/20 59/22 60/21 61/16 62/5 65/20 67/10
50,000 [1] 42/20 503 [1] 3/25	68/20 70/4 72/2 73/3 74/11 77/11 77/15 78/9 78/25 79/12 81/21
5248 [1] 3/15	83/13 84/14 85/16 87/13 87/15 87/16 87/18 88/23 89/10 91/2 93/15 94/1 94/5 103/4 111/8 111/18 112/10 115/8 117/15
54 percent [1] 85/2	123/15 125/13 125/25 128/7 130/15 130/16 130/19 131/19
55 percent [1] 63/19 57 [1] 62/14	132/5 132/8 132/19 132/22 134/9 134/22 135/7 135/17 136/3 136/4 137/25 145/17 145/18 146/13 148/15 148/16 150/6
6	152/12 153/3 153/11 156/21 157/7 157/13 157/13 157/17
6 percent [2] 61/20 62/13	157/21 161/1 163/18 163/19 164/8 165/2 169/1 169/2 169/4 171/18 171/20 172/12 175/11 175/22 176/25 181/5
60 [3] 94/1 94/13 177/17	1/1/18 1/1/20 1/2/12 1/3/11 1/3/22 1/6/23 181/5 above [1] 184/8
60,000 [2] 42/20 43/1	above-entitled [1] 184/8
60-minute [1] 94/15 600 [2] 2/4 2/7	absent [1] 168/16 absolutely [2] 90/6 181/16
61 percent [1] 62/15	abuse [5] 44/20 142/18 144/14 144/17 168/22
63 [1] 62/14 65 percent [2] 63/19 164/15	abused [1] 44/3
66 [1] 65/24	abuses [1] 97/6 academics [1] 173/17
68 [1] 98/12	accelerating [1] 163/2
69 [1] 64/10	accept [5] 11/24 11/25 112/21 169/5 169/14 acceptable [2] 94/11 112/17
7	accepted [2] 13/6 173/13
70 percent [1] 84/25 71 percent [2] 62/14 83/22	access [28] 34/8 58/23 73/9 80/18 80/24 81/4 90/9 102/16
71 percent [2] 62/14 63/22 71.9 [1] 132/8	111/23 114/21 133/18 133/20 135/25 136/17 136/19 136/25 137/25 138/4 138/17 138/21 138/24 139/2 139/12 139/19
72 percent [2] 59/17 132/6	144/16 145/3 145/3 145/5
73 [1] 55/6 75 percent [1] 84/3	accessible [8] 11/20 23/9 41/15 118/19 143/3 143/5 148/2
77 [2] 133/12 138/2	accessing [1] 138/10
77 percent [1] 84/3	accidental [1] 66/15
78 [1] 109/21	accidentally [1] 64/16

```
adopting [1] 25/20
                                                              advance [2] 31/23 111/13
accommodate [1] 182/14
                                                              advancing [1] 12/9
accomplish [5] 40/1 51/14 73/2 113/21 131/24
                                                              adversarial [1] 93/10
accomplished [1] 37/12
                                                              advice [2] 177/15 181/4
accomplishing [2] 130/25 131/24
                                                              advise [1] 105/1
accordance [2] 16/2 98/2
                                                              advised [2] 111/6 143/20
according [2] 10/23 10/23
                                                              advisers [1] 105/14
account [1] 11/12
                                                              advisor [1] 154/16
accountability [16] 26/16 72/19 90/8 95/8 95/21 101/3 101/9
                                                              advisory [23] 9/22 15/2 15/4 63/8 66/4 70/9 76/20 77/8 77/19
101/25 116/16 117/23 122/16 132/15 132/23 133/10 150/16
                                                               78/4 78/8 78/13 92/7 97/16 105/10 111/17 112/1 145/20 147/2
                                                               149/17 161/19 167/2 167/3
accountable [3] 65/21 83/10 127/2
                                                              advocacy [1] 105/13
accurate [1] 147/17
                                                              advocate [2] 86/22 142/22
accurately [1] 120/16
                                                              advocates [2] 122/7 146/8
achieve [11] 74/21 118/15 119/6 121/9 122/17 125/3 127/17
                                                              affairs [3] 101/14 124/15 137/9
128/11 160/7 177/17 180/19
                                                              affect [1] 95/21
achieved [4] 110/10 118/2 171/24 173/23
                                                              affected [4] 42/14 42/24 62/12 140/24
achievement [2] 95/24 172/6
                                                              affecting [1] 48/25
achieves [1] 96/2
                                                              afford [1] 88/20
achieving [8] 10/20 102/24 103/12 115/9 177/25 178/3 178/10
                                                              African [9] 39/24 61/20 62/1 62/10 62/20 79/1 85/6 85/20
179/17
                                                              133/13
acknowledge [6] 13/17 25/18 60/17 91/23 92/4 93/17
                                                              African-American [4] 61/20 62/20 79/1 85/20
acknowledged [3] 38/15 85/13 176/1
                                                              African-Americans [5] 39/24 62/1 62/10 85/6 133/13
acknowledging [1] 160/2
                                                              after [37] 7/2 7/6 7/8 10/14 13/9 24/19 33/13 44/19 45/15 45/16
across [6] 11/21 21/13 47/16 68/21 70/14 136/25
                                                               52/5 53/9 53/14 56/24 57/14 59/13 61/2 63/19 66/19 68/22
acting [2] 98/1 110/22
                                                               68/22 69/10 77/11 82/15 84/17 86/15 97/20 97/21 97/22 118/1
action [15] 8/18 29/4 29/6 59/18 69/20 97/22 100/13 100/14
                                                               119/13 148/16 158/14 160/11 168/15 174/13 181/4
102/13 103/17 116/6 117/11 149/1 168/16 170/10
                                                              after-action [1] 97/22
actions [4] 30/16 64/20 69/5 119/1
                                                              afternoon [8] 72/13 72/14 95/1 129/23 130/1 139/10 140/10
active [6] 14/24 21/9 31/21 31/24 60/19 159/9
                                                               151/17
actively [2] 72/16 159/1
                                                              afterthought [1] 26/3
activist [2] 71/3 80/4
                                                              again [35] 7/7 14/22 20/15 20/19 20/22 23/13 23/19 25/15 26/17
activities [1] 127/16
                                                               27/1 30/9 30/18 33/8 40/16 41/7 42/6 50/3 53/7 59/25 68/11
activity [2] 58/7 174/14
                                                               78/21 92/4 93/12 130/15 137/23 140/1 143/23 151/21 156/22
actual [4] 28/5 70/2 106/23 109/14
                                                               157/6 159/7 161/25 169/6 181/22 183/6
actually [18] 37/19 48/3 59/23 68/1 77/4 83/14 83/15 86/24 87/2
                                                              against [16] 28/20 32/24 35/11 44/21 60/9 60/16 63/18 65/4
88/14 90/2 98/1 145/5 148/15 148/16 157/18 157/23 174/24
                                                               92/16 131/17 131/20 142/2 142/5 162/12 164/17 164/19
acuity [1] 144/17
                                                              age [3] 48/12 71/9 128/25
adapt [1] 110/15
                                                              agencies [1] 105/14
add [5] 17/9 19/18 39/21 51/21 168/20
                                                              agency [2] 58/24 141/16
added [1] 109/5
                                                              agenda [6] 14/6 15/20 93/23 151/7 157/2 157/3
addiction [5] 42/13 42/25 43/11 104/13 162/1
                                                              agitator [1] 80/5
adding [2] 104/17 107/12
                                                              ago [12] 8/11 16/22 20/10 20/21 71/11 76/24 78/24 87/15 88/2
addition [6] 14/11 27/11 99/2 110/5 116/17 131/19
                                                              89/4 89/10 146/2
additional [8] 75/9 106/11 118/21 120/2 122/21 125/10 138/14
                                                              agree [15] 17/7 17/11 17/15 17/17 18/4 18/11 23/10 38/12 67/7
143/3
                                                              129/3 133/5 135/5 150/25 170/12 170/25
Additionally [3] 121/23 140/16 144/18
                                                              agreed [4] 10/15 44/18 129/5 150/4
address [28] 17/20 20/6 23/19 25/24 28/16 36/19 65/3 68/13
                                                              agreement [145] 7/21 8/4 9/20 10/10 10/17 15/21 16/2 18/7
84/15 84/19 91/20 95/14 95/15 99/15 102/11 102/13 104/1
                                                               19/16 20/12 20/17 20/18 20/20 20/23 21/4 21/22 24/12 24/16
105/24 112/14 121/4 121/19 133/17 136/22 144/20 160/6
                                                               24/17 24/24 25/16 26/2 26/4 26/18 27/2 27/15 28/3 29/3 29/21
165/11 174/12 183/1
                                                               31/16 32/4 34/13 41/22 48/23 54/24 55/20 60/6 61/10 63/19
addressed [9] 30/1 30/2 33/13 68/24 96/4 100/21 174/12 183/6
                                                               66/25 67/6 67/24 69/13 69/25 70/6 73/5 73/7 75/7 76/16 80/1
183/12
                                                               84/8 84/14 84/17 84/21 85/8 85/10 85/23 87/25 88/1 88/3 95/6
addressing [3] 86/13 138/20 139/8
                                                               95/20 95/25 96/3 96/12 100/19 102/1 103/2 104/18 105/25
adequacy [11] 13/24 16/6 16/22 17/1 17/8 17/13 34/5 34/6 34/8
                                                               110/21 111/1 111/5 112/8 112/19 113/16 113/22 115/11 115/16
40/20 40/21
                                                               115/19 116/1 120/25 122/14 123/6 125/3 127/25 129/21 130/3
adequate [37] 10/11 13/23 16/3 17/1 20/19 22/3 57/10 124/3
                                                               130/11 131/16 131/23 134/17 135/15 140/15 144/1 145/22
136/22 136/22 168/25 170/16 170/17 172/13 173/9 173/15
                                                               146/13 146/21 147/25 150/20 156/16 157/12 158/11 158/18
173/21 173/22 174/11 174/23 175/7 175/17 175/19 175/21
                                                               159/1 159/13 159/15 160/6 160/9 160/12 160/16 161/10 162/15
175/24 176/19 176/19 178/17 179/4 179/5 179/6 179/8 179/25
                                                               162/17 162/21 162/24 165/6 167/16 168/7 168/11 168/12
180/3 180/8 180/16 181/7
                                                               169/15 170/19 170/21 171/7 171/19 172/20 172/23 172/23
adjourned [1] 183/22
                                                               172/25 173/1 173/9 173/20 174/6 174/10 176/7 176/8 176/12
adjustments [1] 122/25
                                                               176/13 178/20 179/23 179/25 180/2 180/21 180/22
administration [1] 82/9
                                                              agreement-related [1] 60/6
administrative [11] 12/15 57/1 80/23 102/16 121/25 122/18
                                                              agreements [1] 157/19
123/2 123/7 123/12 123/17 138/1
                                                              agrees [2] 27/23 172/5
administrator [2] 80/23 100/4
                                                              ahead [2] 89/20 124/16
administrators [1] 118/7
                                                              aid [2] 98/16 98/18
admirably [1] 14/8
                                                              aided [1] 138/8
admitted [1] 59/20
                                                              Aiona [8] 7/1 8/18 53/11 54/4 72/12 72/15 76/9 78/3
adopt [1] 169/5
                                                              al [1] 5/7
adopted [6] 20/16 20/21 21/10 24/20 73/21 96/9
                                                              ALBIES [3] 3/10 3/11 129/22
```

```
37/11 41/11 41/19 46/16 83/10 134/16 140/13 150/15 170/2
                                                              AMBERG [1] 2/18
ALBINA [7] 3/5 3/9 6/13 8/6 10/18 13/19 14/20
                                                              ambulance [3] 105/8 106/25 141/14
alcohol [1] 141/17
                                                              amenable [1] 169/23
alcoholism [1] 42/23
                                                              amend [2] 9/20 16/18
alert [1] 100/9
                                                              amended [11] 14/3 20/12 20/18 20/22 21/22 27/2 100/16 111/4
alerts [6] 66/10 100/9 100/13 100/13 121/20 121/24
                                                               168/6 168/12 176/8
ALEXANDER [1] 2/9
                                                              amending [1] 24/16
all [144] 6/1 6/3 6/7 6/22 7/12 7/15 12/4 12/6 12/20 17/11 18/5
                                                              amendment [28] 6/10 7/3 10/8 10/13 10/19 11/9 13/22 13/24
18/13 18/14 18/19 20/4 22/10 24/3 25/22 27/6 28/18 29/20
                                                               15/25 16/2 16/3 16/5 17/13 20/7 25/16 68/18 68/20 75/21
31/24 33/12 36/23 37/12 37/16 37/24 38/10 38/10 39/21 41/15
                                                               159/23 169/6 170/17 174/16 174/17 174/19 176/18 177/24
43/9 47/20 47/21 47/25 51/2 52/12 52/14 52/14 53/19 53/23
                                                               178/1 181/17
54/2 54/6 54/9 54/19 57/18 58/23 59/2 68/16 69/22 71/7 77/17
                                                              Amendment's [1] 76/4
79/2 79/15 79/23 80/1 80/12 83/11 84/8 86/6 86/7 87/11 89/4
                                                              amendments [32] 8/4 19/16 20/16 20/23 21/3 22/2 24/12 24/21
90/6 92/2 92/22 93/9 93/9 93/11 94/9 94/11 94/13 95/19 96/3
                                                               25/8 25/17 26/18 26/23 27/15 28/2 28/4 37/13 38/16 40/9 40/19
96/23 97/11 99/2 99/17 99/24 102/23 106/4 106/11 106/14
                                                               57/23 159/7 168/13 168/18 168/22 168/25 169/2 169/7 169/23
108/4 112/21 114/14 114/16 115/3 115/22 116/13 116/23 117/5
                                                               170/14 179/22 180/20 181/14
118/3 118/18 119/7 121/16 129/20 133/18 134/18 135/5 135/14
                                                              AMERICA [2] 1/4 5/7
135/20 138/19 143/17 146/12 148/1 148/2 148/6 150/10 151/10
                                                              American [6] 61/20 62/20 71/17 79/1 84/4 85/20
151/17 152/1 153/18 155/14 159/18 160/6 160/23 162/8 162/11
                                                              Americans [5] 39/24 62/1 62/10 85/6 133/13
164/15 166/6 166/16 167/1 169/18 170/22 171/5 171/10 171/15
                                                              amici [3] 12/2 15/8 40/17
171/18 175/11 177/3 177/13 178/13 178/15 181/16 181/17
                                                              amicus [5] 3/5 3/9 3/13 6/4 181/3
181/21 182/7 182/9 182/12 182/18 182/23 183/8 183/9
                                                              among [2] 34/25 55/7
allegations [7] 59/2 59/3 59/4 101/12 111/24 123/24 123/25
                                                              amount [8] 29/10 35/14 42/8 64/4 109/21 152/17 157/10 176/23
alleged [2] 123/23 142/2
                                                              analysis [17] 54/23 55/4 55/12 59/1 59/22 61/9 63/16 110/16
allegedly [1] 61/2
                                                               117/8 118/1 119/14 121/11 122/11 123/20 125/21 150/3 172/9
ALLIANCE [20] 3/5 3/9 3/13 6/14 6/15 7/9 8/6 8/14 10/18 13/19
                                                              analyzed [2] 123/18 128/22
13/20 14/20 14/21 23/7 40/9 46/20 140/8 145/16 148/25 150/3
                                                              analyzing [1] 66/24
Alliance's [1] 147/8
                                                              and/or [7] 55/9 60/15 68/19 77/22 87/18 138/10 144/14
alliances [1] 13/18
                                                              Angeles [1] 173/4
allies [1] 42/6
                                                              ANIL [2] 3/3 5/18
allocated [1] 112/16
                                                              Ann [3] 6/25 15/9 68/11
allotted [1] 42/9
                                                              Ann Brayfield [1] 68/11
allow [6] 88/15 119/22 145/4 148/8 163/8 166/10
                                                              anniversary [2] 75/21 76/4
allowing [4] 46/2 148/12 149/2 149/3
                                                              announced [1] 58/11
allows [1] 48/6
                                                              announcements [1] 31/22
alluded [1] 164/4
                                                              announcing [1] 165/8
almost [1] 146/2
                                                              annual [13] 57/22 60/22 74/8 106/13 108/14 113/11 129/9
alone [3] 98/8 108/19 130/12
                                                               129/16 166/4 166/7 166/9 166/22 166/23
along [6] 27/22 67/10 73/14 161/25 172/15 180/24
                                                              another [14] 23/11 35/6 42/22 43/1 59/23 70/19 92/7 98/14
alongs [1] 97/8
                                                               135/9 153/2 155/20 161/16 162/5 162/6
already [24] 46/18 47/6 48/10 49/21 49/23 56/5 56/20 57/2
                                                              answer [12] 34/17 37/2 38/19 38/24 38/25 103/11 113/24
57/17 57/20 58/12 58/17 64/4 71/15 115/12 119/16 126/6
                                                              114/14 151/12 176/11 176/17 180/9
126/20 151/23 157/14 160/1 170/16 174/18 178/23
                                                              answered [3] 33/2 37/1 177/22
also [107] 6/24 8/1 8/13 8/14 8/16 13/5 13/16 14/17 15/6 15/10
                                                              answers [3] 131/16 177/23 179/10
19/11 19/12 21/15 22/2 22/9 23/6 39/5 41/11 42/8 43/6 46/4
                                                              anti [1] 134/25
46/8 46/19 46/21 46/25 47/9 49/20 50/7 51/6 54/25 56/9 58/8
                                                              anti-police [1] 134/25
58/10 64/10 65/16 65/23 66/17 68/6 70/11 70/13 74/23 75/16
                                                              anticipates [1] 129/13
75/20 76/4 77/19 78/10 79/22 84/21 86/1 87/16 87/20 88/3
                                                              anxiety [1] 156/7
92/17 92/25 93/3 93/3 93/17 93/25 96/14 97/8 97/14 98/25
                                                              any [57] 6/17 9/2 9/7 11/12 13/25 15/14 16/12 17/9 17/9 18/3
99/13 100/8 100/16 100/20 101/6 102/6 102/18 106/22 108/15
                                                               22/21 22/21 23/4 24/11 24/24 25/8 31/5 34/16 34/22 35/20
109/2 109/5 113/11 114/19 116/4 117/12 117/15 120/11 121/18
                                                               36/17 36/23 49/14 50/2 54/11 59/6 59/23 65/19 80/13 81/22
124/14 125/9 127/7 128/22 131/7 131/18 133/5 136/1 136/11
                                                               85/4 88/13 88/16 95/16 102/22 113/24 115/1 115/13 137/17
140/11 143/23 146/10 146/21 147/14 147/15 147/19 148/15
                                                               138/23 139/7 139/21 140/2 149/6 157/11 158/10 158/10 173/19
150/4 150/4 156/19 161/23 162/1 167/2 168/20 170/6 171/6
                                                               177/10 178/8 178/10 179/17 180/10 181/23 182/12 182/13
                                                               182/25
alternate [7] 12/25 14/4 21/18 33/5 57/16 113/5 126/13
                                                              anyone [15] 8/25 9/2 9/7 18/24 27/18 53/8 53/12 53/15 80/18
alternates [13] 13/1 13/3 14/23 24/6 24/8 31/3 31/22 33/11
                                                               112/22 149/23 150/14 155/7 169/17 170/9
58/11 58/13 113/6 126/12 155/7
                                                              anything [11] 7/4 36/5 51/12 51/21 85/9 90/19 150/11 164/22
alternatives [1] 126/8
                                                               169/17 171/18 182/25
although [4] 25/1 64/5 73/19 124/16
                                                              apart [1] 174/3
altogether [1] 110/12
                                                              Apodaca [3] 3/23 184/11 184/12
always [12] 29/25 31/22 36/14 39/18 66/13 78/23 79/9 90/14
                                                              apologize [1] 115/13
92/20 93/20 115/23 152/7
                                                              apparently [3] 58/6 66/6 83/7
am [45] 6/7 18/2 23/25 28/21 37/1 49/6 49/17 51/22 52/1 65/1
                                                              appeals [1] 59/7
68/10 71/2 76/19 76/20 77/17 80/4 82/6 82/12 83/4 85/20 86/6
                                                              appear [2] 137/14 137/15
88/11 89/22 93/15 104/1 114/11 128/7 129/6 139/10 145/15
                                                              APPEARANCES [2] 2/1 3/1
150/8 150/11 151/22 151/23 154/16 171/1 172/21 175/13
                                                              appeared [1] 115/7
175/15 178/13 178/21 178/22 179/11 180/8 180/17
                                                              appearing [1] 143/17
AMA [17] 7/9 8/11 17/3 27/18 27/19 36/24 79/24 129/20 136/5
                                                              appears [2] 120/8 142/10
137/17 137/18 149/7 156/18 171/12 174/15 181/3 182/15
                                                              appellate [1] 170/12
AMA's [1] 136/1
                                                              appended [2] 179/23 180/21
AMAC [18] 5/16 10/17 20/11 27/3 27/23 28/6 28/23 34/10 36/18
                                                              applaud [1] 84/6
```

ask [24] 14/3 15/24 16/17 16/21 19/15 30/20 53/7 54/10 65/14 67/25 76/23 79/20 87/20 103/2 108/6 114/9 114/23 130/10 applicant [2] 22/22 23/3 131/15 132/18 145/12 157/10 175/17 179/19 applicants [2] 22/21 23/2 asked [10] 36/2 40/22 49/22 66/3 88/6 92/3 131/7 154/18 applications [1] 117/9 178/23 180/8 applied [1] 132/11 asking [9] 25/13 34/10 34/20 58/2 58/18 66/18 81/1 90/23 166/5 apply [7] 62/7 74/7 77/18 78/12 78/14 132/22 164/18 asks [1] 63/4 applying [1] 180/11 aspect [5] 19/19 172/11 173/24 174/6 176/18 appoint [1] 13/2 aspects [5] 7/21 7/21 148/12 171/1 181/17 appointed [4] 58/11 72/24 74/2 175/1 aspirations [1] 37/14 appreciate [47] 13/16 20/3 23/4 27/17 28/14 30/15 34/7 35/11 assertion [1] 58/1 36/14 40/4 42/8 45/12 51/18 52/11 52/12 64/22 68/4 68/7 70/24 asserts [1] 62/1 72/10 75/15 75/16 75/17 78/18 79/24 82/24 87/10 87/11 89/8 assess [3] 97/18 111/6 112/7 90/20 90/23 91/12 91/17 91/20 103/21 115/2 129/18 133/3 assessment [22] 7/7 7/18 7/19 31/15 86/18 93/24 94/3 94/6 148/1 156/17 158/4 166/1 167/21 176/1 176/2 183/13 183/17 95/3 95/11 97/8 99/18 100/11 100/24 108/20 115/15 118/5 appreciated [2] 66/2 73/6 118/14 124/17 126/15 151/8 151/19 appreciates [1] 28/23 assign [1] 129/14 appreciation [2] 52/25 178/24 assigned [3] 73/2 127/5 130/13 appreciative [1] 91/1 assistance [9] 8/10 12/11 33/18 78/11 113/19 141/16 143/7 approach [9] 107/7 110/4 110/25 122/8 122/11 122/14 124/14 144/16 174/15 172/2 178/13 assistant [2] 5/13 22/9 appropriate [15] 25/7 62/7 86/17 105/17 105/20 106/21 108/17 assisted [1] 141/14 109/4 141/6 142/17 147/15 149/1 162/6 176/23 179/14 association [11] 3/2 5/18 6/13 7/11 27/7 58/3 65/19 151/9 appropriately [2] 25/9 63/7 158/8 165/16 171/12 approval [56] 8/3 10/3 10/4 10/8 11/5 15/24 16/7 16/13 19/16 **Association's [1]** 165/14 23/1 23/25 34/25 35/22 35/22 35/24 36/4 36/6 38/6 38/7 38/8 **assuming [1]** 77/13 38/18 45/10 48/5 48/20 49/3 49/4 49/16 52/21 68/18 69/9 69/10 assurance [5] 25/9 105/15 106/18 110/14 120/14 69/19 95/25 100/15 106/20 107/15 110/13 158/17 159/6 159/8 assure [1] 155/5 159/12 159/22 169/22 174/22 175/23 177/24 178/2 178/5 178/7 at-risk [4] 121/3 122/12 122/13 127/15 178/9 178/22 179/11 179/12 179/15 179/16 181/18 atmosphere [1] 72/6 approve [4] 38/22 173/8 175/5 175/6 attacked [1] 55/10 approved [27] 10/13 10/21 11/9 16/5 20/22 20/24 22/14 22/23 attempt [3] 28/25 29/1 81/14 24/24 33/25 37/13 96/14 98/2 103/15 111/5 166/8 170/15 attempted [1] 139/24 173/14 174/18 175/19 179/22 180/6 180/20 181/13 181/14 attend [3] 45/7 48/15 73/14 181/15 181/19 attendance [7] 6/5 29/13 31/11 39/3 39/5 126/6 131/1 approves [2] 24/21 28/4 attended [7] 30/9 30/14 50/19 55/9 72/20 101/17 128/1 approving [5] 25/8 26/17 57/9 169/6 173/20 attending [3] 22/10 56/24 78/4 approximately [3] 49/10 164/16 177/16 attention [9] 9/2 9/3 9/4 55/14 61/13 100/14 120/11 138/18 April [7] 10/21 20/22 61/24 66/6 109/13 120/9 126/3 140/4 April 2018 [1] 20/22 attitude [1] 77/6 April 2019 [1] 109/13 attorney [7] 3/14 5/13 19/3 19/14 93/22 143/18 147/13 are [298] Attorney's [6] 2/3 2/6 2/15 2/19 2/22 73/16 area [12] 23/22 45/6 65/3 77/16 99/21 101/6 118/21 130/18 attorneys [3] 9/13 56/15 143/11 131/8 132/20 160/5 161/10 attributable [1] 98/9 areas [18] 42/17 55/12 61/13 69/13 75/17 91/7 95/17 99/13 attributed [1] 55/23 102/15 102/22 130/4 130/4 130/8 132/21 152/20 160/9 162/24 audience [1] 170/1 173/23 audit [8] 110/17 110/20 118/8 118/20 122/2 163/17 163/18 aren't [9] 9/14 18/8 18/9 30/23 58/13 65/6 86/7 112/5 160/15 167/8 arguing [1] 170/9 auditing [2] 163/4 165/10 argument [5] 63/14 150/24 168/1 178/3 183/16 **Auditor [1]** 62/4 arguments [7] 20/13 24/25 27/9 151/1 173/7 173/12 173/13 auditor's [3] 84/23 85/2 143/1 arise [1] 117/10 audits [3] 97/14 116/15 136/11 armed [1] 165/21 augment [1] 44/16 armored [1] 65/13 authority [5] 67/2 69/8 69/23 105/1 127/2 around [10] 44/19 54/24 64/12 79/5 88/9 125/2 134/23 135/4 authorized [1] 69/11 155/15 172/18 autism [2] 71/8 109/2 **arranging [1]** 155/6 automated [1] 118/9 array [2] 58/6 105/11 available [7] 73/17 136/13 137/13 142/25 143/8 143/10 177/7 arrest [1] 32/21 Avenue [8] 2/3 2/7 2/11 2/15 2/19 2/23 3/7 3/24 arrested [2] 43/7 44/4 average [1] 63/21 arresting [1] 60/15 avoid [3] 64/4 104/23 181/25 arrests [1] 105/3 avoided [1] 138/13 arrival [2] 144/8 145/2 avoiding [1] 110/12 arrived [1] 63/3 awaiting [3] 41/2 129/12 133/22 article [2] 77/11 174/6 aware [5] 21/7 21/24 43/6 63/7 82/6 articulate [1] 151/25 away [6] 33/13 85/14 85/15 88/13 105/17 135/15 articulated [2] 120/24 173/13 awful [1] 52/20 articulation [2] 8/8 8/11 as [266] **ASHLEE [1]** 3/10 back [36] 20/25 21/1 30/13 33/8 50/12 51/1 53/23 53/24 56/8 Asian [1] 84/2 58/8 59/11 59/12 81/5 81/16 81/16 85/14 96/6 111/10 130/15 Asian-Pacific [1] 84/2 133/14 134/4 134/5 134/7 134/8 140/17 151/20 153/9 155/21 **Aside [1]** 55/13

166/23 169/24 170/4 170/18 175/11 177/19 180/1 181/22

В 169/11 180/8 183/17 belief [3] 67/22 86/25 140/25 backbone [1] 47/20 beliefs [1] 141/7 backed [3] 11/15 85/15 106/16 believe [55] 15/18 17/12 17/15 19/6 19/11 19/12 24/1 33/24 background [3] 46/18 138/14 154/21 34/9 34/13 35/5 35/12 35/23 35/25 37/21 39/1 40/2 66/13 69/25 backgrounds [1] 73/1 70/1 71/20 80/10 81/21 82/1 82/8 82/10 82/13 82/15 82/18 balance [2] 33/21 48/7 86/12 91/16 93/6 120/23 122/20 124/13 126/24 130/17 130/24 balancing [2] 93/1 93/2 131/7 132/10 137/2 139/13 142/14 142/20 143/24 144/5 147/17 bank [1] 71/13 150/1 150/9 150/16 158/7 168/10 168/15 168/22 179/16 based [22] 42/19 49/15 59/3 77/9 84/7 85/1 88/21 90/5 95/9 believes [6] 14/13 28/6 57/19 85/21 110/23 163/15 99/4 104/3 104/22 105/24 119/17 122/7 124/24 144/7 157/19 below [1] 184/6 160/22 160/24 161/25 178/1 benchmark [3] 62/6 62/9 62/20 basic [4] 99/15 144/20 178/19 180/24 **Bend [1]** 70/14 basically [5] 71/13 86/16 94/4 131/17 147/18 beneficial [1] 162/9 basing [1] 176/11 benefit [6] 73/20 80/11 80/11 87/7 103/8 171/15 basis [5] 55/21 92/1 93/12 159/4 169/5 benefits [2] 103/3 105/22 baton [1] 64/14 Berry [1] 137/6 be [285] beside [1] 33/18 bear [1] 159/24 best [6] 29/9 49/19 51/24 138/11 150/7 157/23 bearing [1] 24/11 Bethel [13] 15/13 28/9 28/12 34/19 36/13 38/9 38/23 40/5 41/2 bears [2] 10/4 107/13 129/23 129/25 135/22 135/23 became [1] 173/21 Bethel's [1] 56/1 because [83] 9/3 12/22 18/9 22/3 22/22 23/21 23/24 24/15 25/5 better [13] 11/13 13/13 30/5 51/23 61/9 64/12 101/18 105/16 25/15 25/17 28/21 29/10 30/16 35/13 35/23 36/5 36/10 36/11 138/11 148/13 148/17 161/21 182/12 37/23 38/4 39/24 42/11 46/7 46/10 46/14 51/3 52/10 52/25 57/3 between [20] 14/24 28/3 32/6 42/20 43/3 43/25 55/13 63/24 57/20 58/24 62/2 62/11 62/24 65/12 70/13 70/20 71/23 80/16 70/2 75/11 79/10 100/6 114/6 115/16 121/15 130/6 132/12 82/11 82/16 84/6 84/10 85/15 85/22 85/25 86/3 86/5 86/8 86/23 133/15 135/8 146/13 87/2 88/12 90/7 90/15 90/23 91/21 92/2 92/12 92/20 92/23 between-unit [1] 121/15 92/24 93/12 119/23 131/3 138/6 138/18 138/25 139/1 139/13 beyond [14] 15/21 18/6 27/9 32/3 48/23 68/21 100/22 101/2 139/14 156/1 157/15 157/17 157/22 159/25 163/23 164/6 122/13 123/22 152/13 153/14 165/5 167/16 166/16 166/20 173/9 181/8 181/15 BHRT [1] 104/14 become [3] 15/17 167/15 168/15 **BHU [1]** 108/10 becoming [1] 78/14 BHUAC [26] 66/6 105/15 107/4 107/16 108/9 108/20 108/21 been [155] 6/20 9/1 9/4 11/2 11/14 11/24 12/8 12/24 13/8 13/14 110/14 110/16 111/21 114/12 119/21 120/1 120/2 120/4 120/14 13/17 15/12 17/16 19/7 19/13 19/14 21/9 21/14 21/22 22/2 22/4 143/2 147/5 147/9 147/13 147/18 147/18 148/5 149/2 150/3 22/23 23/15 23/24 28/25 29/6 29/8 29/12 29/17 30/6 30/7 30/9 150/6 32/13 32/14 32/15 33/24 36/6 39/17 39/22 41/10 46/3 46/14 **BHUAC's [1]** 108/15 46/18 47/8 47/13 47/17 48/10 49/20 50/3 51/2 51/3 51/4 53/25 bias [2] 31/13 128/24 55/23 56/3 56/10 56/11 56/15 59/4 61/17 67/7 67/23 70/3 70/15 bicycle [1] 33/19 78/5 78/23 80/2 80/14 80/14 83/9 85/23 85/23 87/5 88/24 90/5 bicycles [1] 62/18 91/16 91/25 92/17 95/25 101/4 103/8 103/11 103/12 103/14 big [2] 28/3 43/1 103/17 104/20 108/22 113/1 113/13 114/18 119/25 123/15 bigger [1] 37/15 123/22 125/5 126/7 126/8 126/9 126/22 127/14 128/5 128/5 billion [1] 71/6 128/9 129/4 129/10 129/11 129/12 130/9 132/7 133/25 134/23 bills [1] 132/24 135/17 136/18 137/3 137/19 139/25 142/2 148/2 149/19 151/5 **BILLY [1]** 2/2 153/3 155/24 156/4 156/5 157/18 157/25 160/24 161/2 161/9 **birthday [1]** 76/7 161/11 163/2 163/6 163/12 163/13 164/4 165/12 165/18 166/12 bit [15] 10/7 11/4 25/8 35/1 47/7 64/8 111/18 136/3 159/24 168/4 168/19 170/14 170/22 171/4 171/24 172/4 173/2 174/24 162/24 168/1 174/13 174/13 175/3 175/22 174/25 176/22 177/20 177/22 178/18 180/3 180/14 183/15 black [4] 46/6 61/20 84/1 134/5 183/17 blame [1] 72/1 before [59] 1/14 9/8 10/9 11/5 12/19 13/1 24/24 25/8 30/8 30/24 blank [1] 11/12 31/12 34/11 34/24 37/12 45/17 49/22 53/6 64/20 68/25 69/1 blanket [1] 61/4 69/9 69/19 69/21 70/5 73/22 74/2 75/1 76/16 82/14 88/16 88/17 blend [1] 135/18 88/23 89/23 89/25 93/8 96/6 96/6 96/23 115/7 141/1 143/22 blind [2] 11/11 92/8 150/22 152/9 152/12 159/1 159/5 161/6 163/1 166/14 170/19 blue [1] 134/5 172/25 173/1 174/24 175/1 176/7 176/14 179/3 181/6 181/9 board [4] 9/22 68/21 76/15 181/22 beg [1] 80/7 boards [1] 111/17 began [6] 30/2 59/5 80/17 98/8 109/24 135/17 Bobbi [1] 80/6 begin [4] 9/8 50/12 94/14 132/21 bodies [5] 14/25 55/11 55/14 142/25 147/5 beginning [4] 5/8 63/24 117/3 138/1 **body [41]** 9/25 10/22 15/15 21/9 26/1 26/14 46/11 47/2 51/8 begun [3] 13/3 112/25 121/23 55/23 72/24 79/19 81/24 87/18 87/23 88/9 88/11 88/13 88/15 behalf [8] 6/23 6/25 42/17 46/4 103/25 148/25 151/24 175/25 88/21 88/22 88/23 88/25 88/25 89/4 89/10 89/12 103/5 103/7 behavior [12] 59/23 66/23 70/2 70/4 70/7 89/1 104/11 104/16 103/16 125/25 126/16 126/21 141/4 141/5 141/8 142/12 147/2 108/1 120/21 122/13 161/20 151/5 166/18 168/4 Behavioral [10] 15/2 66/3 104/14 105/10 110/6 145/20 147/1 body-worn [1] 103/7 149/17 161/18 161/19 **BOEC [2]** 147/19 147/21

behind [2] 56/5 157/25

being [61] 18/1 18/6 20/25 25/9 26/10 30/15 30/19 31/10 31/12 35/15 35/19 35/24 35/25 36/5 36/12 41/14 41/19 42/7 46/25 47/20 48/16 50/21 59/7 61/9 62/2 65/6 65/21 78/19 81/1 87/1 87/10 89/5 92/3 110/5 123/3 124/4 131/4 131/7 131/24 132/1 132/24 132/25 133/1 134/4 136/4 138/21 141/14 142/16 145/7 152/5 155/23 155/23 155/23 161/3 161/25 163/5 166/12 166/13 both [32] 8/24 14/21 16/11 27/9 37/3 42/9 42/25 46/1 52/12 53/4 58/4 59/15 60/1 60/4 60/9 62/23 64/3 83/18 96/24 97/15 101/4 108/10 109/8 110/14 121/6 123/15 139/15 147/5 159/19 160/4 166/22 167/11

box [3] 3/15 59/24 63/1 boxes [2] 55/16 116/3 brain [1] 141/23

В cam [3] 88/15 89/4 89/12 came [10] 17/3 29/20 56/7 57/7 63/17 63/22 134/21 150/4 brand [1] 80/23 174/14 174/15 brand-new [1] 80/23 camera [6] 88/13 141/8 142/12 142/17 142/19 142/20 branding [1] 157/19 cameras [11] 87/18 87/23 88/9 89/10 103/5 103/7 103/16 141/4 Brayfield [7] 6/25 15/9 53/11 54/4 68/9 68/11 70/25 141/5 141/11 141/24 break [5] 76/25 94/1 94/4 94/5 94/10 Campbell [1] 78/7 breakdown [1] 131/10 cams [6] 88/11 88/21 88/22 88/23 88/25 89/1 bridge [1] 104/7 can [84] 7/15 18/11 18/24 18/25 33/25 33/25 34/11 35/7 35/7 bridges [1] 52/6 36/24 37/8 37/13 37/19 39/1 40/1 41/18 43/22 43/23 44/14 brief [8] 18/18 18/20 19/4 41/11 140/17 151/22 159/20 168/1 44/14 44/15 48/4 48/8 50/1 50/5 51/6 51/6 51/7 51/13 51/23 briefed [2] 150/4 159/2 52/19 53/15 65/19 69/17 69/20 73/14 74/3 74/5 80/9 82/1 82/2 briefing [2] 73/6 150/23 82/9 82/14 82/15 86/6 89/15 89/16 93/6 93/13 105/18 114/5 briefly [6] 23/19 25/24 78/25 116/9 125/19 165/11 114/9 114/19 114/20 119/8 123/21 127/5 127/6 128/16 129/14 bring [17] 29/5 36/3 39/16 40/1 80/3 101/6 120/11 130/19 132/19 135/19 136/3 136/12 138/24 139/2 140/22 142/23 132/17 132/19 132/22 132/22 134/9 134/17 147/1 156/14 142/23 147/14 150/7 150/15 155/12 156/1 164/3 164/3 177/1 177/3 177/9 177/12 177/18 179/19 181/6 181/22 bringing [1] 48/17 can't [6] 13/13 90/12 138/24 148/5 179/5 180/9 brings [2] 133/14 140/25 candidate [1] 33/25 **Britton** [1] 15/3 candidates [1] 113/6 broad [1] 15/20 candor [2] 176/1 176/2 broader [4] 73/20 74/21 105/22 152/16 cannot [5] 39/15 40/25 73/14 136/21 138/13 broadly [1] 108/12 canvas [1] 41/4 **Broadway** [1] 3/4 capable [3] 22/7 22/9 45/6 brought [9] 9/1 9/4 29/25 41/10 67/19 70/9 115/9 138/19 140/4 capably [1] 23/16 brutality [2] 46/8 52/2 capacity [6] 13/10 47/11 80/16 126/10 127/1 170/1 brutalized [1] 89/5 capture [2] 108/4 118/18 budget [3] 45/7 130/16 131/8 cards [1] 71/17 budgeted [1] 74/19 care [6] 83/13 104/13 144/7 144/9 157/17 157/21 **build [3]** 44/16 133/15 155/18 career [1] 9/13 building [5] 12/1 13/9 14/10 52/6 145/19 careful [3] 117/4 118/1 118/4 **Bull [1]** 71/14 carefully [3] 67/25 123/17 124/24 **Bull Run [1]** 71/14 carried [1] 131/14 bullet [1] 162/10 carry [4] 47/14 48/24 50/10 107/23 bumps [1] 80/12 Cascadia's [1] 104/20 burden [1] 18/10 case [26] 1/5 5/6 6/2 6/9 9/12 9/16 20/12 21/25 22/17 27/2 30/6 Bureau [109] 10/1 18/10 19/10 19/23 57/24 59/8 59/13 59/15 39/19 59/10 65/8 65/10 72/9 111/2 136/17 142/17 168/17 60/2 60/4 60/21 61/1 61/6 62/1 62/12 62/24 63/5 63/8 63/10 171/25 172/3 172/5 176/14 179/1 179/7 63/13 64/13 66/10 66/22 68/1 70/10 72/17 73/16 73/22 74/5 cases [19] 32/12 59/11 65/25 67/21 102/21 103/7 103/11 74/8 74/13 76/17 78/12 79/21 82/5 82/9 83/13 85/18 88/14 109/21 110/3 117/7 122/20 123/18 124/13 124/15 137/5 137/7 102/19 104/6 111/20 115/7 115/20 116/12 116/20 118/2 118/22 137/9 137/15 137/16 119/7 120/12 120/14 120/16 120/19 121/1 121/9 121/18 121/23 cast [1] 79/9 122/1 122/3 122/8 123/10 123/14 123/16 125/9 125/11 125/12 categories [2] 108/3 136/8 125/16 125/20 126/17 126/23 127/1 127/17 128/1 128/15 category [7] 66/10 107/12 108/1 109/17 109/20 110/1 164/16 128/19 128/19 128/22 129/1 129/2 129/6 129/8 129/13 129/15 catering [1] 12/16 133/2 133/11 133/13 133/16 133/23 135/25 136/12 136/19 cause [3] 123/22 140/2 184/8 136/20 136/24 138/15 146/14 146/24 147/5 147/22 150/5 157/7 caused [2] 71/23 81/10 159/3 161/5 161/12 161/15 161/21 162/10 163/7 167/7 167/8 causes [1] 71/8 Bureau's [22] 57/21 58/5 60/25 61/24 62/9 62/17 63/12 63/21 causing [2] 79/25 142/15 65/23 67/1 116/18 117/4 119/3 121/12 127/6 127/12 127/13 cautioned [1] 60/13 127/23 133/8 133/9 146/18 146/22 celebrate [2] 135/3 135/4 bureaus [2] 110/22 111/11 celebrating [1] 76/7 business [3] 47/21 112/11 126/4 census [4] 42/20 63/11 63/13 128/19 busy [2] 46/3 177/2 center [3] 144/2 144/6 145/8 buy [2] 80/7 88/20 centered [1] 144/7 buying [1] 90/1 central [1] 40/19 bylaws [2] 14/3 21/11 certain [7] 37/21 39/13 55/16 57/23 135/10 135/25 152/19 certainly [8] 41/20 69/18 71/22 130/11 144/5 147/25 152/14 174/1 CAD [4] 133/19 136/9 136/15 137/11 certified [1] 184/10 **CAHOOTS [1]** 86/15 certify [1] 184/6 calculated [1] 85/1 cesspool [1] 135/1 calendar [1] 177/2 cetera [2] 76/22 133/19 calendars [2] 177/1 177/4 chair [8] 8/18 15/1 15/3 44/22 45/23 45/25 56/4 78/8 call [17] 9/3 30/3 76/24 81/15 86/17 86/18 107/24 108/6 111/25 chairs [5] 14/7 23/16 29/15 130/21 178/23 120/5 134/15 138/18 140/7 140/11 147/10 161/13 164/13 challenge [2] 14/6 89/22 call-takers [1] 120/5 challenged [1] 79/1 called [6] 33/20 55/14 79/17 85/3 97/9 108/22 challenges [7] 13/13 14/1 47/19 48/10 73/25 96/13 113/1 callers [1] 114/22 challenging [2] 123/5 155/22 calling [1] 140/20 **CHAMBERS** [5] 3/6 5/16 27/18 28/11 36/16 calls [22] 86/10 105/16 105/17 105/18 107/7 107/8 107/17 chance [2] 15/22 17/23 108/4 109/12 109/14 109/17 109/19 110/17 114/14 119/22 **change [27]** 10/15 32/5 51/11 55/18 59/8 60/17 67/23 71/19 119/23 120/9 141/15 152/19 152/22 163/5 164/22 77/6 77/8 77/16 77/23 77/24 81/18 82/7 86/25 87/1 89/1 92/23

```
C
                                                               co-chairs [4] 14/7 23/16 29/15 178/23
                                                               Co-presenting [1] 40/13
change... [8] 96/12 97/19 97/20 134/9 134/10 134/11 134/11
                                                               COAB [35] 9/23 15/25 23/9 25/18 28/3 29/1 29/19 29/19 29/22
136/23
                                                               29/24 29/25 30/13 33/3 33/6 33/9 33/12 33/13 33/15 55/23 56/9
change-in-force [2] 97/19 97/20
                                                               79/18 125/5 146/17 155/21 166/16 171/14 174/5 174/21 175/18
changed [13] 18/9 37/16 47/6 59/8 59/12 59/23 63/2 65/24
                                                               175/20 178/16 179/1 180/4 180/5 180/7
80/22 85/19 92/23 96/13 106/5
                                                               coaches [1] 97/9
changeover [1] 81/3
                                                               COALITION [9] 3/5 3/9 6/14 7/9 8/6 10/18 27/18 36/24 129/21
changes [14] 61/9 66/22 84/7 101/8 102/18 115/8 116/15
                                                               COCL [30] 31/16 55/5 55/12 56/1 56/25 57/9 58/4 58/19 58/22
116/15 117/18 122/24 139/15 139/25 165/9 174/19
                                                               59/6 59/15 60/1 60/11 61/25 62/23 63/15 64/5 66/18 73/8 75/11
changing [5] 58/25 86/24 92/25 110/8 160/19
                                                               119/20 123/14 124/5 129/2 130/6 130/12 138/18 158/14 159/9
chaotic [2] 64/16 102/7
                                                               171/13
chapter [1] 19/19
                                                               COCL's [4] 59/1 61/23 132/3 137/24
character [1] 35/11
                                                               Code [1] 138/12
charge [2] 30/10 144/22
                                                               codifies [1] 106/22
charged [1] 64/17
                                                               coding [1] 59/20
charges [2] 143/9 143/11
                                                               collaborates [1] 104/25
charter [1] 76/19
                                                               collaboration [2] 110/17 134/15
Chasse [1] 64/1
                                                               collaborative [1] 82/8
CHAVEZ [6] 3/14 8/15 40/10 42/3 140/9 145/13
                                                               collapsed [1] 43/12
cheating [1] 71/17
                                                               colleague [1] 95/7
check [7] 11/12 55/16 59/23 63/1 145/12 177/3 177/6
                                                               colleagues [3] 81/1 86/11 91/10
checking [3] 116/3 155/5 177/13
                                                               collect [3] 62/24 63/5 128/16
checks [3] 100/5 120/15 141/13
                                                               collected [1] 128/22
chief [18] 14/14 19/23 47/3 54/5 82/11 87/21 91/14 91/15 93/21
                                                               collecting [3] 66/23 67/3 84/22
100/22 125/12 127/21 160/18 163/13 165/4 166/7 166/8 171/6
                                                               collection [1] 110/15
child [1] 109/2
                                                               collective [1] 12/8
children [1] 71/9
                                                               collectively [2] 11/22 43/3
chiming [1] 56/15
                                                               collects [1] 85/18
choice [1] 156/1 chose [2] 29/18 78/22
                                                               color [17] 32/9 32/18 32/19 33/1 48/12 60/8 60/9 77/14 77/18
                                                               77/22 83/25 85/20 131/20 135/18 152/16 152/23 152/24
chosen [1] 41/5
                                                               colors [2] 41/5 135/9
Christoff [2] 139/8 139/11
                                                               combined [1] 107/3
circumstance [2] 142/15 142/18
                                                               come [30] 15/16 36/7 39/9 40/15 45/20 47/4 47/25 49/8 50/25
circumstances [1] 168/17
                                                               54/2 54/8 60/25 69/4 82/17 84/10 91/21 93/8 96/6 112/24
cited [2] 140/17 140/19
                                                               122/23 130/15 131/23 144/3 148/7 148/19 148/21 148/22 152/8
citing [1] 60/15
                                                               155/1 159/1
citizen [6] 6/25 15/5 26/6 59/7 107/18 143/19
                                                               comers [1] 148/3
citizens [6] 12/12 15/15 67/24 70/20 135/20 143/1
                                                               comes [5] 70/7 70/10 90/23 147/9 147/24
city [207]
                                                               comfortable [4] 18/24 140/20 149/2 180/16
City Council [1] 65/9
                                                               coming [9] 13/11 52/6 56/17 79/18 92/16 133/14 134/14 156/13
City's [21] 6/11 9/16 16/14 21/24 27/11 56/13 56/21 57/7 72/17
                                                               175/15
107/4 110/22 111/7 112/2 126/12 127/7 145/10 149/25 154/4
                                                               command [1] 117/1
159/9 166/25 169/9
                                                               commanders [2] 117/14 121/5
city-sister [1] 18/18
                                                               commanding [1] 143/6
citywide [1] 128/4
                                                               commands [1] 64/6
civil [4] 2/10 5/6 5/10 103/17
                                                               commend [5] 75/18 171/9 171/16 178/15 183/13
civilian [4] 64/16 122/18 122/21 142/25
                                                               commendation [1] 178/25
civilians [1] 142/23
                                                               comment [15] 10/14 15/7 22/21 36/24 60/20 67/14 72/7 73/12
claim [1] 64/6
                                                               73/24 75/1 111/15 113/12 155/22 157/2 166/11
claimed [1] 63/11
                                                               commented [1] 113/15
clarifying [1] 179/19
                                                               comments [47] 6/12 7/9 8/16 8/22 8/23 8/24 8/25 9/8 18/14
clarity [5] 26/9 68/20 69/6 169/10 181/11
                                                               27/6 27/8 27/17 36/14 36/18 38/13 40/4 40/18 51/19 52/12 56/1
class [2] 26/1 26/6
                                                               57/21 57/24 64/23 68/5 68/15 70/24 72/11 73/15 75/10 75/16
classroom [1] 96/24
                                                               76/1 78/5 78/19 82/25 87/10 89/8 93/21 135/24 136/2 149/6
Claudia [3] 47/7 80/24 156/20
                                                               151/18 158/10 159/18 159/21 159/22 167/22 176/25
clean [1] 141/19
                                                               Commission [1] 153/2
clear [8] 57/7 57/18 68/23 84/8 84/9 93/4 105/22 173/21
                                                               Commissioner [4] 53/13 54/5 83/1 162/3
clearly [4] 17/12 43/3 69/2 155/23
                                                               commissioners [3] 69/11 69/15 143/1
client [2] 22/17 144/7
                                                               commit [1] 50/1
client-centered [1] 144/7
                                                               commitment [8] 28/24 41/7 52/16 82/2 82/10 86/24 91/25
clients [2] 27/21 37/8
                                                               158/23
clients' [1] 144/12
                                                               committed [7] 9/16 28/21 49/18 51/25 52/6 142/2 165/4
clinics [1] 114/20
                                                               committee [51] 6/10 6/16 7/10 7/24 8/18 9/21 15/3 15/3 15/5
clock [1] 94/14 close [5] 70/5 71/11 147/10 147/11 173/19
                                                               19/6 19/21 48/8 49/21 49/22 50/4 50/5 52/17 54/24 55/21 56/4
                                                               58/12 70/9 72/22 72/25 73/21 73/25 74/1 74/6 74/16 74/20 75/1
closed [3] 71/3 71/12 101/21
                                                               75/4 79/3 79/3 80/3 84/17 85/4 92/7 105/11 111/9 125/4 131/10
closely [1] 163/14
                                                               143/2 145/20 147/2 149/10 149/18 149/22 152/8 155/11 155/14
closer [1] 115/9
                                                               committee's [3] 59/7 66/4 75/6
closing [4] 78/2 78/7 100/12 167/6
                                                               committees [5] 11/22 50/23 51/5 111/16 143/20
co [11] 14/7 15/1 15/3 23/16 29/15 40/13 44/22 45/23 45/25
                                                               common [3] 12/21 87/22 104/6
130/21 178/23
                                                               communicate [1] 52/24
co-chair [5] 15/1 15/3 44/22 45/23 45/25
                                                               communication [6] 64/3 102/6 104/6 119/9 145/19 145/24
```

```
C
                                                             conclusions [2] 85/6 100/21
                                                             concur [3] 130/14 132/15 132/16
Communications [1] 161/13
                                                             concurring [1] 62/5
communities [14] 31/18 32/9 32/18 32/20 33/1 43/19 60/8
                                                             condition [2] 20/25 44/6
77/14 83/25 85/20 111/23 127/15 157/20 157/24
                                                             conditional [18] 16/7 23/1 23/24 35/21 35/24 36/4 36/6 38/7
community [208]
                                                              48/5 48/20 49/3 52/8 68/18 69/9 69/21 178/21 179/11 181/18
community-based [9] 95/9 104/3 104/22 105/24 119/17 157/19
                                                             conditionally [14] 10/21 11/9 16/5 20/24 22/14 22/23 111/5
160/22 160/24 161/25
                                                              170/15 179/22 180/20 181/13 181/14 181/15 181/18
compare [2] 44/15 163/22
                                                             conditions [3] 71/19 71/20 160/22
compared [5] 32/18 100/17 120/9 120/20 132/8
                                                             conduct [8] 47/21 58/19 100/24 112/11 117/4 121/6 126/4
compassion [1] 93/7
                                                              133/21
competency [1] 99/4
                                                             conducted [4] 57/12 83/18 97/3 137/5
competency-based [1] 99/4
                                                             conducting [2] 60/14 123/17
complainant [1] 65/11
                                                             conducts [2] 101/15 111/25
complainants [1] 58/22
                                                             conference [8] 1/12 4/2 5/6 6/2 11/1 14/9 73/7 182/24
complained [1] 57/5
                                                             conferences [1] 42/10
complaint [1] 123/8
                                                             confidence [5] 10/1 125/10 146/15 146/23 175/23
complaints [5] 59/19 102/14 121/25 132/8 132/12
                                                             confident [2] 50/24 119/14
complete [9] 58/15 89/17 116/13 121/10 123/7 124/20 127/5
                                                             confidential [3] 133/18 138/4 138/10
128/9 150/20
                                                             confines [1] 67/6
completed [8] 48/3 50/20 101/11 101/13 115/25 128/15 129/4
                                                             confirm [1] 117/7
129/15
                                                             confirms [1] 109/11
completely [2] 156/8 174/21
                                                             conflate [1] 17/12
completing [2] 121/16 123/11
                                                             conflict [2] 89/11 156/9
complex [1] 154/23
                                                             conformed [1] 184/9
complexity [1] 92/23
                                                             confounding [1] 58/1
compliance [159] 7/7 7/8 7/18 7/19 7/20 7/22 8/2 9/17 10/16
                                                             confrontational [1] 81/19
12/10 14/18 21/15 22/1 25/2 25/6 26/22 26/22 29/18 38/16
                                                             confused [1] 146/7
54/25 55/7 56/18 57/1 57/2 57/20 58/7 58/17 62/23 66/24 67/7
                                                             confusing [1] 117/1
68/14 68/21 68/22 69/1 69/24 69/25 70/6 93/24 94/3 94/6 94/9
                                                             Congratulations [1] 76/6
95/3 95/6 95/11 95/13 95/19 96/2 96/5 96/9 96/21 98/2 98/6
                                                             congruent [1] 39/21
101/7 101/10 101/16 102/12 102/25 103/15 104/2 104/4 106/5
                                                             conjunction [3] 57/12 60/5 120/22
109/6 110/25 111/2 111/7 112/4 115/3 115/6 115/10 115/16
                                                             connect [3] 104/21 105/4 114/20
115/19 115/24 116/12 117/19 118/2 118/15 118/16 119/6
                                                             connected [2] 14/14 110/6
119/15 121/11 121/14 126/4 127/4 127/18 128/11 129/14
                                                             connection [3] 105/20 115/1 163/20
129/21 130/4 130/5 130/5 130/8 130/10 132/17 132/20 132/23
                                                             connections [1] 112/1
139/11 140/8 141/2 143/25 143/25 144/4 147/23 151/8 151/19
                                                             cons [1] 87/24
152/2 152/3 152/6 152/9 152/9 152/12 153/7 153/19 158/11
                                                             conscience [1] 48/24
158/23 158/24 159/10 159/14 159/21 160/8 162/22 163/4 163/9
                                                             conscientious [1] 156/21
169/9 170/24 170/25 171/1 171/24 172/3 172/5 172/7 172/8
                                                             consensus [1] 150/20
173/23 174/5 176/2 176/3 176/8 176/15 176/22 176/23 177/14
                                                             consent [3] 26/4 103/9 172/20
177/15 177/17 177/20 177/25 178/3 178/18 178/18 179/10
                                                             consequential [1] 110/1
179/14 179/17 179/21 180/4 180/13 180/15 180/19 180/23
                                                             conservator [1] 142/6
181/1 181/5 181/13
                                                             consider [4] 57/9 67/25 84/12 149/1
complied [6] 24/17 58/2 102/3 106/4 119/17 130/9
                                                             considerable [3] 124/19 125/16 125/22
complies [1] 162/20
                                                             consideration [4] 34/22 38/2 75/2 124/22
comply [4] 26/10 169/11 181/17 181/19
                                                             considered [2] 69/15 84/20
complying [1] 181/11
                                                             considering [2] 120/21 162/4
component [4] 109/12 127/22 163/21 164/14
                                                             consistency [1] 33/4
components [1] 164/17
                                                             consistent [4] 10/16 100/15 101/15 116/19
comports [1] 103/17
                                                             consists [1] 106/7
comprehensive [8] 99/14 109/8 118/8 120/23 122/14 123/3
                                                             constant [4] 39/2 41/13 74/1 80/16
138/5 163/17
                                                             constantly [1] 79/4
compressed [1] 166/20
                                                             constitutional [3] 9/18 116/19 162/20
comprised [1] 105/11
                                                             construction [1] 39/12
compromise [1] 80/19
                                                             construe [1] 147/12
computer [2] 81/17 138/8
                                                             consultants [2] 92/2 108/13
computer-aided [1] 138/8
                                                             consultation [1] 69/10
conceded [1] 174/4
                                                             consumer [1] 146/9
conceived [1] 29/20
                                                             contact [6] 31/14 37/22 43/20 74/14 104/23 140/23
concepts [1] 41/17
                                                             contacts [2] 104/10 104/22
concern [8] 23/11 24/22 25/19 61/14 69/13 102/1 177/21
                                                             contain [1] 70/16
177/22
                                                             contained [1] 179/22
concerned [8] 48/14 50/2 62/16 64/5 66/22 72/5 77/15 152/2
                                                             containing [1] 128/20
concerning [1] 96/15
                                                             contains [1] 12/6
concerns [22] 13/17 23/20 24/10 24/11 25/19 25/23 27/24
                                                             contemplate [1] 8/10
36/20 69/4 69/19 77/9 87/18 88/4 98/3 106/2 120/24 138/21
                                                             content [2] 109/1 129/9
140/13 144/8 169/1 169/2 169/4
                                                             contention [1] 61/18
conclude [4] 134/19 178/17 179/7 181/6
                                                             contentious [1] 30/14
concluded [1] 118/2
                                                             context [2] 10/4 42/2
concludes [1] 113/23
                                                             contextualized [1] 107/6
concluding [2] 150/8 180/16
                                                             continue [18] 27/14 38/7 49/3 50/13 52/23 82/22 93/6 98/22
conclusion [3] 21/5 66/21 75/4
                                                              99/10 104/8 105/5 113/18 115/20 122/20 136/18 162/9 172/8
```

C 169/8 169/14 170/1 170/4 170/10 171/10 172/4 172/16 172/19 173/2 173/5 173/6 173/6 173/10 173/16 176/1 179/3 179/20 continue... [1] 181/12 181/3 181/12 183/22 184/12 continued [11] 3/1 56/23 68/7 98/15 106/18 118/11 122/20 Court's [10] 10/3 11/4 11/14 16/13 34/7 34/15 52/25 67/5 125/24 160/4 167/18 183/6 100/15 159/12 continues [12] 64/2 66/12 66/17 66/21 82/19 84/6 108/24 Courthouse [1] 3/23 113/20 117/4 122/1 132/18 161/21 courtroom [2] 6/20 18/23 continuing [13] 68/17 71/24 106/1 112/5 112/6 113/17 165/5 courts [2] 44/1 149/12 173/6 173/10 173/15 178/21 178/22 179/11 cover [1] 157/3 continuum [1] 15/18 coverage [1] 134/11 contract [1] 12/15 covered [2] 115/12 125/15 contracted [1] 56/6 covering [2] 95/17 161/4 contractors [1] 12/10 covers [1] 99/17 contribute [2] 74/23 127/6 **COWALL [1]** 2/10 contributed [1] 35/21 crazy [1] 83/7 contribution [1] 91/11 CRC [3] 59/9 59/10 65/17 contributions [1] 52/16 create [5] 9/25 55/16 79/23 125/1 142/22 contributor [1] 81/12 created [11] 14/1 19/17 30/4 44/20 48/2 48/7 57/3 84/15 116/13 control [8] 29/23 64/11 66/1 98/5 117/1 146/5 164/20 164/21 118/4 121/9 conversation [1] 90/24 creates [2] 15/21 60/2 conversations [4] 84/23 84/24 87/14 138/6 creating [4] 55/22 113/10 144/2 174/21 convey [3] 52/13 81/6 141/7 creation [1] 145/21 conveyed [1] 22/17 credibility [1] 42/16 conveying [1] 80/25 credit [1] 71/17 cooperation [1] 132/22 creed [1] 9/14 coordination [4] 104/15 104/24 120/22 161/24 crime [3] 62/3 64/18 142/2 Copwatch [21] 6/23 8/21 15/9 54/21 54/22 55/7 57/4 57/14 criminalized [1] 46/9 60/13 61/23 62/6 65/2 66/2 66/12 66/17 66/21 67/9 67/12 67/13 crises [1] 161/4 crisis [50] 32/15 60/10 63/18 76/15 76/18 77/1 77/10 77/14 copy [2] 53/17 57/15 95/10 105/6 105/6 105/19 106/2 106/7 106/9 106/10 106/12 core [2] 16/7 159/5 107/4 107/6 107/7 107/17 108/4 108/6 108/13 108/14 108/16 corners [1] 116/2 109/6 110/4 110/24 114/5 114/7 114/15 114/15 114/17 114/19 correct [18] 21/16 21/19 23/25 24/1 30/25 31/1 33/5 38/19 114/19 117/23 119/16 133/5 133/9 140/21 161/8 161/12 161/13 38/23 49/17 49/18 85/9 150/8 150/12 150/13 151/6 170/12 161/15 161/16 162/7 162/13 164/1 164/14 criteria [17] 17/2 17/5 17/6 17/8 31/5 107/3 107/6 107/12 corrected [3] 124/4 124/4 129/11 107/14 119/21 120/1 179/21 179/22 179/24 180/10 180/19 correcting [1] 124/14 181/7 corrections [3] 124/18 144/25 167/10 critical [6] 18/1 96/20 97/6 102/2 133/10 146/19 corrective [3] 102/13 116/6 117/11 critically [2] 123/16 173/25 correctly [4] 49/6 103/10 165/1 179/20 criticism [2] 22/2 126/22 corresponding [1] 16/15 criticisms [1] 173/7 Corvallis [1] 71/5 criticized [1] 112/13 cost [3] 143/9 145/5 145/5 critique [2] 79/20 99/9 costs [1] 88/21 critiqued [1] 61/23 could [47] 5/8 17/15 28/6 28/7 28/20 29/23 33/6 42/23 55/20 critiques [1] 82/19 56/22 61/21 64/12 66/16 67/8 68/19 79/3 79/5 79/13 79/15 crop [1] 82/1 79/18 79/19 79/19 79/19 79/20 79/20 80/15 80/17 80/19 81/11 CROs [1] 102/7 81/18 81/22 88/20 108/3 108/7 108/8 136/16 136/16 136/18 cross [2] 14/24 43/3 141/1 145/9 145/12 148/21 153/24 154/11 165/7 170/3 182/5 **cross-over [1]** 43/3 couldn't [3] 81/5 164/25 175/7 cross-pollination [1] 14/24 council [34] 10/13 15/4 31/25 39/6 61/1 61/7 63/8 63/20 65/9 crosses [1] 100/10 65/17 72/24 74/9 74/11 76/20 77/8 77/19 78/4 78/8 78/13 79/22 crowd [3] 64/11 64/19 66/1 83/8 88/8 97/16 111/17 112/2 129/16 133/24 133/25 142/22 crowd-control [1] 64/11 146/1 156/9 161/19 162/4 162/11 CRR [1] 184/12 Council's [1] 77/9 CSR [1] 3/23 councils [3] 111/16 167/2 167/3 cultural [1] 112/1 counsel [6] 5/8 5/16 154/12 180/25 182/15 183/16 culturally [1] 144/18 counseling [1] 105/19 culture [3] 18/10 32/23 51/12 count [3] 54/14 60/14 151/12 cultured [1] 155/3 counted [1] 66/13 cultures [2] 31/19 37/23 country [6] 70/15 75/23 89/5 92/2 114/14 172/19 cured [1] 102/20 County [7] 105/19 114/5 114/7 114/14 114/15 114/17 145/8 curiae [1] 181/3 couple [9] 18/20 46/6 49/23 68/13 68/18 88/8 89/3 103/4 curiosity [1] 45/4 curious [1] 38/2 coupled [1] 81/10 current [7] 49/14 83/20 88/21 95/13 121/6 146/22 163/22 course [12] 7/24 18/21 28/15 40/15 79/25 92/19 96/18 97/1 currently [4] 131/3 145/7 166/13 171/14 100/10 140/12 160/23 164/7 custody [1] 43/11 court [84] 1/1 1/15 3/23 5/4 9/11 10/9 10/10 10/21 10/25 15/10 cut [2] 41/12 77/4 19/15 20/19 20/22 20/24 20/25 21/2 21/5 21/7 22/19 24/9 24/21 cutting [1] 116/2 28/4 28/5 28/16 34/10 35/1 42/6 42/8 42/8 48/23 49/16 52/15 cv [1] 1/5 53/21 54/10 60/20 61/7 63/7 67/25 68/12 83/4 91/20 93/18 95/1 95/5 95/12 96/7 98/4 107/11 111/6 113/20 134/1 143/4 151/16 155/3 158/13 158/13 158/17 159/1 159/2 159/6 168/14 168/16

dam [1] 72/9

D deliver [1] 62/25 delivered [2] 128/10 128/19 dams [1] 72/1 delivers [1] 162/17 Dan [3] 6/23 54/20 78/2 delivery [1] 104/10 data [53] 11/16 32/21 32/21 42/20 58/8 61/24 62/5 62/17 62/25 delves [1] 59/6 63/9 63/12 63/13 63/17 65/6 66/24 67/3 70/2 70/4 84/22 85/17 demographic [5] 63/5 63/9 128/16 128/21 128/23 85/18 88/21 90/2 97/15 98/6 100/17 106/17 109/11 110/13 demonstrable [2] 11/15 109/23 110/16 120/12 120/14 122/2 128/16 128/19 128/23 129/1 demonstrate [2] 99/8 102/18 136/11 136/15 136/20 136/21 137/8 137/11 137/11 137/13 demonstrated [7] 16/6 16/22 17/1 101/5 106/6 110/24 119/23 163/4 163/8 163/10 163/19 163/22 163/23 167/9 167/9 demonstrates [1] 98/13 data-driven [1] 110/13 demonstration [3] 96/25 99/7 99/11 database [1] 118/18 demonstration-type [1] 99/7 date [11] 21/12 32/21 39/7 51/1 63/10 63/12 133/24 158/2 demonstrations [1] 55/10 165/18 167/11 184/12 denied [1] 183/3 dated [1] 147/9 **DENIS** [2] 2/14 5/24 dates [2] 177/3 181/21 Denis Vannier [1] 5/24 day [22] 9/12 9/12 18/19 30/24 43/10 43/12 43/12 43/13 43/13 Dennis [4] 3/23 115/6 184/11 184/12 44/2 71/25 79/11 82/21 95/21 97/11 123/18 125/6 154/15 159/4 department [17] 2/10 9/13 28/22 47/9 55/5 65/5 67/19 76/16 159/4 177/7 177/10 96/21 101/10 131/22 133/6 134/16 143/7 160/11 161/6 172/18 day-to-day [2] 9/12 159/4 **departments** [1] 144/25 days [15] 39/14 49/8 57/13 63/24 78/24 123/7 123/22 137/6 deploy [1] 86/20 140/3 168/15 175/1 177/7 177/10 177/13 177/17 deployed [1] 105/15 DC [1] 2/12 deployment [1] 116/22 de [15] 64/3 64/6 64/9 64/13 89/11 96/16 96/21 97/1 98/25 depression [1] 76/22 99/20 103/1 106/21 116/22 117/2 118/12 describe [2] 19/8 75/10 de-escalating [1] 89/11 described [3] 19/14 98/23 127/13 de-escalation [14] 64/3 64/6 64/9 64/13 96/16 96/21 97/1 98/25 deserve [1] 25/25 99/20 103/1 106/21 116/22 117/2 118/12 deserves [1] 10/3 deadly [9] 55/8 63/18 63/23 63/25 66/15 66/19 110/1 133/6 design [3] 10/23 40/23 119/7 140/23 designated [1] 12/6 deal [2] 52/19 158/18 designed [4] 110/20 131/24 144/6 146/6 dealing [8] 29/19 32/13 33/14 41/9 77/7 132/6 132/13 174/10 designing [1] 86/22 dealt [3] 67/16 155/21 174/17 desirable [1] 23/8 deaths [2] 165/18 165/18 desk [1] 44/25 debate [2] 13/9 87/22 despite [3] 79/6 79/11 81/4 Debbie [5] 7/1 8/17 8/18 72/15 78/3 destiny [1] 134/8 decades [1] 72/17 detail [3] 124/6 125/17 170/20 **December [1]** 10/9 **detailed** [1] 131/9 December 2017 [1] 10/9 details [2] 115/14 119/20 decide [6] 19/21 79/9 81/11 90/7 147/15 149/12 determination [5] 23/14 149/9 149/15 150/6 180/11 decided [1] 61/4 determine [5] 28/8 34/5 89/24 130/7 167/13 decision [12] 79/8 79/22 87/7 90/10 90/18 91/4 96/20 98/25 determined [1] 39/2 99/20 118/12 118/24 151/4 determines [1] 130/10 decision-makers [1] 79/22 determining [2] 138/9 151/5 decision-making [5] 96/20 98/25 99/20 118/12 118/24 develop [5] 42/2 124/24 127/18 127/19 133/15 decisions [6] 42/15 42/16 108/17 119/3 147/7 167/9 developed [5] 73/19 99/14 100/16 128/5 141/9 declaration [1] 8/15 developing [5] 31/13 116/7 127/24 145/9 163/3 decline [1] 52/21 development [4] 72/18 128/13 154/23 163/9 declined [1] 22/22 devices [1] 98/5 declining [3] 168/21 169/5 179/12 devote [1] 167/17 **decrease [2]** 97/24 104/10 devoted [1] 16/10 decree [2] 103/9 172/20 **DHM [2]** 57/12 60/6 dedicated [4] 12/14 19/9 35/8 35/13 **DHM's [1]** 58/9 dedication [2] 91/24 175/13 diagnosed [1] 42/23 deemed [1] 20/19 diagnostic [2] 43/5 43/8 deep [1] 82/4 dialogue [1] 123/15 deescalation [1] 32/11 did [30] 21/1 21/19 30/15 50/11 55/16 55/24 65/12 76/24 78/7 **defeating [1]** 61/5 78/8 80/18 83/18 90/11 98/1 115/13 116/10 117/10 117/10 defend [1] 92/16 131/1 134/23 135/15 137/4 148/17 148/22 156/19 158/12 166/3 **Defendant [5]** 1/8 2/14 2/17 2/21 3/2 166/19 177/21 179/1 **defendants [3]** 143/8 143/11 143/18 didn't [15] 36/25 54/11 56/1 64/8 65/14 81/8 91/21 156/24 defense [2] 61/24 165/23 157/13 163/23 164/24 166/19 171/21 173/10 173/15 defer [3] 48/19 49/12 154/6 difference [4] 28/3 52/9 83/6 126/19 deferred [6] 10/25 21/5 149/9 183/3 183/4 183/12 differences [2] 55/13 121/15 deficient [1] 24/13 different [13] 31/18 39/11 67/10 70/18 83/12 90/17 104/12 define [2] 60/11 134/7 131/16 155/1 155/1 156/13 156/15 157/19 defined [1] 109/18 differently [1] 119/24 definition [1] 60/17 difficult [12] 18/7 32/4 57/5 77/21 79/8 101/6 124/12 132/17 delay [5] 26/24 99/23 137/21 140/2 174/13 132/20 132/21 152/4 155/24 delays [1] 124/8 difficulty [1] 135/24 delete [1] 17/10 digging [1] 48/4 deliberate [2] 13/2 112/16 digitally [1] 184/9 **delinquent [1]** 102/20 dignitaries [1] 6/6

```
D
                                                               divided [1] 95/5
                                                               division [7] 1/3 2/11 5/11 63/11 111/19 119/3 119/12
diligence [1] 156/17
                                                               divisions [1] 117/15
direct [7] 33/16 33/17 54/11 136/17 136/25 138/4 139/18
                                                               DNA [1] 48/24
directed [3] 15/17 100/23 111/6
                                                               do [137] 7/19 17/20 17/24 18/23 20/15 21/16 22/5 24/9 24/15
direction [9] 15/19 16/4 38/12 112/12 112/23 146/5 175/10
                                                               24/18 25/24 27/13 28/17 29/2 30/12 34/13 34/17 34/19 35/3
178/15 181/4
                                                               35/4 35/11 35/12 35/19 35/23 36/19 38/6 38/7 39/15 44/10
directions [2] 28/21 102/24
                                                               44/10 44/13 44/14 45/19 46/14 47/7 47/24 48/2 48/2 48/6 48/8
directive [5] 96/10 97/5 106/8 106/20 120/2
                                                               48/13 49/4 50/23 50/24 52/16 52/17 52/20 53/2 53/9 53/13
directives [3] 69/12 101/11 116/21
                                                               54/16 55/10 56/3 56/11 58/21 60/19 63/6 66/18 66/20 68/14
directly [9] 13/21 42/14 97/15 98/16 100/24 101/17 107/8
                                                               68/14 72/10 75/16 82/6 82/15 83/23 85/10 85/25 86/2 86/3
138/25 143/9
                                                               86/16 86/18 87/11 89/9 89/14 91/11 91/15 91/22 91/23 92/3
director [10] 22/4 22/6 22/8 22/13 47/23 49/25 127/8 127/9
                                                               92/4 92/5 93/5 93/6 94/2 94/2 94/5 94/9 102/15 103/7 103/10
155/6 176/6
                                                               104/8 105/18 114/7 114/13 114/16 115/15 130/25 134/6 134/7
disabilities [3] 146/1 146/4 146/7
                                                               135/1 135/4 135/17 137/9 137/20 138/22 138/23 143/24 145/10
disability [2] 141/23 145/16
                                                               146/16 151/23 155/24 156/11 156/25 157/11 157/20 160/5
disadvantages [1] 103/4
                                                               163/24 164/3 164/24 164/24 165/25 168/20 169/4 170/20 175/7
disagree [5] 25/13 36/21 55/12 66/17 170/11
                                                               176/10 178/13 179/11 179/16 179/20 181/8 181/21 181/23
disappeared [1] 121/16
                                                               182/7 183/8 183/13
disappointed [1] 85/21
                                                               Docket [4] 9/23 10/24 11/2 17/4
disapprove [3] 38/10 38/22 178/14
                                                               doctor [1] 44/7
disapproving [1] 175/8
                                                               document [5] 56/21 120/16 121/7 138/2 138/16
disapprovingly [1] 65/13
                                                               documentation [1] 64/12
disastrous [1] 66/16
                                                               documented [1] 123/13
discharge [2] 66/16 112/25
                                                               documents [12] 7/13 7/13 31/20 57/8 57/11 58/23 135/25 136/9
discipline [1] 65/19
                                                               137/2 137/25 138/10 143/13
disclosure [1] 91/5
                                                               does [51] 10/4 16/7 17/7 18/4 23/12 23/16 27/3 27/13 36/4
disconnect [1] 75/10
                                                               44/17 47/23 49/16 52/17 67/10 75/23 75/24 76/25 83/19 83/20
discovery [1] 123/8
                                                               84/9 85/4 89/1 91/18 98/14 103/3 103/6 115/19 133/9 135/3
discrepancies [1] 130/3
                                                               135/9 137/17 137/18 138/4 139/20 141/4 149/23 150/9 152/9
discretion [1] 168/23
                                                               159/8 159/9 159/10 159/13 160/7 160/14 160/15 169/10 175/9
discretionary [3] 168/17 168/21 170/10
                                                               180/10 182/21 182/22 183/10
discuss [9] 42/1 44/20 45/17 63/15 111/3 124/5 129/21 135/5
                                                               doesn't [8] 44/23 58/24 90/10 90/11 90/16 93/13 137/14 137/15
                                                               dog [1] 64/8
discussed [8] 14/12 30/23 73/19 73/23 123/1 126/6 126/8
                                                               doing [22] 12/11 19/7 19/13 20/4 23/10 30/11 35/13 36/11
180/1
                                                               51/24 65/15 68/8 85/25 92/18 115/14 125/16 149/3 153/1
discussing [3] 41/20 41/21 140/18
                                                               159/11 165/1 171/15 178/25 182/18
discussion [4] 75/3 90/20 90/21 91/12
                                                               DOJ [51] 12/10 32/3 37/20 55/12 56/18 57/2 57/9 57/19 58/7
discussions [1] 99/6
                                                               58/9 58/16 59/6 59/10 59/15 60/1 60/11 61/18 61/21 62/23 63/2
disengagement [2] 97/13 106/22
                                                               63/15 63/19 63/22 64/2 64/10 64/18 65/25 66/9 66/18 66/25
disheartening [1] 131/21
                                                               68/22 69/1 69/13 73/16 84/6 84/10 85/12 85/21 85/22 101/16
dismissal [1] 96/7
                                                               115/12 115/16 116/10 119/19 123/14 124/5 129/2 130/6 130/13
dismissed [4] 79/6 172/1 172/6 176/14
                                                               169/8 169/12
disorder [2] 144/14 156/7
                                                               DOJ's [5] 56/15 56/16 58/1 66/2 66/5
disorders [1] 43/2
                                                               dollars [5] 12/14 71/6 71/15 90/1 90/2
disparate [2] 63/1 131/20
                                                               dominate [1] 155/3
disparity [1] 62/22
                                                               don't [58] 13/12 13/22 17/11 17/17 17/25 18/11 19/19 24/11
dispatch [8] 107/2 107/14 108/17 110/18 119/21 120/1 136/9
                                                               33/16 36/11 36/17 37/16 37/20 38/18 41/6 45/1 45/2 45/9 46/7
138/8
                                                               46/8 48/15 49/14 51/25 72/2 72/2 80/7 85/15 87/9 91/5 91/7
dispatched [1] 162/7
                                                               91/21 92/8 92/9 92/11 92/13 114/8 114/17 134/25 137/21
dispatcher [1] 161/13
                                                               138/25 140/1 140/2 142/20 148/7 149/10 150/1 151/25 154/1
dispatchers [2] 105/18 120/5
                                                               155/18 157/2 157/15 158/10 164/6 169/25 170/12 171/18
dispatching [1] 107/8
                                                               176/24 178/5
disproportionate [2] 16/11 32/17
                                                               done [46] 28/24 33/10 38/14 43/23 46/15 46/18 47/12 47/19
disregarded [1] 79/6
                                                               49/1 50/17 51/14 52/9 60/5 63/10 66/7 66/25 75/5 83/7 85/8
disrespected [1] 79/6
                                                               85/9 86/7 88/24 92/20 92/20 99/16 113/8 115/23 119/19 123/3
disruptive [1] 155/23
                                                               125/12 130/23 131/4 131/24 132/19 134/4 134/13 139/12
dissatisfied [1] 112/23
                                                               147/23 152/18 153/19 154/22 154/23 163/6 176/4 176/5 181/8
dissensions [1] 14/4
                                                               Doom [1] 86/6
distance [1] 47/1
                                                               doors [2] 148/8 149/3
distribute [1] 128/16
                                                               double [2] 71/16 177/6
distributed [1] 128/2
                                                               double-check [1] 177/6
distribution [1] 74/10
                                                               doubt [1] 120/6
DISTRICT [4] 1/1 1/2 1/15 3/23
                                                               doubts [1] 87/18
distrust [2] 60/7 133/11
                                                              down [12] 12/19 12/20 12/22 12/23 32/16 39/19 50/3 72/3 77/4 110/5 131/22 133/3
disturbance [4] 142/9 142/12 142/15 142/16
ditched [1] 159/25
                                                               downplay [1] 13/13
divergence [1] 172/14
                                                               downside [2] 155/16 160/23
diverse [2] 73/1 111/23
                                                               Downtown [1] 44/8
diversified [3] 31/17 31/19 37/23
                                                               dozen [2] 11/23 57/11
diversity [8] 14/16 31/14 31/20 37/22 74/21 77/18 77/23 113/9
                                                               dozens [1] 56/23
divert [1] 144/24
                                                               Dr. [18] 14/10 15/13 28/9 28/12 34/19 36/13 38/9 38/23 40/5
diverting [1] 105/16
                                                               41/2 56/1 115/4 129/18 129/23 129/25 135/22 135/23 138/23
```

B.	alayatad [41, 100/0
D	elevated [1] 126/9
Dr. Bethel [13] 15/13 28/9 28/12 34/19 36/13 38/9 38/23 40/5	eliminate [1] 130/8
	eliminating [1] 174/21
41/2 129/23 129/25 135/22 135/23	Elle [1] 14/16
Dr. Bethel's [1] 56/1	else [15] 8/25 9/3 17/18 27/19 53/12 53/15 112/6 145/18 149/2
Dr. Rosenbaum [3] 115/4 129/18 138/23	
draft [5] 60/12 113/13 119/11 166/9 166/13	150/14 156/9 156/11 169/17 171/12 174/5
draw [1] 13/4	email [4] 8/20 74/10 80/18 80/25
	embodied [1] 10/17
drawbacks [1] 90/16	embodies [1] 25/16
drawing [1] 181/22	embraced [1] 14/6
dress [1] 134/12	
dressing [1] 82/10	embraces [1] 171/6
driven [2] 9/14 110/13	emergency [4] 104/6 144/17 144/25 161/12
	emergent [1] 144/8
driver [2] 137/14 137/15	emotional [1] 78/10
driving [1] 118/11	empathic [1] 119/9
drop [3] 144/2 144/6 145/1	
drop-off [3] 144/2 144/6 145/1	emphasis [2] 39/3 97/1
dropped [3] 81/3 98/7 143/10	emphasize [9] 20/15 24/18 26/17 108/3 113/17 115/18 120/18
	124/21 169/4
drown [1] 41/13	emphasized [1] 146/12
drug [1] 141/17	emphasizes [2] 106/21 108/10
drugs [2] 42/25 142/1	
Drury [3] 14/7 45/23 160/3	empirical [1] 123/19
due [4] 12/19 44/22 113/3 122/17	empirically [1] 123/13
	employed [1] 99/4
Dumas [4] 14/7 15/2 45/25 51/22	employee [5] 22/8 59/16 66/9 100/1 121/2
duplicate [1] 20/14	employees [6] 12/9 120/3 121/3 121/7 122/12 143/20
duration [1] 111/2	
during [12] 46/16 53/18 64/19 99/11 101/5 101/21 117/2 122/18	employment [2] 105/3 110/9
148/1 154/2 154/4 177/23	empower [1] 157/24
	empowered [3] 112/7 112/9 125/5
duties [1] 113/1	empowerment [2] 42/12 148/4
dying [1] 85/25	EMS [1] 98/16
E	EMT [2] 86/21 86/22
each [16] 31/11 51/7 54/15 54/16 60/18 63/5 97/22 99/8 99/10	enable [2] 167/8 167/12
100/20 100/23 101/11 102/3 116/10 124/10 167/2	enabled [1] 112/11
	enables [1] 163/18
earlier [9] 27/23 36/21 52/18 56/1 120/13 141/4 149/16 153/9	enacted [1] 100/8
165/12	
early [5] 14/4 56/7 117/23 127/10 177/19	encounter [2] 86/1 135/8
earn [1] 153/14	encounters [2] 109/22 128/23
	encourage [5] 12/1 29/9 52/23 112/22 130/20
earned [1] 10/2	encourages [1] 73/11
ease [1] 134/10	end [14] 12/19 26/19 29/19 41/10 41/25 63/20 63/24 67/12
easier [3] 75/1 137/12 154/12	
easy [4] 43/20 43/20 43/20 156/5	69/21 90/12 118/16 127/11 177/2 177/19
eat [1] 71/25	endangered [1] 46/10
echo [3] 41/2 51/20 140/13	endorsed [1] 126/25
FOIT [45] 77/0 07/14 106/10 107/0 107/16 100/5 100/7 110/10	endorsement [2] 11/14 108/21
ECIT [15] 77/2 97/14 106/13 107/8 107/16 108/5 108/7 110/10	endowed [1] 15/19
110/10 110/18 119/22 119/24 120/7 120/9 120/23	energy [1] 16/10
ECIT's [1] 107/2	
ECW [3] 98/7 99/6 99/10	enforced [1] 65/7
ECWs [3] 98/5 98/11 109/21	enforcement [16] 43/9 43/25 44/3 58/24 62/7 84/24 85/3 89/6
edited [2] 96/22 166/13	93/4 103/9 104/11 104/23 110/2 136/14 138/7 139/1
	enforcing [2] 65/4 65/15
educates [1] 134/9	engage [13] 31/24 43/21 47/16 48/14 50/5 60/2 81/13 105/8
education [2] 117/25 153/15	111/22 127/1 130/24 153/16 157/8
effect [3] 16/15 80/2 95/25	
effective [11] 18/9 30/11 65/24 69/18 118/4 119/9 121/22	engaged [19] 6/11 6/17 7/10 7/25 9/21 19/7 42/7 47/4 50/22
132/11 134/2 144/5 168/15	54/25 81/4 82/19 104/7 105/23 111/9 123/15 125/4 157/22
effective/we [1] 18/9	166/2
	engagement [46] 10/2 14/15 15/22 26/4 29/10 30/10 43/16
effectively [7] 31/7 111/23 121/3 127/1 132/1 162/19 163/24	43/17 50/16 50/16 58/4 61/15 79/14 79/21 81/14 82/2 82/3
effectiveness [4] 31/6 106/7 110/24 133/8	82/10 83/16 95/10 95/23 111/4 113/10 125/2 125/16 126/16
effects [1] 97/22	
efficiency [1] 124/21	127/6 127/13 127/14 127/17 127/20 127/22 127/24 128/3
efficient [1] 74/25	128/13 129/5 144/15 145/19 146/12 148/11 153/10 157/9
	166/18 173/24 174/11 174/20
efficiently [1] 31/8	
effort [7] 9/25 16/14 16/14 72/24 92/15 116/1 162/5	lengages [4] 19/10 19/12 111/12 111/20
	engages [4] 19/10 19/12 111/12 111/20 engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4
	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4 154/4 159/19 165/21 169/25	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10 enough [13] 28/8 41/4 41/13 47/1 65/6 134/10 134/10 134/11
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4 154/4 159/19 165/21 169/25 elder [1] 80/2	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10 enough [13] 28/8 41/4 41/13 47/1 65/6 134/10 134/10 134/11 155/12 155/12 161/25 162/1 176/16
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4 154/4 159/19 165/21 169/25 elder [1] 80/2 elected [5] 6/5 21/12 23/14 83/8 126/22	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10 enough [13] 28/8 41/4 41/13 47/1 65/6 134/10 134/10 134/11
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4 154/4 159/19 165/21 169/25 elder [1] 80/2	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10 enough [13] 28/8 41/4 41/13 47/1 65/6 134/10 134/10 134/11 155/12 155/12 161/25 162/1 176/16 enshrine [1] 163/7
efforts [12] 27/12 52/17 60/19 100/25 103/1 105/22 106/22 110/14 111/14 125/10 133/8 146/23 EIS [14] 95/8 99/25 100/4 100/5 100/9 100/12 121/2 121/6 121/8 121/10 121/13 121/18 121/24 132/1 EIS records [1] 100/5 either [12] 38/22 55/6 56/1 59/22 92/12 114/3 136/17 139/4 154/4 159/19 165/21 169/25 elder [1] 80/2 elected [5] 6/5 21/12 23/14 83/8 126/22	engaging [3] 133/8 153/11 157/4 engender [1] 148/14 enhance [1] 121/2 enhanced [8] 77/1 105/6 106/12 108/14 121/12 121/18 122/18 161/15 enhancing [1] 146/22 enormity [1] 51/10 enough [13] 28/8 41/4 41/13 47/1 65/6 134/10 134/10 134/11 155/12 155/12 161/25 162/1 176/16

Ε excellent [3] 76/9 108/19 120/19 except [3] 13/6 20/23 95/20 ensure... [5] 111/1 113/20 124/15 126/13 161/20 excessive [4] 67/20 76/18 77/10 135/13 ensured [1] 56/23 excited [1] 93/15 ensuring [2] 103/15 165/6 excluded [1] 65/25 enter [6] 20/11 21/3 27/1 168/2 168/21 169/14 excuse [1] 43/16 entered [4] 34/11 34/14 162/25 163/1 executive [1] 93/17 entire [8] 39/6 43/8 87/6 123/5 125/23 171/19 180/2 180/25 exercise [2] 35/1 112/14 entirely [2] 40/21 43/8 exercises [1] 118/24 entitled [1] 184/8 exhausted [1] 13/2 entity [2] 59/22 88/18 exhibit [1] 165/15 entry [3] 27/14 168/6 168/18 exhibited [1] 29/3 enumerations [1] 17/2 **exhibiting [1]** 114/4 environmental [1] 72/1 existence [1] 89/10 envision [1] 171/22 existing [3] 146/20 163/3 167/1 ephemeral [1] 26/13 exists [1] 72/3 epidemiology [1] 42/19 exonerated [3] 59/13 71/16 101/24 equal [1] 152/10 expanded [4] 22/11 104/16 107/12 119/21 equally [3] 126/23 132/11 167/12 expanding [2] 109/1 120/5 **equipment [1]** 117/6 expect [8] 13/10 37/16 79/15 79/20 91/21 105/23 111/1 126/21 equitability [1] 40/20 expectation [2] 162/16 179/15 equity [10] 11/14 14/16 14/18 22/7 99/19 109/8 111/13 154/16 expectations [6] 75/6 92/24 93/1 93/1 93/2 157/8 160/14 167/19 expected [4] 74/8 119/7 125/9 127/11 equivalent [1] 98/24 **expects [1]** 124/23 **error** [1] 165/14 **expedite** [1] 75/3 **escalating [2]** 89/11 108/2 expeditious [2] 124/6 137/12 escalation [15] 64/3 64/6 64/9 64/13 96/16 96/21 97/1 98/25 expeditiously [1] 102/14 99/20 103/1 106/21 116/22 117/2 118/12 119/2 experience [12] 10/22 11/12 42/21 52/1 69/8 78/25 80/4 81/21 especially [12] 15/15 22/20 64/12 115/21 116/4 125/12 148/11 105/12 156/7 156/14 156/22 153/5 169/10 176/19 179/4 179/6 experienced [2] 11/13 22/7 essential [2] 30/10 42/15 experiences [3] 84/9 144/15 155/2 essentially [1] 168/12 experiencing [6] 67/21 86/13 141/22 161/8 162/12 164/1 established [5] 10/10 14/17 15/6 21/22 126/5 **experiment** [1] 56/19 establishment [1] 12/18 expert [3] 67/18 108/13 136/13 estimates [1] 63/13 experts [1] 45/5 **estranged** [1] 43/9 explain [2] 62/21 168/23 et [3] 5/7 76/22 133/19 explaining [1] 134/21 et cetera [2] 76/22 133/19 explanation [3] 65/14 69/14 95/12 ethical [2] 118/24 119/2 exploration [1] 134/23 ethnicity [1] 50/22 **explored [1]** 114/18 **Eugene [1]** 86/15 express [4] 19/5 58/21 126/22 178/22 evaluate [3] 31/6 124/6 163/8 expressed [1] 88/4 evaluated [1] 75/11 expressing [2] 27/9 87/17 evaluation [3] 99/4 107/13 118/6 extend [2] 84/12 123/21 even [42] 18/6 24/20 25/6 29/12 29/14 29/16 30/13 48/15 57/24 extensive [7] 8/20 10/14 54/22 101/13 119/14 127/14 164/10 59/16 66/23 72/2 72/2 84/18 85/18 89/16 89/16 91/5 91/7 97/1 extent [5] 56/19 67/6 160/25 163/22 170/9 98/8 100/18 101/15 102/7 102/7 130/21 130/25 131/2 131/22 extremely [8] 19/8 160/13 163/12 164/23 165/4 167/15 167/17 135/5 147/10 153/14 158/16 160/7 162/23 168/20 173/15 174/2 183/15 175/2 175/4 175/9 175/24 **eyes [1]** 16/16 evening [1] 28/19 event [2] 143/5 173/19 events [4] 97/25 111/20 116/24 117/5 face [5] 12/5 12/5 16/3 17/13 79/7 ever [1] 141/1 face-to-face [1] 12/5 every [18] 11/19 44/2 60/22 71/25 72/22 79/11 80/21 83/14 Facebook [1] 167/1 90/24 91/16 111/15 111/16 153/15 155/17 155/17 161/11 facially [1] 11/10 161/12 165/21 facilitate [7] 23/15 35/7 50/10 77/16 90/19 125/11 146/24 everybody [10] 36/8 44/11 94/12 145/18 148/4 148/6 150/25 facilitated [1] 14/5 155/5 156/18 174/4 facilitating [2] 33/10 91/12 everyone [18] 12/1 16/24 39/24 39/25 40/6 52/24 54/11 70/21 facilitation [6] 12/15 33/14 43/22 74/23 80/11 156/4 93/10 93/11 154/20 158/1 159/24 171/9 171/12 174/5 183/13 facilitator [8] 23/12 23/17 45/5 45/6 50/11 74/25 154/22 156/24 183/17 facilitators [2] 29/23 56/6 everything [6] 7/14 43/12 92/17 112/6 148/20 157/3 facilities [2] 144/24 145/1 evidence [14] 22/15 22/18 22/25 23/2 23/4 61/25 89/18 101/22 facility [3] 107/25 141/17 145/9 102/16 117/15 122/7 124/1 124/25 143/18 facing [2] 73/25 124/12 evidence-based [1] 122/7 fact [15] 19/20 29/14 35/21 49/16 59/11 59/25 79/25 132/16 evidenced [1] 16/4 133/3 137/21 141/8 148/16 158/24 165/17 175/24 **exacerbates** [1] 133/11 factors [6] 55/24 71/23 123/21 124/2 124/6 145/6 exactly [1] 56/3 facts [1] 124/23 examine [1] 128/24 factual [1] 21/16 examined [1] 65/25 failing [1] 153/16 example [9] 15/1 56/13 57/6 63/1 74/25 105/18 109/1 110/16 failure [2] 55/23 112/14 116/25 fair [23] 10/11 13/22 16/3 20/19 90/14 119/8 124/23 158/1 exceeded [1] 123/18 168/25 170/15 170/17 172/13 173/9 173/14 173/20 174/23

finished [1] 57/13 fire [1] 99/16 fair... [7] 175/6 176/18 179/4 179/5 179/25 180/2 180/8 firearm [4] 66/13 99/6 165/21 165/22 fairness [3] 40/20 173/7 180/1 firing [1] 89/7 faith [3] 11/11 116/1 171/4 first [33] 6/8 7/7 16/1 33/8 40/23 41/18 47/13 52/12 57/6 61/7 faithfully [2] 9/16 78/3 65/9 68/16 68/20 76/24 86/19 87/4 102/23 113/3 118/17 125/17 fall [1] 21/9 125/19 127/9 134/22 155/4 156/6 161/3 166/9 170/21 172/12 familiar [3] 15/10 82/6 163/15 172/17 172/19 175/2 175/4 families [1] 15/11 firsthand [1] 108/13 family [6] 76/14 77/7 79/14 80/9 81/10 146/8 five [13] 11/22 58/5 60/5 63/22 96/1 98/11 116/20 125/13 far [22] 6/20 37/11 38/1 38/13 43/4 46/23 50/18 51/14 56/5 127/23 165/16 165/20 166/4 166/6 58/13 59/25 67/10 69/24 74/5 85/8 101/1 112/1 140/14 140/25 five-year [4] 58/5 60/5 125/13 127/23 140/25 152/2 153/18 fix [1] 58/25 fashion [3] 6/8 136/21 136/24 flagpole [1] 17/24 favor [2] 147/12 149/18 flags [1] 59/17 **FBI [1]** 136/11 flexibility [1] 15/20 FCRR [1] 184/12 focus [6] 72/17 75/2 160/16 161/9 163/16 167/17 fear [2] 59/21 148/20 focused [4] 85/11 92/12 109/5 160/13 February [20] 57/13 63/17 74/7 75/12 147/9 147/14 177/5 177/5 folded [1] 109/7 177/8 177/11 177/19 182/2 182/10 182/10 182/16 182/24 183/7 folks [9] 43/14 51/24 86/20 139/19 157/3 162/2 162/7 171/13 183/11 183/12 183/19 171/14 February 18 [1] 182/10 follow [10] 16/21 19/19 34/16 34/20 38/3 73/14 76/3 82/22 February 18th [4] 177/5 177/5 177/8 182/2 114/8 144/9 February 1st [1] 147/9 follow-up [5] 16/21 34/16 34/20 76/3 144/9 February 24th [1] 177/11 followed [5] 6/12 6/17 7/8 54/3 172/7 February 25 [1] 182/10 following [11] 6/8 6/15 6/21 10/14 22/5 38/3 80/20 155/18 February 25th [4] 183/7 183/11 183/12 183/19 177/3 177/10 178/19 federal [2] 71/15 136/23 follows [3] 53/25 100/6 141/10 feedback [9] 93/13 101/18 117/14 117/16 118/7 119/10 119/12 food [1] 144/22 126/17 166/1 foot [1] 62/18 feel [17] 30/1 47/23 50/5 50/5 51/22 84/9 131/5 131/12 140/20 footage [5] 88/13 88/15 88/17 89/4 89/24 147/15 148/10 149/1 149/2 151/22 156/2 156/20 180/15 footing [4] 159/13 178/5 178/8 178/11 feeling [2] 55/11 60/8 for the [1] 163/12 feelings [1] 67/17 force [114] 32/11 32/21 44/21 55/8 59/3 59/4 59/12 59/18 60/9 feels [1] 18/24 60/15 61/14 63/9 63/15 63/18 63/23 63/25 64/4 64/4 64/17 fell [1] 174/3 64/20 65/24 65/25 66/11 66/11 66/14 66/15 66/19 67/20 76/18 fellow [1] 152/8 77/10 77/13 83/11 83/20 95/8 96/8 96/10 96/10 96/17 96/19 felt [2] 81/8 159/25 96/24 97/4 97/6 97/8 97/10 97/11 97/14 97/15 97/19 97/20 female [1] 79/1 97/21 97/23 97/23 97/25 98/2 98/10 98/14 99/18 99/19 100/17 few [20] 13/14 16/21 32/12 46/12 47/14 49/8 51/24 52/4 57/22 100/18 102/2 109/16 109/17 109/18 109/19 109/20 110/1 110/1 83/6 87/12 88/2 95/14 124/1 130/16 136/8 145/10 158/20 173/4 110/5 110/12 116/11 116/14 116/17 116/18 116/20 116/21 178/4 116/22 116/24 117/5 117/7 117/9 117/13 117/20 117/23 118/11 fewer [5] 66/12 95/25 109/16 109/19 124/16 118/12 118/24 121/20 122/1 131/17 131/19 132/7 132/12 133/1 field [1] 97/9 133/3 133/6 135/13 135/13 140/23 158/19 162/12 162/12 163/9 fifth [2] 3/7 109/22 163/19 163/20 163/20 164/6 164/15 164/15 164/18 164/21 fight [1] 135/11 164/23 165/13 167/11 figure [4] 62/22 86/19 156/14 156/25 forces [1] 82/14 filed [8] 9/2 20/11 84/18 85/23 95/11 143/9 143/11 172/18 foregoing [1] 184/6 files [1] 101/21 forensic [1] 124/1 filing [2] 17/4 23/7 forever [1] 171/23 filings [1] 23/11 form [2] 40/23 64/9 fill [4] 56/25 113/5 126/9 154/19 formal [3] 34/25 57/6 117/16 filled [1] 24/3 formalize [1] 122/4 final [39] 8/3 10/3 10/4 11/5 15/24 23/25 27/14 35/22 36/4 38/6 formally [4] 13/7 42/7 63/8 122/5 38/8 38/18 48/20 49/4 49/16 52/8 52/21 95/25 96/7 106/20 format [1] 48/8 107/14 110/13 128/10 129/11 151/4 151/10 158/17 159/6 159/8 formed [6] 10/22 11/2 21/11 73/18 113/13 174/25 159/21 166/13 169/22 177/24 178/2 178/7 179/3 179/12 179/15 former [3] 23/9 78/24 88/12 179/16 forms [2] 30/8 31/12 finalists [1] 155/5 formulated [1] 168/13 finality [1] 170/3 forth [7] 20/16 48/17 69/2 81/16 113/21 158/25 181/19 finalized [2] 126/12 166/12 forthcoming [1] 29/17 finally [12] 19/18 23/19 34/11 60/20 81/16 105/10 107/2 122/7 fortunate [1] 163/12 129/8 151/9 163/1 165/25 forum [3] 44/20 147/7 147/23 financed [1] 89/12 forward [12] 10/20 18/14 19/24 39/10 40/3 45/20 68/23 69/2 financial [1] 86/23 69/22 70/9 147/21 167/10 financing [1] 39/12 forwarded [3] 147/22 147/23 166/6 find [15] 41/18 57/5 57/15 61/21 66/3 75/1 83/25 84/5 84/5 **fostering [1]** 60/3 104/4 112/17 134/25 135/1 148/15 152/3 found [10] 8/7 8/11 8/14 59/4 65/17 70/6 106/3 108/18 112/3 finding [6] 10/15 59/12 65/8 65/9 132/24 132/24 170/16 findings [9] 59/8 59/8 61/18 83/22 101/23 117/7 123/4 124/24 founding [2] 31/20 56/21 126/4 four [13] 21/11 23/20 47/15 57/6 59/1 60/23 61/13 63/22 64/11 finds [2] 19/3 57/2 98/11 119/25 126/5 139/13 fine [4] 25/13 54/2 54/3 60/16 fourth [8] 2/15 2/19 2/23 7/18 11/19 97/19 97/21 125/15

177/12 179/11 179/12 179/15 given [10] 12/4 31/4 35/21 38/4 53/25 73/24 107/13 117/14 frame [1] 7/23 119/1 152/4 framework [5] 15/22 21/21 101/1 127/23 178/20 gives [3] 54/14 73/12 177/16 frankly [9] 7/4 34/21 41/15 77/20 82/25 87/11 126/15 161/5 giving [13] 11/5 12/12 34/21 42/6 46/12 47/1 56/2 60/14 136/24 175/9 147/3 155/11 175/22 177/7 free [1] 182/3 glad [1] 88/6 Freidman [5] 140/11 143/23 145/14 145/15 149/5 Gloom [1] 86/6 frequent [2] 97/12 104/21 go [32] 24/20 30/13 33/8 33/18 37/11 47/13 48/2 48/8 48/21 frequently [1] 114/21 55/3 57/14 65/14 70/21 76/24 78/8 78/12 78/13 82/17 89/20 Friday [2] 177/9 181/25 89/23 91/23 135/10 135/15 136/5 152/11 153/9 153/20 154/19 friend [1] 181/2 155/4 165/3 181/19 181/22 friendly [1] 118/8 goal [8] 15/14 74/21 83/10 125/3 152/13 159/14 162/14 162/21 friends [1] 72/5 goals [2] 10/20 13/11 frightening [1] 155/24 goes [11] 34/5 48/22 48/22 69/25 101/1 122/13 152/13 153/14 front [3] 44/24 47/22 93/19 155/21 157/2 167/10 frustrated [1] 81/7 going [69] 6/7 23/21 24/6 28/9 32/7 32/16 34/8 37/4 37/16 FTO [1] 97/12 38/12 38/21 41/12 42/25 44/13 44/18 45/1 45/3 47/13 48/20 fulfill [6] 49/19 55/21 74/16 75/5 116/2 152/6 48/21 50/20 51/6 51/11 52/9 56/2 58/5 58/16 66/5 69/17 71/19 full [31] 11/16 19/16 22/1 38/16 41/21 45/10 47/23 49/25 51/8 71/20 81/8 81/8 81/9 84/12 86/16 87/24 89/18 89/22 90/1 92/12 57/2 58/7 58/17 66/14 73/21 91/5 96/17 126/10 130/19 154/14 104/1 113/12 113/14 131/22 136/4 145/25 148/20 148/21 168/6 176/2 176/3 176/24 177/7 177/10 177/12 177/14 177/15 149/20 151/22 151/24 153/10 156/1 157/25 159/25 162/23 177/17 177/20 179/15 165/3 165/7 165/8 170/23 172/7 176/14 177/21 178/13 178/14 full-time [2] 47/23 49/25 179/7 180/22 181/3 fully [5] 16/9 50/1 51/5 74/3 172/1 gone [6] 24/4 33/5 49/1 56/18 100/22 139/14 function [6] 87/1 87/2 125/24 126/16 150/7 155/12 good [74] 5/12 5/15 5/17 5/19 5/20 5/22 5/23 5/25 17/8 19/2 functional [1] 21/9 20/8 25/5 27/16 28/11 28/13 29/1 38/12 39/25 39/25 40/11 functioning [6] 18/9 51/23 59/5 148/12 166/17 168/4 40/12 42/3 42/5 44/12 45/5 45/21 45/24 46/1 48/5 51/8 51/16 functions [2] 10/23 165/10 52/2 52/20 52/22 56/13 61/12 64/25 68/10 70/2 72/13 72/14 fundamental [2] 41/17 145/3 76/11 76/12 78/9 82/7 82/17 83/2 83/3 83/15 87/7 90/10 107/3 fundamentally [3] 41/12 41/23 86/24 109/10 109/10 115/13 116/1 122/21 123/22 129/23 130/1 funded [1] 114/15 139/10 140/10 151/12 151/17 151/21 153/21 160/20 166/1 further [19] 26/23 33/18 59/11 61/25 70/5 88/2 96/15 100/19 171/4 171/4 171/8 172/1 173/24 174/19 122/9 131/13 137/17 147/22 159/18 165/9 168/16 169/17 good-faith [1] 116/1 169/24 175/23 182/25 good-sized [1] 70/2 Furthermore [2] 121/14 128/1 got [8] 18/18 33/12 41/5 71/6 72/9 81/7 81/15 134/22 future [9] 68/20 68/24 116/8 117/18 119/12 165/10 166/22 gotten [1] 48/3 173/17 176/5 governed [1] 136/10 governing [1] 147/2 G government [2] 15/14 111/13 gain [1] 133/20 Government's [1] 93/22 gained [1] 67/17 governmental [1] 105/13 gaining [1] 146/15 gracious [1] 148/2 gamut [1] 112/18 grand [1] 132/25 gang [7] 62/6 62/7 62/10 62/12 62/14 84/24 85/3 grant [5] 16/17 19/15 40/17 52/21 169/22 gap [6] 70/1 70/2 70/5 104/8 152/25 153/4 granted [2] 37/9 110/2 gaps [1] 105/24 granting [1] 49/4 Gary [5] 54/4 78/20 78/22 80/6 82/24 graph [1] 98/12 gather [2] 147/6 167/9 grateful [2] 40/16 83/5 gave [9] 58/9 64/13 71/13 73/9 75/21 88/13 96/25 106/20 great [10] 15/22 28/7 28/25 35/9 36/8 39/3 50/11 52/19 130/25 149/17 135/19 GEISSLER [7] 2/9 5/10 103/20 104/1 109/6 136/7 169/20 greater [2] 107/9 144/17 general [7] 55/19 56/14 60/7 116/19 117/1 123/3 145/4 greatly [1] 91/1 generally [4] 7/6 18/23 89/14 106/17 ground [3] 46/17 46/25 161/4 generate [2] 57/18 136/16 group [22] 3/3 15/15 15/16 19/9 24/6 40/17 43/5 43/8 47/10 generated [1] 60/24 49/13 55/25 73/1 99/5 106/13 121/19 122/6 126/24 137/7 generation [1] 80/4 153/12 153/13 155/20 156/22 generous [1] 42/10 group's [2] 57/14 63/16 gentlemen [1] 44/24 group-level [1] 122/6 gentleness [1] 45/3 groups [15] 14/19 15/8 31/19 43/3 48/12 72/2 100/18 108/22 **geographic** [1] 144/10 112/2 122/2 122/5 122/10 151/23 153/10 153/17 **germane** [1] 7/5 grow [1] 161/21 get [53] 17/23 19/21 29/21 33/7 35/25 36/3 39/12 45/1 45/3 45/4 grown [1] 79/1 45/6 50/23 51/2 51/5 51/7 52/9 53/17 64/8 67/10 77/25 80/24 guardian [2] 142/4 142/5 81/5 81/16 83/8 84/11 87/20 90/3 90/7 90/9 92/3 92/11 93/13 guess [3] 91/18 115/23 150/16 93/15 114/20 130/23 130/24 135/15 135/19 136/4 136/21 guidance [1] 155/12 138/25 147/20 147/20 153/20 154/11 156/10 157/22 162/11 Gun [2] 62/8 85/3 162/13 166/23 170/20 179/3 179/13 gurneys [1] 44/7 gets [8] 10/22 35/14 44/19 61/2 90/5 139/20 147/21 147/22 gutters [1] 44/8 getting [8] 30/18 35/15 46/17 46/25 48/16 135/24 137/20 give [23] 15/24 24/23 33/18 34/25 37/4 38/6 38/8 38/17 55/25 had [64] 11/2 12/18 17/23 20/19 21/18 22/6 24/4 24/25 29/22 62/23 64/6 65/11 119/4 131/2 142/16 169/25 177/3 177/4 177/9

30/3 32/13 33/6 47/15 50/7 50/11 51/24 57/14 61/1 64/15 66/6

163/18 168/3 169/1 169/2 172/14 173/8 175/25 176/24 177/15 Н 178/1 178/3 178/8 178/12 180/25 181/2 had... [44] 76/23 77/1 80/10 80/10 80/11 81/3 84/1 88/1 96/9 hearing [19] 9/6 21/5 21/8 36/21 49/6 49/10 74/9 88/7 140/17 96/16 97/14 99/7 99/16 102/5 107/11 118/15 118/16 120/12 143/24 172/12 173/7 175/2 177/22 177/23 178/16 180/2 182/24 131/16 132/16 132/23 137/13 139/12 139/14 139/19 149/19 149/19 151/23 154/18 156/7 162/8 166/1 166/5 173/5 173/6 hearings [3] 42/11 91/16 148/1 174/24 174/25 175/1 175/2 175/3 175/4 175/8 180/1 181/5 heart [3] 15/11 52/10 117/21 hadn't [4] 11/3 149/19 175/2 175/3 heart-wrenching [1] 15/11 HAGER [13] 2/6 5/13 16/19 18/13 27/10 29/7 95/7 95/9 95/17 heartbreak [1] 157/16 103/23 103/24 113/25 151/4 heartened [1] 145/8 half [5] 20/10 44/4 66/11 75/22 152/21 heavy [2] 18/10 124/13 hall [3] 31/17 90/24 126/3 held [9] 4/2 11/3 11/17 11/22 21/10 21/11 21/12 65/21 154/11 halls [3] 11/18 21/14 47/16 help [23] 33/21 33/22 33/23 34/1 35/7 36/2 52/7 63/6 74/20 hand [7] 28/9 75/23 106/25 138/24 139/2 139/3 154/11 74/25 75/2 76/23 77/24 78/15 111/22 116/6 122/12 130/7 hand-held [1] 154/11 130/25 131/3 131/13 145/22 148/14 hand-off [1] 106/25 helped [4] 42/2 46/13 56/9 110/8 handcuffing [1] 164/17 helpful [14] 8/8 8/12 8/15 16/20 38/5 78/6 103/12 103/14 handcuffs [1] 164/19 103/18 114/23 115/1 151/2 183/15 183/17 Handelman [6] 6/23 54/1 54/7 54/20 68/6 78/2 helping [6] 46/16 46/21 46/25 104/21 105/4 157/7 handful [2] 13/5 110/2 hence [1] 7/22 handle [4] 69/3 102/14 119/23 136/5 her [11] 65/14 65/15 82/14 82/15 127/21 135/20 154/1 154/7 handled [1] 156/4 156/8 160/19 163/16 Handleman [2] 8/21 53/10 here [60] 6/1 6/3 8/7 9/19 18/17 19/5 20/14 27/21 28/9 28/18 handling [1] 100/9 28/20 28/20 28/21 34/17 36/7 39/18 42/14 44/11 44/23 46/19 hands [3] 36/9 117/25 153/22 59/25 67/15 71/3 71/7 71/23 75/16 78/19 82/15 85/23 86/16 hands-on [1] 117/25 87/10 91/11 91/22 92/13 92/16 93/9 93/17 93/18 100/2 114/9 hang [1] 77/4 114/13 115/9 126/20 134/23 136/3 145/17 145/18 147/14 Hannon [8] 6/24 53/10 54/1 54/7 54/18 61/12 64/22 68/6 151/21 151/25 155/10 160/17 163/13 164/4 167/25 170/2 170/9 happen [11] 39/19 44/17 44/18 52/4 52/5 69/16 69/21 70/20 170/13 178/13 183/18 113/13 130/14 131/5 hesitation [1] 80/14 happened [6] 25/2 25/18 70/3 70/4 89/19 134/6 high [8] 13/14 46/5 51/4 74/4 83/23 118/5 156/8 158/19 happening [4] 37/19 38/1 41/24 149/4 high-public [1] 158/19 happens [2] 24/19 65/7 high-quality [1] 118/5 happy [6] 22/18 34/16 113/24 114/8 128/7 129/7 higher [2] 120/9 132/4 hard [7] 11/8 45/9 72/25 155/18 156/19 163/22 178/25 highlight [2] 140/16 143/23 hard-working [1] 72/25 highlighted [1] 158/13 harder [3] 22/13 23/1 36/7 highlighting [2] 13/12 159/7 Hardesty [4] 53/13 54/5 83/1 162/3 highly [2] 62/19 98/22 hardly [2] 81/22 142/22 Hillsboro [1] 70/14 harm [4] 107/9 108/2 134/3 141/15 him [4] 18/19 64/8 77/1 77/4 harmed [2] 44/9 87/3 himself [1] 77/5 harmful [1] 61/8 hindsight [3] 173/16 174/9 175/20 has [250] hire [4] 22/13 23/1 35/7 127/7 hasn't [1] 9/1 hired [4] 22/4 22/9 33/25 74/20 hat [1] 67/13 hiring [2] 127/11 176/5 Haunsperger [1] 14/15 his [4] 21/15 65/13 77/3 156/3 have [421] historically [1] 155/20 haven't [6] 17/22 18/9 28/7 38/1 84/14 86/7 history [6] 10/7 46/19 73/4 80/3 175/18 179/7 having [20] 16/10 25/7 46/2 47/21 47/22 47/23 76/25 78/25 hitting [1] 14/13 83/10 84/8 86/3 87/1 135/24 136/23 142/19 148/19 157/13 hold [5] 70/12 113/14 124/15 127/2 155/10 164/1 177/24 178/2 holding [1] 126/3 Haynes [1] 14/10 hole [1] 56/11 **he [15]** 18/18 18/18 42/1 44/23 44/24 65/11 65/12 65/14 71/13 home [4] 43/13 70/21 89/24 95/18 76/21 76/24 76/25 77/4 100/20 175/25 homeless [1] 44/5 heading [1] 175/11 Honestly [1] 162/10 healing [1] 148/4 **Honor [91]** 5/5 5/11 5/20 5/23 9/10 11/13 13/15 13/24 15/23 health [107] 3/13 6/15 7/9 8/14 13/20 14/21 15/2 23/7 40/7 40/9 16/17 17/22 18/16 19/2 20/2 20/8 20/9 21/8 21/24 24/1 25/11 46/9 46/20 46/22 50/17 51/3 60/10 63/18 66/3 70/10 70/16 25/19 26/7 26/20 26/25 27/4 27/8 27/20 37/6 38/20 40/11 40/16 76/18 77/10 77/14 81/10 85/11 85/12 85/24 86/2 86/4 95/10 42/5 45/21 45/24 54/13 66/3 68/10 72/13 91/19 94/8 94/16 95/4 104/3 104/8 104/11 104/13 104/14 104/16 104/19 105/1 105/2 95/14 95/18 96/3 96/8 98/21 99/22 99/25 100/12 101/4 102/22 105/10 105/24 106/9 106/14 106/25 107/4 107/7 107/17 107/24 103/22 103/24 113/23 115/5 120/11 124/18 129/4 129/17 108/4 108/6 108/8 108/16 108/21 109/12 109/15 110/6 119/13 129/23 130/1 136/8 139/10 140/10 149/8 149/25 151/22 153/23 119/18 119/22 120/15 120/20 120/21 128/25 133/4 133/5 153/24 158/9 158/16 159/20 167/24 168/3 169/13 169/19 135/12 140/8 141/16 144/11 144/14 144/16 145/4 145/4 145/16 169/21 172/22 179/20 180/10 180/11 180/17 180/22 181/10 145/20 145/22 146/4 147/2 147/8 148/13 148/25 149/17 150/3 181/20 181/24 182/15 182/20 182/22 183/10 152/15 152/23 153/6 156/18 160/13 160/18 160/21 160/22 Honor's [3] 10/2 16/4 95/16 160/25 161/3 161/8 161/19 161/19 161/20 161/22 162/1 162/7 **HONORABLE** [1] 1/14 162/13 163/21 164/1 164/1 164/14 165/14 165/15 honored [1] 19/14 healthy [1] 50/9 hope [16] 15/14 15/18 19/3 29/3 61/7 68/19 79/10 79/11 82/2 hear [17] 6/9 6/11 6/15 7/6 9/8 18/25 24/10 40/8 45/14 54/1 86/5 86/8 93/8 144/24 159/24 178/12 181/19 61/5 67/22 76/25 78/7 111/12 151/4 151/8 hopeful [2] 70/7 70/12 heard [32] 15/11 36/20 50/18 57/17 78/9 84/14 87/16 93/16 hopefully [3] 162/8 167/25 176/4 111/17 115/8 126/20 149/24 151/1 160/1 161/1 161/18 162/3 hospital [6] 28/19 105/9 107/1 110/11 141/11 141/12

Н implement [3] 19/24 88/21 102/13 implementation [30] 13/21 24/10 24/18 24/25 27/23 29/17 hospitals [1] 44/7 31/16 34/1 34/3 34/5 34/8 38/14 40/23 41/22 41/24 60/21 60/23 hotline [1] 114/13 96/14 96/17 96/19 97/7 97/17 99/23 112/8 128/13 130/19 hour [3] 11/17 11/23 11/23 140/14 169/1 169/4 169/25 hours [5] 12/8 106/10 106/11 161/11 161/16 implemented [9] 21/23 25/9 90/17 97/14 98/24 101/8 115/8 House [1] 141/20 116/23 131/14 houseless [7] 32/9 32/20 55/9 67/16 152/17 152/21 152/22 implementing [2] 90/15 105/1 housing [4] 105/3 110/9 141/17 141/20 implicated [1] 130/21 how [41] 11/4 16/24 16/25 34/4 34/8 35/3 35/3 44/2 44/3 48/21 implication [1] 90/25 48/22 48/25 50/21 51/1 57/18 58/20 59/7 60/1 67/10 67/23 imply [1] 13/13 68/23 69/2 71/20 77/16 78/10 78/12 78/14 81/13 86/24 86/25 importance [5] 14/10 119/1 145/18 146/11 146/14 87/1 131/13 134/21 136/4 150/6 152/18 155/3 156/25 157/7 important [28] 26/17 28/17 37/21 38/2 46/10 48/18 52/18 53/1 161/7 182/18 81/25 92/1 126/23 144/13 148/10 148/23 154/21 155/13 156/12 however [17] 16/9 30/5 56/25 57/4 58/21 60/24 63/12 66/12 158/12 158/17 159/11 160/10 160/14 160/15 163/6 169/3 85/1 85/15 95/14 122/22 124/2 124/21 138/14 169/2 169/9 173/25 174/2 174/2 howling [1] 44/8 importantly [7] 14/1 16/13 67/2 88/22 97/3 126/11 167/12 huge [3] 92/6 152/25 157/10 impossible [1] 46/14 Human [4] 14/18 22/7 102/19 154/17 impressed [4] 134/22 175/10 175/13 175/15 humane [1] 86/13 **impression** [1] 169/25 humanity [1] 93/7 impressive [2] 58/6 101/5 hundreds [2] 12/13 39/23 improve [15] 14/2 14/25 19/10 52/7 58/3 108/24 110/15 110/18 111/13 122/6 126/1 148/24 148/24 160/5 161/7 improved [6] 19/11 30/5 100/3 102/9 109/12 167/12 I didn't [1] 64/8 improvement [9] 99/14 106/18 109/23 115/21 118/11 143/13 **I'd [1]** 84/13 152/18 167/14 167/14 **I'II [13]** 6/8 6/11 6/15 7/6 34/21 45/16 45/16 111/3 125/13 improvements [2] 67/3 167/11 168/23 173/16 176/25 182/14 **improving [2]** 80/13 146/18 I'm [77] 7/13 7/15 9/8 17/21 18/1 22/20 25/13 28/9 28/20 28/21 in-service [6] 99/2 99/2 99/15 106/13 120/4 121/21 29/15 34/21 37/4 38/5 38/10 39/10 41/18 43/19 47/12 48/13 inability [1] 56/13 49/10 50/2 50/24 51/14 51/15 52/6 54/20 64/25 67/17 70/12 inaction [1] 174/7 72/15 76/14 77/12 77/14 78/21 78/23 80/8 80/9 80/15 80/20 inadequate [1] 12/22 82/21 82/22 83/12 88/6 88/11 89/23 92/7 92/9 92/16 92/17 inadvertent [1] 165/14 113/23 114/8 145/15 145/17 145/25 152/12 152/23 153/7 161/4 inappropriate [1] 181/8 162/23 165/2 165/13 167/25 169/5 170/23 171/18 175/9 175/22 inappropriately [1] 60/9 176/11 177/7 177/14 178/1 178/16 178/19 179/7 180/17 181/10 inaugural [1] 126/2 I've [20] 8/23 14/12 15/16 15/16 30/7 38/13 67/16 71/3 72/9 incapable [1] 55/22 98/23 152/7 152/20 171/3 175/19 177/15 178/8 178/15 179/13 inception [1] 83/10 180/5 181/2 incidences [3] 132/14 133/10 133/12 IA [3] 101/18 139/14 139/15 incident [2] 59/17 89/23 iceberg [1] 70/1 incidents [11] 55/8 63/23 63/25 64/11 66/19 102/2 102/4 ID [1] 44/24 116/14 117/9 132/5 133/6 Idaho [1] 71/7 inclined [2] 38/10 169/22 idea [1] 66/5 include [8] 60/12 64/7 73/25 99/11 111/14 112/18 154/25 idealogy [1] 9/15 166/25 ideas [9] 44/10 44/11 44/11 44/11 44/13 44/17 45/5 56/16 included [1] 48/16 148/22 includes [8] 40/7 61/25 109/20 116/15 118/4 121/5 124/10 ideation [1] 76/22 171/11 identification [1] 9/5 including [26] 6/4 12/2 12/2 12/14 13/18 14/20 15/8 31/17 identified [9] 41/17 100/20 117/12 123/23 137/10 139/17 70/21 97/5 98/17 105/12 105/16 106/24 110/9 116/21 126/2 139/18 139/22 144/8 141/12 144/10 144/21 145/20 161/24 167/1 167/18 178/4 identify [10] 5/9 17/4 69/12 100/17 102/14 121/3 121/18 122/2 183/14 122/9 157/21 inclusion [2] 73/8 111/13 identifying [2] 122/4 122/5 inclusive [3] 9/24 32/25 74/24 illegal [1] 42/25 incomplete [1] 89/17 illegally [1] 71/10 inconsistent [3] 88/1 89/15 170/7 illness [32] 32/10 32/10 32/14 32/15 41/9 42/13 42/22 43/10 inconvenient [1] 182/13 43/19 44/1 44/3 44/5 44/6 44/21 67/21 71/8 76/21 104/21 105/8 incorporates [2] 99/19 107/2 106/24 110/19 112/21 120/17 131/18 131/18 140/24 141/7 incorporating [1] 108/25 141/22 161/8 163/11 164/2 164/13 incorrect [1] 25/10 illnesses [1] 135/12 incorrectly [1] 59/10 immediate [4] 49/8 98/18 105/19 105/21 increase [5] 9/25 32/11 98/8 104/9 118/23 immediately [2] 137/13 144/11 increased [4] 13/10 32/13 105/3 121/20 immigrant [1] 67/15 increasing [2] 32/15 113/9 immigration [1] 153/5 incredible [2] 19/6 123/4 impact [5] 48/21 85/1 110/3 120/8 133/7 incredibly [2] 158/17 159/11 impacted [2] 85/7 133/1 indeed [1] 25/25 impactful [1] 164/9 independence [6] 19/21 23/13 69/3 155/11 165/6 165/9 impacting [1] 85/19 independent [15] 31/15 44/6 47/2 58/18 58/19 101/7 101/16 impacts [1] 162/9 111/21 115/15 116/17 122/19 124/17 126/21 136/14 146/21 impedes [1] 138/5 independently [4] 112/7 117/7 137/5 137/7 **impediments** [1] 181/16 **INDEX [1]** 4/1 imperative [1] 90/3 indicate [2] 55/15 63/18

interfering [6] 49/5 177/24 178/2 178/7 178/10 179/17 interim [2] 22/8 153/25 indicated [2] 19/4 83/23 internal [6] 31/15 50/8 60/4 101/14 124/14 137/8 indicates [2] 62/17 116/18 **internalize** [1] 98/15 indication [2] 51/9 140/2 internalized [1] 101/1 indications [1] 37/13 internally [1] 108/11 indicators [1] 129/5 interpersonal [1] 119/4 indiscernible [2] 50/18 53/16 interruptions [1] 124/16 individual [6] 97/2 98/19 99/6 121/19 143/15 145/2 intersection [1] 79/10 individuals [4] 104/12 105/8 109/4 144/13 intertwined [2] 27/24 34/6 inequality [1] 85/13 intervene [2] 77/2 132/4 inequitable [1] 16/12 intervening [1] 141/15 infallible [1] 89/15 INTERVENOR [1] 3/2 influence [2] 112/12 142/1 intervention [25] 59/17 76/15 77/1 95/10 105/6 105/7 106/2 inform [5] 74/14 79/19 128/12 128/18 167/9 106/11 106/12 107/7 108/14 108/14 110/4 110/24 117/23 information [33] 12/6 18/2 22/16 30/19 59/16 63/5 66/9 67/8 117/24 118/25 119/16 121/20 121/24 132/7 161/12 161/14 73/10 78/14 80/25 81/6 81/7 81/20 100/1 103/18 112/9 121/2 161/16 161/17 128/20 132/1 133/19 133/21 137/19 138/4 138/7 138/21 139/1 interventions [4] 59/20 59/22 132/9 132/11 139/21 147/4 147/6 147/21 167/3 178/9 interviewed [1] 139/15 information-sharing [1] 81/20 interviews [2] 22/12 127/9 informed [3] 11/14 82/4 139/19 intoxicated [4] 141/25 142/8 142/8 142/11 informs [1] 172/9 introduce [1] 86/11 infrastructure [2] 34/9 71/14 introduced [1] 143/18 infrequently [1] 62/11 inverse [1] 178/6 infuse [1] 79/19 invest [2] 90/1 91/3 inhibit [1] 124/6 invested [5] 11/7 12/13 16/9 39/23 125/21 initial [4] 13/1 56/24 61/18 120/8 investigate [1] 79/20 initially [3] 24/4 37/10 48/5 investigated [1] 88/17 initiative [1] 153/8 investigation [16] 7/23 59/11 98/7 101/12 102/1 102/17 109/24 initiatives [2] 31/13 111/12 123/12 123/22 124/11 124/23 133/22 137/9 160/11 160/12 injury [2] 109/19 141/23 161/6 input [14] 12/12 41/16 77/25 93/12 101/9 125/25 127/20 127/25 investigations [16] 58/19 58/23 97/5 101/16 102/10 102/19 128/3 146/9 146/20 148/9 148/16 149/4 102/21 122/18 122/23 123/3 123/7 123/17 124/7 138/1 138/5 insight [4] 36/14 92/11 137/17 139/7 138/22 insights [3] 51/18 52/13 138/23 investigative [4] 60/14 88/17 101/15 101/21 insisted [1] 118/6 investigator [1] 138/3 insisting [1] 117/17 investigators [12] 65/11 101/14 101/18 122/24 124/12 138/7 inspector [6] 100/17 100/18 117/4 117/12 117/16 118/20 138/10 138/14 139/15 139/16 139/22 140/4 inspector's [1] 117/8 investigatory [1] 142/20 inspectors [1] 117/18 investment [3] 90/4 90/6 145/9 Instagram [1] 167/1 invisible [1] 79/6 instance [2] 57/3 137/10 invitation [1] 91/20 instead [1] 62/14 invite [6] 14/22 42/1 45/16 115/3 129/20 151/18 institution [2] 152/10 153/14 invited [4] 6/22 56/8 68/15 153/13 institutionalization [1] 160/21 involved [20] 39/7 39/10 52/24 89/25 98/19 137/9 163/14 164/5 institutions [2] 79/12 135/10 164/8 165/17 165/19 165/20 165/23 170/1 171/5 171/9 171/13 instruct [1] 61/8 171/13 171/14 175/14 instruction [1] 143/7 involvement [4] 145/11 156/10 174/10 174/20 instructional [1] 96/22 involves [2] 122/11 164/13 instructors [2] 99/9 118/6 involving [2] 109/12 109/14 instrumental [1] 104/20 IPR [42] 58/22 59/3 59/5 101/8 101/10 101/13 101/15 101/18 integral [4] 26/3 26/6 26/15 37/24 102/11 102/15 111/21 111/24 122/19 122/23 122/24 123/2 integrate [1] 101/18 123/10 123/14 123/16 124/8 124/14 133/17 133/20 135/24 integration [1] 99/12 136/16 136/19 136/21 137/5 137/6 137/7 137/10 137/19 137/25 integrity [2] 156/21 156/22 138/3 138/6 138/9 138/14 139/14 139/16 139/20 140/3 143/1 intellectual [1] 141/23 IPR and [1] 133/17 intelligence [1] 78/10 IPR's [2] 65/10 101/23 intended [2] 23/13 160/6 ironed [1] 14/4 intent [1] 66/19 is [603] intention [3] 82/7 82/13 131/15 Islanders [1] 84/2 intentional [1] 9/24 isn't [3] 28/4 112/5 142/19 intentions [1] 82/17 isolated [2] 80/17 81/6 interact [2] 142/10 153/16 issue [33] 6/12 7/24 33/5 52/22 52/22 58/25 61/17 67/19 72/10 interaction [4] 15/1 97/2 145/23 163/10 86/4 102/12 109/15 112/15 114/2 123/15 124/5 124/19 129/9 interactions [14] 67/21 82/4 87/14 97/11 97/12 97/12 103/19 136/5 137/3 138/13 138/20 138/24 139/22 149/7 149/24 152/2 109/4 117/2 120/17 163/25 163/25 164/5 164/7 154/21 157/15 158/11 159/5 159/22 181/2 interactive [1] 118/23 issued [3] 61/18 72/22 102/6 interest [6] 44/12 58/21 71/16 92/6 146/17 158/19 issues [37] 19/22 33/3 40/7 50/9 55/15 73/19 81/10 81/25 85/11 interested [6] 6/24 22/20 60/1 73/9 92/7 157/4 85/12 85/24 86/2 92/24 120/12 133/4 135/2 135/3 135/5 135/6 interesting [2] 83/22 172/2 135/12 138/1 138/16 139/17 139/18 140/3 145/23 148/13 interests [1] 9/17 152/15 152/23 157/21 160/7 160/14 160/15 165/11 167/18 interface [1] 111/19 174/17 174/18 interference [2] 49/15 112/12 it [410]

K it's [20] 32/4 37/15 38/8 40/3 41/15 94/6 96/12 114/1 135/16 Kadish [1] 3/7 142/10 147/25 151/21 157/10 159/7 160/10 163/22 164/16 Kalei [2] 8/23 15/9 KARIA [7] 3/3 5/18 27/7 27/16 158/7 159/17 178/4 178/14 178/17 179/7 item [5] 75/2 93/23 131/9 131/9 157/2 Kaye [2] 8/17 75/14 keep [5] 36/4 44/14 67/3 151/22 171/1 items [2] 37/21 151/7 keeping [3] 29/23 124/16 160/3 its [88] 8/17 8/18 10/2 10/5 10/23 12/18 13/13 14/3 14/5 14/6 kept [3] 39/7 143/16 152/7 16/3 16/6 16/7 16/22 17/13 23/7 23/15 27/9 31/6 39/12 39/12 40/19 40/20 40/23 49/6 56/5 61/18 63/5 65/4 66/4 66/23 73/13 key [15] 26/18 46/17 47/8 50/14 55/24 56/22 61/14 61/17 62/25 74/17 74/21 82/19 83/10 96/10 99/17 99/22 99/24 101/15 102/3 69/13 105/4 117/24 148/3 148/11 163/16 102/9 104/24 105/23 106/16 108/10 108/24 108/24 109/8 kick [1] 28/19 110/24 111/2 111/11 111/15 111/24 112/18 112/25 116/20 kids [1] 43/14 121/2 121/18 122/3 129/16 138/19 140/8 143/13 150/9 150/10 killed [3] 64/1 71/5 165/16 150/10 152/6 158/22 158/24 159/3 159/11 160/12 163/8 163/9 killing [1] 85/24 163/10 163/18 163/19 163/20 165/15 166/14 167/2 167/8 kind [8] 35/15 41/2 71/18 81/25 123/19 146/15 156/15 159/24 167/13 171/20 175/15 175/15 kinds [1] 55/25 itself [6] 6/17 30/4 45/15 80/12 85/19 150/6 knew [4] 88/23 96/9 148/15 175/11 **IV [1]** 66/10 knife [1] 165/22 know [77] 11/6 16/24 16/25 24/23 25/25 26/10 26/11 26/12 38/8 38/17 41/4 41/6 41/24 41/24 48/21 49/20 54/17 58/13 71/7 72/2 jacket [1] 100/6 75/9 75/22 79/11 81/8 83/9 83/17 83/21 84/18 85/1 85/22 86/1 jail [1] 105/9 87/25 88/22 90/10 90/11 92/9 92/9 92/22 93/25 103/1 108/5 jailed [1] 43/7 113/15 114/7 114/8 114/24 115/15 117/21 125/1 137/12 140/1 jails [2] 44/7 144/25 145/21 146/11 148/17 154/1 154/21 155/24 156/15 156/19 James [1] 64/1 157/1 157/13 160/23 161/4 163/2 163/4 165/7 166/16 169/10 **Jan [2]** 140/11 145/15 169/12 170/3 172/17 174/18 176/16 176/24 178/5 178/6 178/6 January [5] 50/8 61/23 63/24 126/3 165/21 181/8 January 1 [1] 165/21 knowing [1] 131/8 JARED [4] 2/6 5/13 47/9 103/24 knowledge [1] 138/11 Jason [2] 40/14 149/16 known [6] 29/1 62/8 77/19 141/21 141/25 142/3 jaywalking [2] 65/12 65/16 **KRISTEN [2]** 3/6 5/16 **JESSICA [1]** 3/10 job [7] 28/25 50/11 50/18 93/4 115/14 119/19 154/15 jobs [3] 43/13 92/22 93/6 labeled [1] 146/4 join [3] 27/14 79/2 112/24 **Labor [1]** 3/3 lack [14] 33/4 81/23 84/1 114/2 124/2 133/10 133/13 133/17 joined [5] 15/4 46/3 46/6 49/22 109/2 137/10 139/12 139/18 178/7 178/9 179/16 joining [1] 28/10 joins [1] 20/13 lacking [1] 30/17 joint [13] 6/7 9/19 11/1 16/17 20/11 27/1 47/15 101/13 122/25 lacks [1] 30/10 139/14 168/1 169/14 183/2 laid [1] 57/19 JONAS [2] 2/9 5/10 Lakayana [4] 14/7 45/23 52/8 156/3 journey [3] 26/19 26/19 169/7 LaKeesha [5] 14/7 15/2 45/24 48/22 51/22 **JUAN [1]** 3/14 LaKeesha Dumas [1] 15/2 judge [20] 1/15 28/13 33/9 34/15 35/5 38/25 64/25 72/6 76/13 large [2] 102/8 161/14 83/2 83/9 83/17 87/5 88/7 89/3 89/21 90/22 135/21 137/23 largely [4] 89/12 121/15 160/13 160/17 151/11 larger [5] 14/4 79/16 120/18 124/8 152/13 Judge Simon [7] 28/13 64/25 72/6 76/13 87/5 88/7 137/23 largest [2] 43/4 43/5 last [30] 14/9 15/13 16/23 16/23 21/2 21/9 32/12 39/22 50/19 judging [1] 180/22 judgment [2] 115/24 115/25 59/9 63/25 70/8 70/11 73/7 87/11 96/9 100/1 103/4 106/5 111/3 115/6 122/16 134/6 143/22 154/17 157/6 157/12 163/12 163/13 Judith [5] 22/8 35/10 47/7 153/25 154/15 175/12 July [1] 184/11 June [9] 1/6 4/2 5/2 8/5 8/13 38/5 127/10 166/10 166/14 lasted [1] 174/6 June 19th [1] 8/13 lasting [1] 15/22 June 2019 [3] 8/5 38/5 166/10 late [4] 56/7 56/9 80/6 137/16 Junior [1] 64/1 later [12] 37/3 37/7 41/21 51/1 61/3 113/7 113/13 125/17 juries [1] 132/25 166/10 166/11 166/14 177/4 latino [1] 153/4 jurisdiction [1] 150/24 just [86] 7/3 10/5 13/15 14/3 14/12 16/7 18/2 18/9 21/16 22/25 latter [1] 170/21 25/5 25/6 27/21 28/7 30/3 31/25 33/1 35/25 37/10 39/7 39/18 lauded [1] 99/5 43/21 44/5 46/12 47/24 48/3 50/15 51/9 59/19 62/19 63/23 Laughter [3] 34/18 82/12 151/13 65/14 78/25 82/7 82/10 82/11 84/14 89/21 90/15 90/19 92/13 laundry [1] 144/22 97/12 99/15 108/6 109/22 114/25 116/2 116/9 118/13 119/1 **LAURA [1]** 2/10 124/1 130/16 131/9 132/11 134/4 134/5 134/10 134/10 134/11 law [24] 3/14 9/15 43/9 43/25 44/3 58/24 58/25 65/16 71/11 137/8 140/3 141/7 145/25 148/20 151/24 155/4 156/5 156/7 89/5 93/4 104/11 104/23 110/2 136/11 136/13 136/23 138/7 156/10 156/19 157/10 158/2 160/3 160/15 161/24 165/24 167/6 138/12 139/1 139/25 149/11 150/2 168/5 167/25 168/1 169/7 170/8 174/11 178/4 178/19 181/10 183/2 laws [7] 147/3 147/10 147/11 147/13 147/16 147/24 162/20 justice [26] 2/10 3/5 3/9 6/14 8/6 9/14 9/15 10/19 28/22 47/9 lawsuit [1] 172/18 55/5 65/5 67/19 76/16 84/11 96/22 99/19 101/10 109/9 119/5 lawyers [1] 34/17 131/23 133/6 134/16 135/11 160/11 172/18 layer [1] 153/15 Justice's [1] 161/6 lead [4] 61/9 85/5 89/6 109/3 leadership [6] 14/5 43/21 45/2 47/14 74/5 163/16 justification [1] 10/8 juveniles [1] 109/5 leads [3] 59/18 65/20 83/15

listen [1] 42/17 listening [1] 158/14 League [14] 6/25 8/16 13/18 72/15 72/16 72/20 73/6 74/9 75/14 listing [1] 115/14 75/17 76/2 76/4 78/3 165/25 lists [2] 74/10 74/14 learn [6] 11/4 25/4 42/17 110/15 157/7 175/18 little [15] 11/4 25/8 35/1 44/21 67/23 111/18 136/3 157/14 learned [4] 33/19 80/2 175/20 178/15 162/24 167/25 173/18 174/13 174/13 175/3 175/22 learning [7] 73/3 92/21 99/22 110/22 115/22 163/5 167/15 livability [1] 135/3 least [16] 17/15 30/21 31/23 38/10 57/16 70/17 125/7 135/6 live [7] 42/21 52/1 73/13 79/10 91/5 91/6 171/16 137/14 143/16 148/8 150/23 151/2 158/20 173/3 174/9 lived [3] 105/12 156/6 156/14 leave [7] 81/15 86/5 86/8 87/9 154/18 173/16 182/1 lives [4] 43/11 43/14 76/21 110/7 leaves [2] 68/22 69/1 living [4] 71/18 144/15 144/21 170/22 leaving [2] 33/6 149/14 LLC [1] 3/11 led [7] 14/15 14/16 58/7 59/17 62/9 65/8 121/13 **LLP [1]** 3/7 **LEDS [3]** 136/10 136/10 137/11 LMS [1] 99/23 left [3] 51/5 56/12 81/6 loaded [1] 71/25 legal [17] 14/1 20/13 25/20 26/24 42/25 124/22 150/17 150/19 local [2] 111/13 128/18 150/21 150/22 150/25 168/6 168/8 168/9 168/18 169/5 181/16 Locally [1] 105/2 legalisms [1] 171/2 location [3] 29/10 144/9 155/13 legality [2] 138/9 149/14 locations [4] 11/21 21/13 31/18 155/17 legally [5] 24/12 33/25 150/11 169/11 181/7 lodge [1] 111/24 **legitimacy [1]** 16/15 **lofty [1]** 15/14 legitimate [3] 13/17 71/21 126/16 loggerheads [1] 30/3 length [1] 111/8 logical [2] 82/4 94/8 lens [1] 67/9 long [12] 11/17 11/23 18/24 26/14 49/7 71/3 75/24 79/17 82/17 less [5] 30/20 35/1 62/11 75/22 87/3 101/8 141/10 157/2 lessen [1] 64/4 long-term [2] 26/14 49/7 lesser [1] 83/25 long-time [1] 71/3 lesson [4] 25/4 96/22 176/12 178/16 longer [2] 80/17 116/25 let [22] 6/19 7/12 7/14 11/16 15/16 16/21 24/22 38/3 38/9 38/18 longstanding [1] 102/11 49/2 53/6 54/9 103/2 114/9 116/9 125/19 129/20 133/17 169/18 look [23] 18/14 25/10 37/19 58/16 67/8 69/20 70/13 70/14 70/14 170/18 177/3 70/15 72/6 79/4 79/24 134/7 134/7 134/8 152/16 155/2 156/14 let's [9] 36/9 39/16 53/19 94/14 135/18 154/7 177/18 181/4 181/22 181/23 182/12 182/13 181/6 looked [9] 54/25 63/16 64/10 65/12 116/4 132/3 171/19 173/4 lethal [1] 98/19 175/8 letter [3] 98/4 147/8 171/7 looking [15] 8/10 51/1 51/10 65/23 66/8 78/10 90/13 120/18 letting [1] 56/4 145/6 152/13 153/7 155/25 170/18 177/1 181/12 level [15] 31/3 39/13 66/11 83/23 83/25 98/10 109/18 118/11 looks [9] 25/5 25/5 41/4 41/6 49/13 55/12 77/23 82/12 155/2 121/19 122/6 128/16 128/20 130/10 164/18 164/21 **Los [1]** 173/4 levels [1] 117/13 Los Angeles [1] 173/4 leverage [1] 28/5 lose [1] 164/6 levied [1] 22/3 loses [1] 164/9 Liaison [1] 112/2 lost [6] 43/12 43/13 43/13 43/14 93/9 156/6 library [1] 33/9 lot [30] 11/6 11/7 11/7 11/8 28/5 29/8 29/13 37/18 43/2 47/12 licensed [1] 108/25 47/13 52/2 52/20 91/24 92/3 92/19 114/21 115/23 125/12 lieutenant [1] 147/19 134/13 151/22 151/23 153/3 153/19 154/22 154/23 163/18 life [19] 15/21 52/5 75/22 77/3 79/2 79/11 110/8 110/20 114/7 163/19 175/8 178/12 114/12 114/18 114/21 114/24 155/1 155/1 156/13 164/9 165/5 lots [2] 89/4 141/12 167/16 Louis [1] 173/4 life-changing [1] 110/8 love [1] 162/11 lifestyle [1] 81/23 loving [1] 134/20 light [2] 159/3 179/6 low [3] 66/11 77/11 121/12 like [82] 18/17 19/18 19/22 20/1 23/4 25/5 25/8 36/6 37/6 37/20 lower [1] 98/10 38/23 41/5 41/6 41/25 42/5 45/14 46/11 48/11 49/12 49/13 50/7 lowest [2] 109/17 164/16 51/22 51/25 52/8 54/18 55/3 65/3 65/12 67/13 67/14 68/13 Lu [1] 80/6 68/16 69/5 69/8 69/16 69/20 70/5 70/8 77/23 77/23 79/4 79/5 lunch [4] 94/1 94/4 94/4 94/15 79/5 82/12 83/7 84/9 84/13 86/5 87/20 94/2 94/4 96/23 97/13 Luyben [2] 8/24 15/9 98/5 98/21 99/10 105/25 111/17 112/5 113/16 129/7 139/22 140/11 140/16 141/3 141/7 145/7 148/19 148/22 151/10 152/20 M 153/7 153/8 153/12 153/21 154/1 154/1 155/2 155/2 165/11 made [40] 13/5 29/25 30/19 42/15 55/4 57/21 57/24 61/9 62/11 175/8 182/25 66/22 67/25 72/25 75/4 77/20 86/23 90/11 92/19 114/5 116/1 likelihood [1] 49/5 122/17 123/11 124/19 130/2 133/25 135/23 136/4 137/2 142/24 likely [5] 25/6 62/3 62/21 74/20 109/18 143/8 143/10 145/8 148/2 151/5 156/25 160/3 161/11 166/4 Likewise [1] 111/21 167/7 168/19 176/7 limit [1] 75/1 magic [1] 108/7 limitation [1] 25/20 main [2] 56/8 61/6 **limited [2]** 7/3 67/5 maintain [6] 31/21 39/14 81/11 81/22 96/5 121/1 limits [1] 35/14 maintained [5] 42/10 98/10 118/10 119/20 171/24 line [12] 37/21 90/13 105/19 114/5 114/7 114/15 114/15 114/17 maintaining [1] 24/7 114/19 114/19 131/9 131/9 maintains [1] 111/2 lines [7] 27/22 114/7 114/12 114/18 114/21 114/24 172/15 major [2] 116/10 146/5 linked [1] 127/2 majority [4] 65/17 109/17 164/4 165/22 list [7] 31/21 36/1 53/7 53/18 53/25 129/6 145/12 make [41] 18/17 18/20 19/22 49/2 52/9 53/17 54/9 56/13 58/14 listed [2] 31/19 36/1 61/4 62/13 67/2 67/13 68/15 70/13 75/25 83/6 84/24 87/7 90/14

M measure [3] 31/13 32/22 44/15 measured [1] 64/15 make... [21] 91/5 91/9 111/18 112/10 125/9 126/19 139/5 measurement [2] 19/25 50/25 139/20 139/24 147/6 147/7 148/20 150/6 157/18 167/9 167/14 measurements [3] 17/5 18/4 158/25 177/6 177/23 180/11 181/6 181/11 measures [9] 14/12 17/14 18/6 18/12 37/11 37/18 105/16 makers [1] 79/22 106/18 116/16 makes [2] 125/25 158/3 measuring [2] 17/8 60/12 making [27] 25/1 39/4 39/23 40/6 42/16 52/22 54/9 68/1 75/24 mechanism [2] 25/16 133/20 75/25 77/17 83/13 93/1 93/3 95/22 96/20 98/25 99/20 118/12 mechanisms [1] 146/20 118/24 119/2 136/19 139/2 139/3 142/9 148/23 148/24 media [5] 31/23 31/23 41/12 74/14 134/11 man [1] 46/6 mediator [1] 154/22 manage [2] 17/24 17/25 medical [4] 98/15 98/18 141/14 141/16 managed [1] 9/12 meet [4] 93/10 144/12 145/10 177/19 management [9] 99/22 122/9 122/10 124/3 124/9 124/11 138/8 meeting [34] 11/3 12/16 13/8 13/11 30/23 30/24 31/11 31/19 163/3 163/4 33/9 50/19 50/21 56/7 56/24 57/14 70/12 78/9 88/8 92/8 112/24 manager [7] 74/18 114/12 127/8 131/12 153/25 154/17 176/6 126/2 127/21 130/6 147/10 147/13 147/20 147/24 149/9 149/19 managers [3] 100/14 121/10 122/5 150/4 155/4 155/17 166/14 175/3 175/4 managing [2] 116/14 122/5 meetings [77] 11/18 11/18 11/23 12/4 14/7 21/10 21/12 21/13 mandate [1] 15/20 21/14 22/10 23/15 29/8 29/12 29/15 29/23 30/7 30/9 30/13 manifested [1] 31/7 30/17 30/19 31/10 31/17 31/18 31/22 36/3 39/5 41/11 41/15 manner [5] 112/17 121/4 121/11 123/4 162/18 41/16 47/4 47/14 47/16 48/1 48/11 48/15 50/11 56/14 56/24 mantra [1] 120/6 66/4 66/7 72/21 73/13 73/13 74/3 74/9 74/15 74/24 78/4 100/24 many [32] 40/18 41/10 55/24 60/2 65/16 67/15 67/22 72/21 100/25 113/14 113/19 124/15 126/3 126/6 128/2 131/1 147/16 75/17 76/24 79/12 82/14 98/17 100/12 101/25 102/9 110/7 149/11 150/2 150/2 150/10 150/10 150/10 150/17 151/3 153/12 111/23 131/16 139/23 148/7 152/20 155/9 157/8 160/8 160/10 154/24 155/14 155/16 156/4 166/16 166/20 166/21 166/21 160/20 161/5 171/1 171/1 173/23 180/6 166/24 166/25 map [1] 34/4 meetings/town [1] 11/18 March [9] 63/11 127/21 177/12 177/12 177/20 182/6 182/11 meets [2] 66/19 92/8 182/17 182/19 Melanie [1] 114/11 March 2nd or [1] 177/12 melted [1] 72/3 March 3 [1] 182/6 member [19] 15/4 18/8 32/4 65/1 65/1 73/25 76/15 76/21 77/7 March 3rd [3] 177/12 182/11 182/17 78/14 78/23 80/2 83/9 83/14 86/10 129/1 139/11 140/11 156/20 marginalize [1] 79/13 members [99] 6/4 6/21 10/2 11/10 11/25 12/11 12/17 12/18 marginalized [1] 127/15 12/25 14/5 14/17 14/20 14/22 14/25 15/7 15/7 15/8 15/8 21/17 mark [2] 2/18 14/13 23/20 23/22 23/23 25/24 26/8 26/12 27/10 28/24 29/14 29/16 marks [1] 77/12 29/25 31/4 31/10 31/11 31/15 33/4 33/6 33/15 33/15 37/22 Mary [6] 53/17 154/11 177/6 177/13 182/3 182/7 37/25 39/2 43/15 45/7 46/4 47/20 47/22 48/25 50/2 50/3 51/4 Masback [1] 15/3 51/6 51/15 52/14 57/9 57/16 57/21 67/22 73/1 73/9 73/12 74/2 mass [1] 31/23 74/22 76/19 77/17 77/18 77/21 77/22 77/22 83/19 83/22 89/2 masterful [1] 156/5 89/5 91/24 105/4 108/25 111/22 112/11 112/13 112/15 112/22 masterpiece [1] 135/19 113/15 119/4 119/9 125/23 126/8 126/17 126/22 128/4 130/21 material [1] 96/23 131/10 140/19 146/8 151/24 152/8 155/14 157/22 161/15 materialize [1] 45/8 174/25 178/24 materials [5] 23/8 30/23 73/8 140/22 143/14 members-only [1] 14/5 math [1] 164/24 members/co-chairs [1] 130/21 matter [10] 26/24 48/12 78/24 121/21 142/14 168/5 168/18 membership [3] 31/21 113/2 113/3 168/21 175/1 175/24 memorandum [3] 8/3 8/3 21/25 matters [4] 69/4 69/20 143/22 144/17 memorializing [1] 117/17 may [34] 13/7 24/9 25/17 42/14 54/7 54/19 57/14 61/9 65/9 67/4 men [1] 92/18 77/23 77/25 83/17 83/21 84/21 86/20 86/21 87/24 88/2 95/3 mental [118] 3/13 6/15 7/9 8/14 13/19 14/21 23/7 32/10 32/10 95/5 114/1 120/9 122/12 134/5 135/5 136/5 136/22 136/23 32/14 32/15 40/7 40/9 41/9 42/13 42/22 43/10 43/18 44/1 44/3 138/2 142/11 162/13 173/18 174/1 44/21 46/9 46/20 46/21 50/17 51/3 60/10 63/18 67/21 71/8 May 16th [1] 65/9 76/18 76/21 77/10 77/13 85/11 85/12 85/24 86/2 86/4 95/9 maybe [9] 25/6 39/11 43/1 87/22 87/22 174/1 177/15 177/16 104/3 104/8 104/13 104/19 104/21 105/2 105/7 105/24 106/9 177/19 106/14 106/24 106/24 107/4 107/7 107/17 107/24 108/4 108/6 mayor [13] 18/17 19/1 20/3 31/25 39/7 46/24 57/21 61/4 69/15 108/8 108/16 108/21 109/12 109/15 110/19 112/21 114/15 71/12 86/11 88/13 147/9 119/13 119/18 119/22 120/15 120/17 120/20 128/25 131/17 Mayor Wheeler [1] 61/4 131/18 133/4 133/5 135/12 140/7 140/24 141/6 141/16 141/22 Mayor's [3] 14/19 57/8 69/10 144/11 144/14 144/16 145/3 145/16 145/22 146/4 147/8 148/13 mayors [1] 18/18 148/25 150/3 152/15 152/22 153/6 156/18 160/13 160/18 me [65] 6/19 6/20 7/12 7/14 7/15 11/16 15/16 16/21 22/17 24/22 160/21 160/22 160/24 161/3 161/8 161/8 161/22 161/25 162/7 26/5 28/19 29/3 34/24 38/3 38/4 38/9 38/18 40/13 43/16 49/2 162/12 163/11 163/21 164/1 164/1 164/13 164/14 165/14 51/25 53/7 54/9 68/23 72/9 79/5 79/5 79/5 79/8 80/19 80/24 165/15 81/5 81/5 81/11 89/22 90/20 93/18 103/2 114/6 114/9 114/9 mention [2] 10/4 165/25 116/9 125/19 129/20 133/17 136/3 150/22 157/16 159/24 165/7 mentioned [17] 8/9 13/15 27/22 47/24 49/24 50/7 50/17 51/11 169/18 170/18 171/20 173/18 174/22 174/24 175/4 176/10 84/18 103/1 109/7 113/4 114/3 152/20 153/8 165/4 165/12 177/3 177/19 178/9 178/12 180/14 183/1 mentorship [1] 47/10 mean [9] 10/5 13/12 64/3 69/18 90/17 115/19 148/14 160/14 mere [2] 84/22 84/24 160/16 merits [1] 149/13 meaning [3] 56/10 60/23 164/17 message [2] 81/15 95/18 meaningful [4] 55/18 58/19 59/1 59/6 messages [1] 143/13 meaningfully [1] 32/25 met [13] 8/10 17/16 31/22 41/19 84/17 96/11 112/1 124/5 126/1 means [8] 25/12 66/14 107/23 115/24 116/4 123/9 178/6 181/18 132/10 145/7 168/6 176/10

M 45/24 46/1 53/6 53/9 61/12 64/25 68/10 76/11 76/12 83/2 83/3 96/4 111/8 125/7 125/8 130/22 162/3 175/24 181/1 metal [1] 36/7 Morrison [1] 3/11 methods [2] 128/7 145/2 Mosley [1] 80/6 metrics [10] 8/9 18/3 31/13 36/22 36/23 41/19 41/21 60/12 **Mosley-Gary [1]** 80/6 129/3 140/18 most [25] 7/20 12/21 13/7 47/20 62/21 70/16 71/4 72/1 72/2 MHA [6] 134/16 140/11 141/4 144/4 161/1 171/12 78/23 81/18 95/12 97/6 101/5 101/6 110/1 113/3 123/5 130/23 MICHAEL [2] 1/14 71/22 132/17 132/20 132/21 150/15 163/6 174/2 microphone [1] 154/11 mostly [1] 77/20 mid [3] 45/15 53/6 53/9 mother [2] 80/6 109/2 mid-morning [3] 45/15 53/6 53/9 motion [17] 9/20 11/1 16/18 20/11 20/14 21/3 21/6 27/1 27/3 midway [1] 50/8 27/14 159/22 168/2 169/14 171/25 172/4 183/2 183/12 might [21] 30/2 41/5 41/16 45/4 45/6 45/8 52/5 52/5 54/13 motivated [1] 126/19 74/23 75/1 77/16 78/11 104/22 117/5 144/11 154/12 155/2 move [19] 21/18 23/21 31/2 32/2 36/9 39/10 39/11 39/17 40/3 155/3 155/25 156/14 68/23 69/2 69/9 69/22 79/5 79/13 82/2 82/7 82/14 135/18 mile [1] 92/12 moved [5] 13/2 23/21 29/19 113/4 175/6 millions [2] 90/1 90/2 movement [2] 163/2 164/21 mind [2] 52/10 152/7 moving [5] 12/21 15/18 32/8 91/16 124/15 mindful [4] 13/16 51/9 109/25 112/15 Mowry [4] 22/8 35/10 153/25 154/15 mindfulness~[1]~70/17**Mozyrsky [1]** 15/5 minds [1] 130/6 Mr [12] 18/13 19/1 27/10 27/16 45/12 95/7 95/9 95/17 103/23 minimal [1] 164/23 113/25 139/8 151/4 minimizing [1] 64/20 Mr. [48] 6/23 8/15 8/21 16/19 20/3 20/5 20/6 27/5 27/7 27/10 MINISTERIAL [7] 3/5 3/9 6/13 8/6 10/18 13/19 14/20 29/7 38/15 40/10 40/14 42/1 42/3 42/4 53/10 53/10 54/1 54/1 minor [2] 66/22 142/5 54/7 54/7 54/17 64/24 68/4 68/6 68/6 68/24 103/20 104/1 109/6 minute [5] 53/19 92/12 94/15 151/10 151/14 136/7 137/6 140/9 140/19 145/13 158/7 159/17 159/19 159/21 minutes [6] 54/14 54/16 54/18 94/1 94/13 94/14 160/3 167/23 169/16 169/20 170/7 175/25 178/4 misconduct [7] 65/8 65/21 101/12 111/24 116/7 123/8 123/9 Mr. Berry [1] 137/6 miss [1] 157/1 Mr. Chavez [5] 8/15 40/10 42/3 140/9 145/13 missed [1] 155/8 Mr. Dan [1] 6/23 missing [2] 7/15 82/16 Mr. Drury [1] 160/3 mission [2] 12/9 49/6 Mr. Geissler [5] 103/20 104/1 109/6 136/7 169/20 misstatement [1] 21/16 Mr. Hager [2] 16/19 29/7 misstating [1] 180/18 Mr. Handelman [3] 54/1 54/7 68/6 mistake [1] 173/16 Mr. Handleman [2] 8/21 53/10 misunderstand [1] 171/18 Mr. Jason Renaud [1] 40/14 mitigates [1] 120/24 Mr. Karia [4] 27/7 158/7 159/17 178/4 mitigation [2] 101/1 116/23 Mr. Mayor [1] 20/3 mixed [1] 57/10 Mr. Parks [8] 53/10 54/1 54/7 54/17 64/24 68/4 68/6 68/24 model [5] 30/5 106/7 107/5 122/9 163/3 Mr. Renaud [3] 42/1 42/4 140/19 modeled [1] 86/15 Mr. Vannier [10] 20/5 20/6 27/5 27/10 38/15 159/19 159/21 modifying [1] 66/10 167/23 169/16 175/25 **modular [1]** 71/5 Mr. Vannier's [1] 170/7 moment [3] 27/21 45/9 133/17 Ms [4] 54/17 61/12 70/25 149/5 moments [2] 16/22 130/16 Ms. [34] 7/1 20/5 27/18 28/11 36/16 53/10 53/11 53/11 54/1 Monday [3] 177/11 177/11 181/25 54/4 54/4 54/4 54/4 54/5 54/7 64/22 68/6 68/9 71/1 72/8 72/11 money [4] 11/7 43/21 43/22 92/3 72/12 75/14 76/9 76/10 78/18 78/20 82/24 86/6 129/22 143/23 monitor [7] 56/17 113/18 130/13 167/13 170/4 172/19 172/24 145/14 159/19 167/21 monitored [1] 72/16 Ms. Aiona [4] 53/11 54/4 72/12 76/9 monitoring [11] 9/16 24/20 26/11 26/21 96/18 98/23 101/22 Ms. Albies [1] 129/22 159/8 166/3 169/9 169/12 Ms. Brayfield [3] 53/11 54/4 68/9 monitors [1] 173/5 Ms. Chambers [3] 27/18 28/11 36/16 month [12] 11/19 61/3 62/18 70/8 72/22 109/13 109/21 113/7 Ms. Debbie [1] 7/1 113/13 127/11 129/13 166/15 Ms. Doom [1] 86/6 monthly [7] 11/18 12/16 21/10 50/21 72/21 74/3 111/25 Ms. Freidman [2] 143/23 145/14 months [41] 8/11 13/11 21/1 32/12 35/6 40/2 44/19 45/8 46/3 Ms. Gary-Smith [3] 54/4 78/20 82/24 47/12 49/9 49/11 51/12 52/4 57/25 74/19 80/21 81/7 83/6 91/25 Ms. Hannon [5] 53/10 54/1 54/7 64/22 68/6 93/14 93/14 93/14 106/6 113/3 120/10 121/14 123/12 134/6 **Ms. Kaye [1]** 75/14 163/13 168/5 169/23 169/24 171/25 176/25 177/16 177/16 Ms. Newell [4] 54/5 71/1 72/8 72/11 177/18 179/9 180/14 181/5 Ms. Reeve [3] 20/5 159/19 167/21 moon [1] 44/9 **Ms. Zingeser [3]** 54/4 76/10 78/18 more [84] 11/4 11/6 11/10 11/11 11/23 14/1 16/13 25/9 34/11 much [35] 27/24 29/14 35/3 35/3 36/13 37/15 38/13 48/14 34/24 35/3 38/13 41/7 41/7 41/7 41/8 42/24 43/22 55/15 60/1 52/15 68/11 69/19 72/8 75/5 84/14 87/10 87/16 91/17 103/20 60/3 62/2 62/2 63/22 66/24 67/2 68/19 69/5 74/16 74/24 75/5 113/25 115/9 115/12 131/5 132/18 139/4 139/5 140/5 148/1 82/3 82/9 86/12 88/22 88/22 90/2 94/8 97/1 97/14 97/21 98/9 158/3 158/6 160/9 161/1 163/6 166/3 167/20 167/22 99/14 99/15 100/13 101/2 102/14 105/20 105/20 108/12 113/17 multiparty [1] 154/23 119/4 119/22 120/4 120/16 121/2 122/7 122/14 122/20 124/12 multiple [2] 120/3 122/11 126/11 128/8 132/22 132/24 132/24 132/25 132/25 133/15 multiracial [1] 84/3 133/15 133/21 136/3 136/13 137/5 137/12 141/1 151/13 153/7 Multnomah [9] 76/14 105/19 114/5 114/6 114/14 114/15 114/17 157/18 157/23 162/6 167/17 175/9 175/22 180/16 145/8 153/1 Moreover [2] 61/4 63/21 Muslim [1] 112/1 morning [39] 5/12 5/15 5/17 5/19 5/20 5/22 5/23 5/25 6/8 9/19 must [18] 8/7 9/4 30/18 69/1 69/14 80/13 81/17 102/16 102/18 19/2 20/8 28/13 28/20 40/11 40/12 40/18 42/5 45/15 45/21 130/10 132/21 133/14 134/3 135/2 175/18 176/14 176/15

M no [40] 1/5 17/22 26/23 27/8 29/16 38/25 43/7 43/9 48/3 59/1 59/22 61/21 62/20 65/14 80/17 81/3 84/18 87/22 90/9 102/23 must... [1] 176/17 108/7 115/19 116/25 132/24 133/25 134/13 148/21 150/21 my [94] 9/1 9/3 9/4 17/3 19/5 19/18 19/25 22/17 24/22 27/2 150/25 164/6 164/14 166/10 166/11 166/17 169/2 170/10 27/21 28/2 28/18 30/22 31/1 31/3 33/19 33/20 37/1 37/7 38/17 172/16 179/24 181/10 181/16 46/7 48/12 48/12 49/20 50/25 52/5 60/20 67/13 67/17 67/22 no one [1] 84/18 71/2 72/4 72/7 76/14 77/7 79/2 79/6 79/11 79/14 79/14 80/3 No. [1] 171/21 80/3 80/3 80/6 80/9 80/25 82/2 82/2 82/2 82/5 86/8 86/11 86/25 No. 1 [1] 171/21 89/25 90/18 91/4 91/10 91/11 91/18 93/17 95/6 102/22 113/23 nobody [3] 56/18 148/22 156/9 114/2 114/11 115/24 136/12 150/23 151/7 151/22 151/24 nominal [1] 81/22 152/24 154/15 154/15 154/21 155/9 156/1 157/17 159/25 non [8] 25/2 60/5 98/19 105/12 110/10 119/24 174/5 180/4 162/23 172/9 174/22 176/11 176/17 177/1 178/15 178/21 non-compliance [3] 25/2 174/5 180/4 178/24 179/14 180/18 180/18 181/7 181/24 non-ECIT [2] 110/10 119/24 myself [6] 19/23 54/17 79/9 95/7 156/21 175/17 non-lethal [1] 98/19 N non-profit [1] 105/12 non-scientific [1] 60/5 N.W [1] 2/11 noncompliance [1] 7/23 name [11] 53/15 71/2 76/14 85/4 90/23 114/10 114/11 124/1 noncriminal [1] 106/23 154/14 154/15 158/20 none [7] 12/21 23/23 62/16 71/12 89/6 137/9 162/9 named [1] 58/11 nonprofit [1] 114/13 namely [1] 179/8 north [1] 46/5 names [1] 45/22 Northwest [1] 72/4 **NAMI [1]** 76/14 not [302] Nancy [1] 71/2 notation [1] 7/22 **Natasha [1]** 14/15 note [14] 7/19 21/17 22/2 22/5 22/11 24/9 27/13 55/8 75/20 nation [2] 108/23 122/22 91/15 160/10 161/24 166/3 167/6 national [4] 108/20 114/12 114/24 145/25 noted [7] 47/6 58/8 65/23 99/25 138/3 160/19 161/9 Native [1] 84/4 notes [3] 41/10 58/22 66/3 Native-American [1] 84/4 **noteworthy** [1] 111/14 **naturally [1]** 62/2 nothing [8] 35/10 39/19 85/8 89/1 90/6 156/10 168/24 175/11 nature [5] 51/13 86/3 92/22 123/24 137/18 notice [6] 7/18 8/2 74/11 158/12 166/24 172/2 NCIC [1] 136/11 noticed [1] 136/1 **NE[1]** 3/4 notices [1] 41/11 near [6] 119/12 119/15 126/10 165/10 166/22 176/5 notification [1] 121/12 nearly [6] 72/3 74/19 116/13 118/3 121/13 121/16 notifies [1] 121/10 necessarily [4] 50/20 139/3 162/13 163/25 notify [1] 41/14 necessary [7] 10/15 25/17 42/12 102/5 110/8 128/8 146/24 **noting [1]** 64/11 necessity [2] 130/19 131/6 notion [1] 44/20 need [46] 15/23 25/25 26/9 26/12 30/13 33/11 33/17 34/4 38/7 notions [1] 109/8 41/7 41/17 41/23 48/13 49/22 50/24 54/16 58/23 60/17 70/20 Notwithstanding [1] 173/12 77/24 77/25 78/14 80/8 80/8 80/21 96/5 99/1 104/12 110/11 November [5] 21/10 56/7 56/9 56/24 126/2 113/14 123/25 124/3 131/3 132/11 134/4 136/21 137/8 138/22 now [99] 11/6 12/4 13/3 13/12 14/9 15/6 15/13 15/24 20/6 20/18 141/1 145/3 147/6 153/8 169/10 169/10 176/11 177/7 21/7 21/24 24/5 25/1 27/18 29/18 30/14 30/17 37/2 37/4 37/20 needed [22] 8/10 25/4 33/21 34/24 35/3 35/4 55/25 73/17 99/14 38/10 40/7 40/21 49/4 50/23 51/23 52/2 53/14 58/16 60/23 113/20 118/22 119/8 124/20 126/14 130/8 130/18 131/8 135/25 61/13 62/7 63/15 66/23 71/15 83/12 85/3 91/22 94/3 94/10 144/3 167/14 170/10 174/12 95/19 96/16 97/18 98/1 98/6 99/17 99/18 99/22 99/24 100/4 needle [1] 15/19 100/13 100/16 100/19 100/23 102/11 106/3 106/16 109/25 needs [28] 26/10 26/11 33/22 33/22 34/11 38/13 64/18 70/20 111/8 111/25 112/25 113/12 114/18 116/6 119/14 120/16 128/9 70/21 74/16 75/5 75/8 88/22 99/18 99/21 102/13 113/11 118/5 128/19 129/6 134/23 152/5 153/2 154/1 154/4 154/7 159/18 130/5 133/19 144/12 144/21 145/10 148/6 161/22 163/10 161/11 161/13 163/17 163/24 164/3 168/3 168/11 168/20 169/12 176/6 170/18 171/2 171/5 173/18 175/10 175/19 175/22 176/11 negatively [1] 40/25 176/17 177/1 177/21 180/4 180/9 180/14 negotiated [1] 162/25 nuclear [3] 71/4 71/7 71/24 neighborhood [2] 43/18 155/17 number [23] 7/12 7/13 43/1 46/13 72/20 73/11 74/10 81/4 89/9 **Neither [3]** 59/6 60/25 63/15 90/16 104/10 115/8 120/9 123/21 123/23 123/23 123/24 126/11 network [2] 167/2 167/5 139/18 158/25 165/12 174/17 176/4 neutrality [1] 60/18 numbers [4] 13/12 63/1 84/5 131/21 never [11] 33/12 38/19 39/1 61/5 72/4 74/10 77/25 84/17 85/19 numerous [2] 74/13 111/19 86/2 160/6 nurturing [2] 55/22 56/2 new [29] 22/4 30/4 30/5 47/20 57/9 57/21 58/10 61/2 72/23 74/1 80/23 82/8 82/11 84/20 86/8 88/25 102/13 107/12 108/1 113/6 0 117/22 121/6 122/23 124/9 125/12 125/24 155/7 162/4 171/5 O'Grant [1] 35/10 Newell [5] 54/5 71/1 71/2 72/8 72/11 Oakland [1] 163/14 Newest [1] 15/4 objective [1] 117/8 newly [1] 24/5 objectives [3] 88/2 103/12 113/21 news [1] 160/20 obligation [5] 10/5 10/6 74/17 113/18 116/2 next [16] 18/14 40/2 45/8 45/14 46/8 49/8 51/12 52/4 64/19 obligations [4] 106/1 112/5 112/6 160/8 75/20 76/7 88/8 93/23 98/21 104/23 182/23 observation [2] 55/19 118/1 nice [1] 119/19 observations [2] 89/14 155/9 night [2] 70/22 90/12 observe [1] 98/22 nine [4] 13/3 46/3 47/12 113/3 **observed [8]** 96/18 97/7 100/25 108/13 108/15 111/11 121/15 Nineteenth [2] 75/21 76/4 125/23 Nineteenth Amendment [1] 75/21 obstacles [1] 10/16

O opening [5] 68/11 149/2 149/18 150/17 150/21 openness [1] 147/12 obviously [5] 48/13 49/7 125/5 152/22 153/5 operate [1] 71/24 occasions [3] 76/23 77/3 120/3 operated [6] 79/9 141/11 141/12 141/17 141/24 142/21 occupancy [1] 39/14 operating [6] 71/8 71/10 71/11 100/8 126/9 168/4 occur [1] 98/1 operations [2] 65/10 71/13 occurred [4] 121/8 124/8 127/10 166/5 opine [3] 150/23 173/17 173/18 occurrence [1] 62/4 opinion [7] 12/5 22/25 128/6 130/22 135/9 144/4 172/14 occurring [1] 23/15 opinions [1] 172/14 October [9] 10/25 11/6 15/13 16/23 21/2 109/13 140/18 175/12 opportunities [4] 12/5 14/2 119/4 144/20 opportunity [16] 24/23 28/14 37/4 37/7 42/6 73/12 73/24 76/13 October 2017 [1] 109/13 80/10 83/5 86/9 87/3 91/22 93/16 95/14 141/3 October 2018 [1] 10/25 **oppose [3]** 27/3 45/10 88/19 off [12] 46/17 46/25 51/16 67/13 106/25 134/22 135/18 144/2 opposed [5] 49/4 88/11 88/12 132/6 137/20 144/6 145/1 145/12 179/3 optimism [4] 82/25 87/12 93/9 178/22 offer [3] 36/24 64/18 168/1 optional [1] 130/18 offered [2] 27/10 172/15 oral [4] 99/11 135/24 183/15 183/16 office [13] 2/3 2/6 2/15 2/19 2/22 12/16 14/14 14/16 14/18 orally [1] 37/5 14/19 22/7 73/16 154/16 order [9] 39/11 47/16 48/23 75/5 90/19 102/12 134/1 143/4 officer [47] 7/8 12/10 14/15 14/19 21/15 59/23 64/7 64/17 65/10 155/3 65/17 65/20 66/14 66/24 77/6 86/1 86/17 90/11 96/21 98/19 orderly [1] 74/24 101/10 101/12 101/16 104/19 107/16 107/19 108/5 108/8 109/1 orders [1] 102/7 109/6 115/4 115/6 117/13 117/25 122/16 130/13 139/11 144/11 OREGON [10] 1/2 1/8 79/2 86/16 105/1 114/25 145/17 147/3 161/11 162/6 162/19 163/5 164/8 164/19 165/17 165/19 165/20 147/16 149/11 **Oregonian** [1] 77/11 officer-involved [6] 98/19 164/8 165/17 165/19 165/20 165/23 Oregonian's [1] 57/15 officers [68] 21/12 47/3 52/3 58/20 59/21 60/17 64/6 65/20 organization [8] 8/21 92/21 96/13 110/22 141/18 153/2 163/5 66/18 66/20 70/21 77/2 77/2 77/15 83/18 83/24 87/14 88/10 166/2 88/15 89/1 89/7 92/25 93/2 96/23 97/9 99/3 99/7 102/5 103/19 organizational [2] 73/19 165/8 105/5 106/11 106/13 106/14 107/8 108/9 108/9 109/14 110/6 organizations [5] 46/13 105/13 115/22 157/20 167/15 110/9 116/25 117/10 117/24 118/12 119/8 119/22 119/24 120/7 organize [1] 36/3 120/15 121/24 122/10 123/23 132/2 132/5 132/14 136/14 143/1 organized [2] 79/12 80/12 143/4 143/6 143/15 152/8 158/22 158/24 159/4 161/2 161/15 organizer [11] 36/2 39/4 56/22 74/19 74/20 80/4 127/8 130/17 164/13 164/18 165/24 131/3 131/5 131/12 officers' [2] 64/11 70/10 organizing [3] 72/23 130/17 157/20 official [4] 103/7 175/2 175/4 184/12 orientation [3] 24/6 113/6 155/7 officially [1] 147/16 original [14] 12/24 20/17 20/20 21/17 24/4 24/24 31/4 57/16 officials [6] 6/5 6/6 62/16 71/12 73/4 91/8 98/3 120/24 168/11 178/16 180/1 184/8 often [4] 43/10 47/23 146/9 161/3 originally [2] 126/7 175/19 Ohio [1] 172/22 ostensibly [1] 60/2 OIR [1] 63/16 other [67] 6/6 9/2 13/8 14/19 14/25 18/3 22/25 27/10 29/16 OIR's [1] 63/17 32/20 34/25 35/13 36/17 41/18 47/3 48/12 56/7 56/11 57/11 okay [3] 44/12 141/9 173/3 58/6 63/4 65/16 68/14 69/11 70/15 79/18 85/4 85/20 91/2 91/7 **old [1]** 161/4 96/4 98/5 103/11 105/25 108/22 111/19 114/21 117/24 120/21 on-scene [3] 66/18 102/4 102/5 122/25 123/9 124/2 126/4 126/25 127/14 130/7 135/14 139/2 on-the-spot [1] 101/17 139/21 144/11 151/24 156/20 157/9 160/9 160/13 160/15 once [10] 28/4 51/5 51/7 56/18 66/24 68/11 96/2 159/7 171/23 161/23 164/22 165/2 165/3 167/18 170/16 174/13 174/17 174/18 180/6 180/6 one [91] 12/6 13/7 16/7 16/23 17/2 18/11 19/19 19/25 23/18 others [16] 15/10 31/20 39/9 56/8 57/4 88/3 108/2 122/25 25/1 29/15 33/5 33/10 34/19 43/4 46/4 46/7 46/7 56/6 56/7 131/20 146/8 151/13 165/23 173/5 173/14 173/17 178/4 56/14 57/16 57/22 58/14 59/10 59/14 60/24 61/5 63/25 65/3 otherwise [3] 23/3 77/25 155/8 67/10 68/13 69/5 70/7 70/19 71/4 71/10 75/23 76/19 77/3 80/15 our [142] 6/5 17/24 19/3 28/18 33/9 36/12 39/21 39/23 40/17 84/18 87/17 88/20 90/15 90/15 91/2 91/16 92/12 96/4 96/6 98/3 41/11 42/6 43/4 44/6 44/9 45/17 46/7 46/16 46/21 46/22 47/4 100/10 109/1 109/22 111/15 113/17 114/1 118/18 130/13 47/6 47/10 47/13 47/20 47/21 47/25 48/10 48/11 48/24 48/24 132/18 132/20 135/23 138/3 138/13 138/24 138/24 139/12 48/25 49/8 49/21 50/9 50/11 50/16 50/17 50/19 50/22 50/23 139/17 139/17 139/23 140/3 140/18 142/8 143/22 151/10 155/9 51/24 52/7 53/9 53/18 54/10 55/4 55/11 55/19 61/13 61/14 65/3 156/5 158/12 158/22 166/23 169/21 172/7 173/7 173/7 176/9 65/18 70/20 70/21 71/13 72/22 75/10 76/7 76/24 78/8 79/15 176/10 177/10 177/21 180/6 183/2 79/16 82/8 82/11 83/13 84/11 86/18 87/7 92/22 93/6 93/23 one-fifth [1] 109/22 95/12 95/16 96/8 97/25 98/3 98/6 98/7 98/12 100/1 100/11 ones [8] 17/17 35/9 35/12 41/20 44/9 140/18 173/3 173/3 101/20 102/2 106/5 107/13 107/14 109/23 110/13 114/18 oneself [1] 42/12 115/24 116/17 117/6 117/18 118/14 121/11 122/3 123/13 ongoing [7] 26/15 26/22 27/12 32/7 67/3 172/16 173/2 124/12 124/22 125/15 125/17 125/21 126/4 126/15 126/18 online [2] 138/25 143/14 127/10 127/13 128/6 133/24 134/1 134/7 134/8 135/3 135/11 only [48] 7/22 10/14 14/5 19/9 20/24 21/17 22/14 22/23 23/1 135/11 139/13 140/4 140/11 140/17 140/25 141/7 141/10 23/24 29/15 30/13 35/21 39/24 56/7 56/25 59/3 59/4 59/10 145/12 145/23 147/8 148/4 148/24 148/24 151/24 152/5 152/7 59/18 60/7 60/24 60/24 62/17 62/24 66/11 66/22 76/3 76/20 153/9 153/12 153/15 160/19 163/22 168/16 172/12 173/6 180/1 80/15 92/10 97/7 118/14 118/21 124/21 132/9 134/4 134/5 182/23 183/15 136/13 158/1 165/4 170/14 172/24 173/10 174/1 174/25 176/15 ourselves [1] 44/14 181/24 out [64] 11/19 12/21 12/23 12/24 14/4 21/19 23/6 23/21 26/13 oOo [1] 184/4 28/19 29/18 30/20 32/18 36/3 41/13 41/13 41/14 42/12 44/16 open [12] 5/4 11/24 31/10 53/21 66/4 87/5 95/1 138/19 150/2 46/21 47/7 47/14 48/2 48/16 48/24 49/1 50/10 50/22 50/23 55/6 150/9 151/16 170/2

opened [2] 148/8 151/3

57/19 58/10 60/22 62/5 63/17 69/9 70/8 71/5 71/13 81/6 86/19

87/2 90/3 90/6 96/4 100/11 106/5 112/4 120/6 130/24 131/3

O part [24] 26/3 26/6 28/17 40/20 42/12 53/1 60/20 70/16 80/13 90/18 93/3 96/10 104/24 108/24 111/25 154/4 157/24 169/7 out... [13] 131/14 138/19 148/16 152/23 153/12 153/20 155/3 170/14 170/21 173/25 174/1 174/2 180/5 156/14 156/25 165/13 167/4 167/4 171/2 partial [3] 7/22 7/23 89/16 outcome [6] 34/2 89/2 97/19 98/14 99/10 101/20 participate [5] 12/2 14/23 42/7 53/8 74/3 outcomes [10] 63/1 70/18 83/16 85/5 96/19 97/17 106/17 participated [3] 14/21 47/3 73/3 110/11 163/10 163/24 participates [1] 102/20 outlast [1] 110/20 participating [1] 78/5 Outlaw [13] 14/14 47/3 54/5 87/21 91/14 91/15 93/21 100/22 participation [8] 11/25 29/13 40/17 41/8 72/18 73/11 73/20 127/21 160/18 163/13 165/4 166/7 113/9 outlets [1] 74/14 particular [17] 13/25 32/8 32/9 36/1 39/11 54/12 67/16 67/17 outliers [3] 100/17 100/20 122/4 69/17 90/15 90/16 95/15 108/22 112/14 112/15 158/10 163/20 outlined [1] 55/21 particularly [14] 32/19 41/8 60/7 67/20 77/14 77/18 113/8 141/6 outrageous [1] 64/20 141/10 144/1 152/18 156/3 157/7 182/12 outreach [17] 58/3 58/6 59/25 60/11 60/16 60/19 63/6 111/12 parties [25] 6/3 6/7 9/2 10/8 11/6 16/1 20/25 21/1 21/6 25/24 111/22 111/25 125/10 125/13 127/16 128/17 129/6 146/22 26/8 26/20 27/12 67/7 93/25 96/6 159/1 168/5 168/14 169/7 157/13 169/10 171/5 171/25 174/14 183/16 outset [1] 9/11 parties' [4] 21/7 159/14 168/1 169/6 outside [6] 6/19 23/12 23/17 50/4 123/25 156/24 partners [11] 48/19 93/9 93/11 104/7 104/9 104/25 105/12 outstanding [3] 47/8 50/18 138/16 105/24 110/18 130/7 134/15 outward [1] 66/23 partnership [3] 114/16 114/17 114/19 over [48] 27/21 28/9 29/19 39/20 42/11 43/3 44/4 44/16 45/8 parts [1] 47/17 47/13 55/9 60/13 60/14 61/19 62/2 63/1 81/7 85/7 89/4 91/25 partway [1] 156/15 92/2 92/13 92/23 93/14 106/18 109/13 109/14 109/21 113/2 party [1] 182/25 114/5 114/14 116/19 119/14 119/25 123/14 134/24 139/21 pass [2] 27/20 64/13 140/2 143/22 146/12 152/21 155/15 160/19 160/21 164/5 164/5 passed [2] 13/9 71/11 165/3 168/5 passionate [1] 19/8 over-institutionalization [1] 160/21 passionately [1] 83/13 over-policing [2] 55/9 85/7 password [1] 81/3 overall [15] 7/6 64/15 93/24 95/18 106/6 110/5 116/18 120/19 Passwords [1] 80/21 126/15 129/21 140/8 140/14 151/8 171/3 176/12 past [14] 67/15 96/18 98/11 99/5 116/20 119/25 121/14 123/12 overarching [1] 60/8 123/14 129/10 134/3 134/7 134/8 134/8 overbearing [1] 33/22 path [2] 10/20 115/20 overboard [1] 56/3 patrol [4] 100/23 105/5 110/9 164/19 overcome [1] 10/16 pattern [4] 61/21 116/7 158/12 158/16 overlooked [1] 9/4 pay [1] 80/7 overnight [3] 37/17 135/15 135/16 **paying [1]** 71/15 oversight [22] 9/22 10/2 15/23 29/2 35/2 58/16 61/14 69/3 Payne [1] 114/11 88/13 122/19 122/21 124/11 142/23 142/25 143/19 146/21 pccep [251] 156/17 173/2 173/6 173/10 173/10 173/16 PCCEP's [13] 11/9 12/9 14/17 16/14 16/25 49/5 57/6 57/22 69/7 overwhelmed [1] 156/8 72/21 112/14 112/15 113/18 overwhelming [1] 156/2 PCCEP-related [1] 57/11 own [15] 14/6 15/20 50/9 50/11 56/4 67/17 99/17 101/16 137/8 **PCW [2]** 55/14 59/3 157/9 163/18 163/19 167/2 167/8 167/13 peace [1] 71/13 owned [1] 141/17 peace-bank [1] 71/13 owners [1] 72/4 pedal [2] 33/20 36/6 Oxford [1] 141/20 pedestrian [1] 62/17 pedestrians [1] 62/21 peer [4] 105/14 118/24 144/13 146/10 pace [1] 81/11 peers [1] 100/18 Pacific [1] 84/2 pending [5] 6/10 7/3 10/22 179/10 183/11 page [3] 17/4 57/11 138/2 Pennsylvania [1] 2/11 paid [3] 71/15 88/24 92/3 people [110] 15/11 19/20 22/21 23/3 29/24 36/3 39/4 39/22 pain [1] 134/10 41/15 42/20 42/23 42/24 43/1 43/6 43/10 43/11 44/1 44/2 44/4 paint [1] 41/4 44/4 44/6 44/8 44/9 45/3 45/5 46/9 46/13 48/11 49/20 50/4 pair [1] 104/18 52/14 54/14 56/10 60/9 60/10 60/15 60/15 62/13 62/18 63/18 palette [2] 41/6 135/18 65/16 67/16 67/21 71/16 72/2 73/14 76/18 76/25 77/10 77/13 palpable [1] 97/18 79/4 84/3 85/11 85/11 85/24 86/2 86/17 87/2 87/3 87/3 87/17 paper [1] 44/2 88/24 104/21 104/22 105/4 105/12 110/8 126/5 126/11 132/25 paradigm [2] 77/6 77/7 133/4 133/9 133/12 144/25 145/4 145/22 146/4 147/23 148/6 paragraph [39] 10/10 20/17 20/18 24/16 55/22 57/1 57/2 57/19 148/7 148/12 148/15 149/3 150/15 152/16 152/17 152/22 58/2 58/17 60/21 62/24 64/10 65/5 65/22 65/24 66/20 98/12 152/23 153/12 154/25 155/25 156/6 156/13 157/15 157/16 100/12 100/15 100/22 104/18 118/17 118/19 118/22 120/13 157/22 160/10 160/21 161/8 161/21 162/12 162/18 163/11 122/1 123/6 127/4 127/18 128/5 128/24 129/2 129/8 129/14 163/21 163/25 165/16 171/16 173/8 175/4 175/14 138/3 138/18 168/10 168/11 pepper [1] 64/14 paragraphs [12] 55/6 61/17 66/8 104/3 106/3 111/4 112/4 per [2] 62/18 63/21 116/13 118/3 118/15 143/25 144/4 perceive [1] 137/19 parent [1] 142/4 perceived [10] 16/12 32/10 32/14 35/20 85/12 106/24 109/15 parking [1] 141/12 131/18 133/5 135/13 Parks [10] 6/24 53/10 54/1 54/7 54/17 64/24 65/1 68/4 68/6 percent [39] 35/13 50/1 59/2 59/4 59/17 59/18 59/19 61/19 61/20 62/11 62/13 62/14 62/15 62/20 62/22 63/19 63/19 65/25 parlance [1] 104/7 83/22 84/1 84/2 84/3 84/3 84/25 85/2 85/21 97/24 109/16 paroled [1] 43/7 109/22 113/2 121/14 132/6 132/7 132/9 133/12 164/5 164/12

```
playing [3] 89/10 118/23 142/11
                                                               plea [1] 77/17
percent... [2] 164/14 164/15
                                                               please [12] 5/9 9/3 16/17 45/20 49/3 52/13 52/23 53/2 53/22
percentage [3] 132/5 164/22 164/25
                                                               95/5 114/10 154/14
perception [2] 110/3 133/7
                                                               pleased [3] 95/13 122/8 123/19
perfect [1] 155/16
                                                               plugging [1] 56/11
perform [2] 16/4 157/10
                                                               plus [2] 106/13 170/22
performance [16] 13/25 16/25 17/12 31/5 31/6 77/15 100/3
                                                               PO [1] 3/15
105/2 116/5 119/10 121/8 126/17 167/8 167/13 171/5 179/8
                                                               podium [2] 18/22 54/8
performed [1] 14/8
                                                               point [26] 22/18 23/4 23/6 23/8 24/23 25/25 26/1 26/23 27/25
performing [3] 11/8 100/4 121/23
                                                               36/1 38/21 48/4 49/3 58/10 61/18 70/8 77/3 78/22 90/14 95/24
perhaps [11] 25/4 30/15 54/15 64/14 64/18 123/5 136/16
                                                               131/2 133/14 155/22 160/3 165/13 168/5
137/13 140/25 167/12 179/9
                                                               pointed [2] 96/3 100/11
period [21] 39/14 69/21 81/7 96/6 96/18 97/18 98/12 98/18
                                                               pointing [1] 66/13
98/24 101/6 101/22 109/13 109/21 134/19 160/19 166/17
                                                               points [4] 28/1 55/4 95/15 135/23
176/16 178/19 179/9 179/14 180/13
                                                               police [142] 3/2 3/6 3/10 5/18 6/13 6/14 7/11 8/7 10/1 10/19
periodic [3] 6/1 7/18 8/2
                                                               15/1 15/12 18/10 19/10 19/23 26/15 27/7 31/14 32/6 32/17
permanent [3] 22/4 22/13 39/13
                                                               32/23 43/23 44/9 46/8 51/12 52/2 55/10 58/18 60/2 60/4 60/7
permission [2] 17/23 142/4
                                                               60/12 61/20 62/17 63/16 65/18 67/20 67/23 68/1 70/10 72/17
perpetual [1] 9/25
                                                               73/16 74/5 74/14 76/17 77/12 77/15 78/12 79/21 82/5 82/9
persistence [1] 43/22
                                                               83/11 83/13 83/18 83/20 83/20 83/24 84/2 84/16 84/23 85/18
persistent [1] 42/22
                                                               86/1 86/17 86/25 87/1 87/14 88/9 88/14 88/15 88/17 89/7 90/7
person [21] 35/7 52/1 54/12 56/8 64/15 66/13 67/8 73/14 81/16
                                                               90/8 90/11 92/24 101/7 103/18 104/21 105/17 105/21 106/9
135/8 135/9 135/9 141/13 141/21 141/24 142/1 142/3 142/5
                                                               111/21 115/7 115/20 115/21 116/18 122/19 125/11 126/1
142/10 142/18 157/13
                                                               126/19 126/23 127/13 128/23 128/24 133/2 133/8 133/9 133/11
person's [1] 153/6
                                                               134/25 135/25 136/12 136/14 136/19 136/20 136/24 138/15
personal [6] 9/14 12/19 12/20 23/22 50/4 67/14
                                                               140/20 140/23 141/15 142/9 142/25 143/4 143/19 145/1 145/23
personality [1] 43/2
                                                               146/14 146/18 146/22 146/23 146/24 147/4 147/22 148/13
personally [2] 52/1 92/25
                                                               150/5 151/9 152/4 152/25 157/7 158/8 159/3 161/2 161/5
personnel [4] 12/10 73/15 117/6 141/14
                                                               161/14 162/10 162/18 163/3 163/7 164/12 167/7 167/8 171/11
persons [20] 32/9 32/10 32/19 32/20 41/8 42/13 44/21 55/9
                                                               171/23
106/23 112/21 114/3 120/17 131/20 140/24 141/6 143/17
                                                               police-community [6] 15/1 125/11 126/1 126/19 146/24 152/4
144/14 152/14 152/21 152/22
                                                               police-related [1] 74/14
perspective [5] 19/18 19/25 126/18 149/21 149/25
                                                               policies [6] 55/17 96/17 98/3 111/15 117/22 163/9
perspectives [4] 20/4 73/1 92/11 158/4
                                                               policing [21] 6/11 6/17 7/10 7/25 9/18 9/22 19/7 46/19 54/25
persuasive [1] 89/18
                                                               55/9 61/22 85/7 85/13 111/10 112/10 122/8 125/4 128/17
pertaining [1] 20/23
                                                               152/11 153/4 153/15
pertinent [1] 61/10
                                                               policy [35] 25/23 31/13 57/23 57/24 61/5 65/24 70/3 72/18
Peter [2] 6/24 65/1
                                                               88/14 88/15 96/10 96/10 96/11 96/15 96/24 97/10 97/19 97/20
phase [3] 39/12 39/13 44/5
                                                               98/10 99/12 102/3 103/15 106/8 106/21 106/22 107/2 107/15
Phillip [1] 15/9
                                                               109/10 112/19 116/15 117/6 122/24 152/19 154/16 154/23
philosophy [1] 160/22
                                                               political [1] 9/14
phones [1] 144/23
                                                               pollination [1] 14/24
phonetic [1] 80/6
                                                               pool [8] 2/22 13/1 14/4 24/5 24/7 113/5 126/12 126/13
photographic [1] 89/17
                                                               population [1] 62/13
photographs [1] 89/16
                                                               portfolio [1] 35/14
phrased [1] 172/23
                                                               portion [8] 25/3 173/22 174/9 174/20 176/7 177/25 180/4 180/5
physical [2] 99/7 109/19
                                                               portions [1] 170/17
physically [1] 164/20
                                                               PORTLAND [129] 1/3 1/7 1/8 2/4 2/8 2/14 2/16 2/17 2/18 2/20
physicians [1] 28/18
                                                               2/21 2/23 2/24 3/2 3/4 3/8 3/12 3/15 3/24 5/7 5/18 5/21 5/24 6/3
picked [2] 158/13 158/16
                                                               6/10 6/13 6/16 6/23 7/10 7/10 7/24 8/20 9/21 10/1 11/21 12/12
picture [5] 37/16 41/4 41/6 67/10 120/18
                                                               15/9 19/6 23/22 26/16 27/6 32/6 32/23 40/1 42/21 43/2 44/4
pictures [1] 65/13
                                                               46/5 54/21 54/22 54/24 55/24 57/14 60/13 61/23 62/5 63/16
piece [6] 69/7 110/13 173/22 174/3 176/19 180/7
                                                               64/1 65/1 66/2 66/12 66/17 66/21 66/22 67/9 67/12 67/13 67/20
pieces [2] 29/7 180/6
                                                               67/24 68/7 70/20 71/3 72/16 75/18 76/17 76/17 78/12 79/2
pilot [4] 88/9 88/12 88/19 162/4
                                                               79/21 80/18 83/8 83/24 84/2 85/14 85/18 86/12 88/7 88/9 88/14
piloted [1] 141/9
                                                               91/6 91/17 95/19 111/9 114/25 115/7 118/13 120/23 122/21
place [17] 24/25 32/4 39/9 61/7 70/3 79/3 79/4 79/13 84/7 116/6
                                                               125/4 126/23 126/25 133/2 133/7 133/9 133/11 134/20 134/21
130/23 134/25 135/1 136/18 150/23 163/17 163/24
                                                               135/20 138/11 138/15 143/4 146/14 146/18 146/22 146/23
placed [2] 39/3 39/20
                                                               147/4 147/10 147/22 151/9 158/8 161/5 161/14 162/10 162/18
places [4] 70/15 120/21 144/22 155/15
                                                               163/7 164/12 167/7 167/8 171/11
plague [1] 33/3
                                                               Portland's [4] 8/1 8/2 68/1 111/23
plaintiff [7] 1/5 2/2 2/5 2/9 5/8 6/9 7/17
                                                               Portlanders [3] 19/9 56/23 108/5
plan [28] 10/23 43/15 58/4 58/5 60/6 84/15 84/19 99/15 99/16
                                                               portrait [1] 135/19
99/17 101/8 105/2 109/8 113/1 113/10 113/21 125/13 127/6
                                                               pose [1] 107/8
127/7 127/20 127/23 127/24 128/3 128/14 166/4 171/23 179/23
                                                               posed [1] 16/24
181/14
                                                               position [14] 39/18 56/22 57/1 74/18 86/8 130/18 142/10
plane [1] 134/22
                                                               149/10 150/5 150/18 150/20 161/3 168/16 170/7
plans [2] 96/22 102/13
                                                               positions [1] 141/5
plant [2] 71/7 71/24
                                                               positive [6] 16/15 83/15 89/11 110/10 120/8 179/8
plants [1] 71/4
                                                               positively [1] 40/25
platform [1] 48/2
                                                               possibilities [1] 77/9
play [5] 26/15 64/18 90/9 146/9 155/6
                                                               possibility [1] 16/8
```

```
principal [1] 98/3
                                                              principles [1] 10/17
possible [7] 18/19 31/13 55/20 130/14 138/15 162/13 181/25
                                                              prior [5] 22/6 42/9 143/20 143/24 163/23
possibly [4] 79/13 81/18 177/11 177/11
                                                              priority [2] 74/4 139/21
post [1] 167/4
                                                              privately [1] 80/19
posted [2] 22/12 57/6
                                                              privilege [1] 86/10
postmortem [2] 137/4 137/15
                                                              Privileges [1] 146/1
potential [10] 37/15 38/11 52/19 122/9 122/15 128/24 130/20
                                                              proactive [1] 110/7
131/4 175/9 175/16
                                                              proactively [1] 104/12
potentially [1] 121/4
                                                              probably [4] 42/24 150/15 179/1 180/17
poured [1] 11/15
                                                              problem [9] 44/13 45/4 117/10 124/14 129/10 137/1 137/18
power [2] 110/1 112/14
                                                              142/19 174/8
powerless [1] 44/3
                                                              problematic [7] 29/22 29/24 121/4 121/19 122/9 122/15 182/13
powers [1] 57/22
                                                              problems [5] 29/22 80/17 90/16 153/6 174/12
PPA [7] 10/17 27/9 27/13 28/23 134/16 149/7 174/15
                                                              procedural [6] 9/7 56/16 99/19 109/8 119/5 172/11
PPB [74] 32/1 57/23 58/1 62/1 64/15 64/19 69/4 76/23 92/6
                                                              procedurally [3] 118/13 119/1 171/21
92/16 92/18 96/9 96/23 96/25 97/3 97/11 97/14 97/20 97/23
                                                              procedures [2] 70/3 100/9
98/1 98/10 98/14 98/15 98/16 98/22 98/24 98/25 99/4 99/13
                                                              proceed [3] 6/8 54/19 95/3
99/14 99/17 99/21 100/1 100/8 100/12 100/13 100/16 100/24
                                                              proceeding [1] 11/5
100/25 101/4 101/7 101/10 102/4 102/6 102/11 102/15 104/5
                                                              proceedings [8] 1/13 26/9 26/21 53/21 75/3 95/1 151/16 184/7
104/9 105/10 106/8 107/3 108/9 108/20 108/24 109/1 109/5
                                                              process [42] 10/9 10/11 20/19 24/5 24/10 24/15 24/17 26/4
109/7 109/13 110/14 110/16 139/19 143/5 143/12 143/15 152/5
                                                              26/15 28/21 35/8 41/23 42/7 45/17 46/16 46/21 47/18 53/1
160/4 161/11 162/6 162/17 163/8 163/12 166/11 167/13 167/17
                                                               71/21 80/13 81/13 86/24 87/6 111/15 117/22 122/4 122/17
PPB' [1] 14/14
                                                               124/4 125/24 126/13 142/20 142/23 168/12 170/2 170/12 171/9
PPB's [20] 14/15 55/9 58/6 97/19 99/16 100/2 101/23 105/5
                                                               171/14 171/15 175/14 175/18 176/3 178/23
106/22 108/13 109/11 110/4 110/6 110/7 110/9 111/14 113/10
                                                              processes [1] 20/16
113/11 166/4 166/25
                                                              produce [1] 36/12
PPM [1] 163/17
                                                              produced [1] 140/22
PR [1] 82/3
                                                              producing [1] 123/4
practice [8] 16/5 61/21 106/16 107/14 109/11 116/7 119/4
                                                              production [1] 137/11
157/23
                                                              productive [1] 139/3
practiced [1] 98/17
                                                              productivity [1] 130/23
praises [1] 66/9
                                                              products [1] 57/19
preceding [1] 98/11
                                                              professional [5] 60/18 104/19 109/3 128/6 146/8
precinct [13] 74/9 74/11 74/15 113/14 117/13 128/16 128/20
                                                              professionals [2] 81/4 108/25
129/15 133/23 166/16 166/20 166/21 167/2
                                                              proficiency [2] 99/8 99/11
precinct-level [1] 128/20
                                                              profiling [2] 84/15 84/19
precincts [3] 60/25 63/6 100/23
                                                              profit [1] 105/12
precisely [2] 24/25 25/15
                                                              program [21] 22/4 22/6 22/8 22/9 22/10 22/13 22/14 33/24
preclude [1] 150/11
                                                              47/23 49/25 78/10 86/15 88/9 111/25 118/8 118/20 120/23
predecessors [1] 25/1
                                                               141/8 145/4 153/25 181/5
predict [2] 63/12 122/12
                                                              programs [11] 55/17 55/17 60/2 63/7 70/16 110/20 127/16
predominantly [1] 85/19
                                                              128/17 146/6 146/11 162/8
prefer [2] 182/17 183/8
                                                              progress [36] 13/10 26/22 31/25 32/22 34/23 37/14 49/21 52/23
preference [3] 94/2 94/7 181/24
                                                              55/11 55/15 62/1 68/2 68/17 72/23 75/4 75/24 75/25 75/25
preliminarily [1] 27/22
                                                               92/19 95/23 100/2 101/5 111/9 122/17 123/11 124/19 127/7
preliminary [1] 9/7
                                                              130/2 131/25 132/16 136/4 161/10 167/18 170/23 171/3 176/6
preparation [1] 9/6
                                                              progressed [1] 27/25
prepared [5] 48/1 91/21 159/25 166/10 166/12
                                                              progressive [1] 134/9
preponderance [2] 101/22 124/24
                                                              prohibition [2] 150/21 151/1
presence [1] 82/4
                                                              project [15] 74/18 88/12 88/20 104/20 124/9 127/8 127/8 127/9
present [10] 33/3 61/1 61/6 70/2 73/16 74/8 129/3 133/23
                                                              131/12 153/1 154/17 155/6 162/4 162/4 176/5
142/19 152/9
                                                              prolonged [1] 93/14
presentation [13] 6/12 9/8 40/8 93/23 94/3 94/6 94/9 95/2
                                                              promise [7] 34/23 36/12 38/11 52/19 175/8 175/15 178/12
109/3 113/23 129/19 133/25 149/17
                                                              promises [1] 56/21
presentations [3] 74/12 129/15 183/15
                                                              promising [1] 101/20
presented [13] 16/1 21/15 47/4 52/13 60/25 70/11 73/23 90/5
                                                              promote [3] 125/10 146/23 146/25
127/22 129/16 170/21 174/22 174/24
                                                              promoted [1] 73/8
presenting [3] 40/13 119/2 129/24
                                                              promotion [1] 143/13
presently [1] 131/3
                                                              prompt [1] 121/6
preserves [1] 16/8
                                                              prompting [1] 100/3
president [2] 8/17 75/14
                                                              properly [4] 59/15 65/4 65/21 153/16
pressure [1] 134/10
                                                              property [4] 141/11 141/16 141/19 141/21
presumed [1] 142/19
                                                              proposal [4] 88/8 180/4 180/5 180/7
pretend [1] 88/13
                                                              proposed [12] 8/4 13/8 13/22 36/22 65/19 124/9 174/16 174/19
pretty [3] 70/1 72/10 89/18
                                                              175/21 176/18 178/1 181/17
prevent [1] 116/6
                                                              pros [1] 87/24
previewed [1] 104/1
                                                              prosecution [1] 89/7
previous [2] 120/5 171/14
                                                              protect [1] 87/4
previously [8] 10/11 59/20 99/13 102/20 104/5 107/11 119/20
                                                              protected [1] 79/15
163/23
                                                              protesters [1] 64/19
price [1] 122/23
                                                              protocol [1] 110/18
primary [1] 161/9
                                                              protocols [1] 123/16
```

Р R proud [4] 51/14 51/15 83/9 92/18 race [8] 43/17 50/22 61/14 61/16 61/17 63/4 112/20 128/25 racial [6] 84/15 84/19 85/1 85/13 160/14 167/18 prove [1] 25/17 provide [25] 22/18 23/5 23/17 33/23 42/2 60/13 69/14 75/7 racism [1] 51/12 92/10 93/7 102/5 105/22 113/11 113/19 127/23 142/23 144/6 radiation [1] 71/25 144/15 144/20 146/21 159/2 159/13 160/24 169/4 178/5 raise [1] 19/22 provided [14] 24/16 30/24 33/11 97/15 101/17 116/21 116/22 raised [6] 13/18 14/10 23/7 23/11 120/12 165/12 120/4 125/17 136/15 137/3 150/2 166/14 168/10 ramifications [1] 135/14 providers [2] 105/13 144/17 ran [1] 137/7 provides [2] 95/12 161/7 rapidly [1] 173/21 providing [12] 12/10 22/10 46/17 47/10 98/15 98/18 103/18 rare [1] 62/4 119/12 120/2 160/5 161/23 178/8 rates [2] 121/11 121/20 rather [10] 26/14 32/15 44/1 65/15 98/16 100/13 105/9 115/23 provisions [9] 54/23 73/5 95/6 95/20 95/21 101/25 104/5 105/25 106/4 155/25 162/6 proximity [1] 144/10 rating [2] 58/7 58/9 psychiatric [1] 146/6 **RDR [2]** 3/23 184/12 **psychotic** [1] 76/25 reach [3] 30/2 102/12 104/12 public [90] 3/3 6/4 6/17 6/21 6/24 7/2 7/2 7/4 9/12 10/14 11/18 reached [3] 67/8 118/16 158/24 reaching [3] 74/21 158/23 159/13 11/24 11/24 11/25 12/2 12/12 13/18 15/8 16/16 21/13 25/25 26/9 26/12 31/10 31/12 41/14 42/9 45/16 47/20 47/22 53/8 61/5 reactor [1] 71/5 read [15] 7/13 7/15 7/17 8/1 8/5 8/13 9/5 44/2 55/2 55/8 75/15 61/8 66/4 68/12 71/11 71/12 71/17 71/20 72/3 72/17 73/4 73/6 73/9 73/11 73/23 74/8 74/15 75/1 79/9 90/3 90/5 90/8 90/9 83/21 136/1 138/2 145/25 90/10 102/4 107/10 110/3 111/15 112/22 113/9 113/12 115/22 reading [3] 28/2 77/11 158/15 ready [7] 9/8 28/12 34/14 39/9 39/16 95/3 178/16 127/3 128/23 146/25 147/3 147/5 147/11 147/16 147/24 148/3 148/9 148/19 149/9 149/11 150/1 155/17 155/22 156/8 156/10 real [2] 11/15 82/4 157/2 158/19 159/2 160/5 161/7 162/19 172/15 183/14 183/16 realistic [3] 51/13 93/1 165/22 public's [2] 9/17 133/7 reality [2] 79/10 110/6 public-interested [1] 6/24 really [34] 29/17 33/12 35/11 47/1 47/7 52/7 54/16 64/8 67/11 publicly [4] 58/11 91/23 97/15 143/14 68/19 75/16 88/12 88/12 130/5 132/19 133/13 135/16 135/17 published [1] 61/3 135/20 147/24 148/11 153/10 154/20 154/25 156/2 156/22 pulled [2] 26/13 62/2 157/4 157/5 157/23 171/8 171/8 175/13 177/2 178/6 pulse [1] 83/19 realtime [1] 137/21 purely [1] 142/17 reason [6] 18/5 26/23 35/6 114/23 147/1 178/21 purposes [1] 143/7 reasonable [26] 10/12 11/11 13/23 16/3 20/20 45/7 67/8 124/13 pursuant [1] 10/9 127/20 162/16 168/25 170/16 170/17 172/13 173/9 173/15 173/21 174/23 175/6 176/16 176/19 179/4 179/5 179/25 180/3 pursue [1] 81/14 purview [1] 67/5 180/8 push [3] 36/7 153/10 167/4 reasonableness [1] 117/8 pushed [1] 166/24 reasonably [3] 102/16 109/18 123/21 put [22] 10/8 12/8 18/7 19/24 20/1 32/4 33/19 36/6 39/8 41/14 reasons [15] 12/19 12/21 16/1 23/22 26/25 46/6 50/4 56/6 61/6 47/15 60/22 62/4 63/9 70/3 81/23 91/1 91/25 155/3 161/2 167/3 88/20 110/23 123/18 147/17 169/13 179/13 176/10 reassure [1] 69/22 recall [6] 87/17 89/8 89/9 89/11 98/4 99/23 **putting [1]** 37/1 receipt [1] 123/8 receive [5] 106/14 117/10 119/10 121/24 161/16 qualifications [1] 99/6 received [24] 7/12 7/15 7/17 8/1 8/5 8/13 8/16 8/20 8/23 9/1 qualified [3] 24/7 24/8 104/19 17/3 17/22 22/3 58/8 74/11 75/13 77/12 108/20 109/3 114/24 qualitative [14] 8/8 14/11 17/6 18/3 18/6 18/12 32/3 36/2 36/23 116/12 118/9 172/4 172/4 37/11 41/20 41/21 134/13 140/18 receives [2] 161/11 161/13 qualitatively [1] 108/19 receiving [1] 128/2 quality [9] 55/17 59/24 60/3 105/15 106/17 110/14 118/5 120/14 recent [5] 13/7 71/4 81/18 84/23 152/21 135/12 recently [11] 15/4 62/4 70/8 77/11 78/24 83/17 84/18 87/16 quantitative [12] 8/8 11/16 14/12 17/5 17/14 29/7 31/9 32/2 107/12 120/4 178/4 36/23 37/18 41/19 134/13 recess [15] 45/16 45/17 53/6 53/9 53/14 53/18 53/19 53/20 quantitatively [1] 109/11 94/13 94/15 94/17 151/10 151/14 151/15 183/19 quantity [2] 55/16 60/1 recognition [2] 52/25 114/24 quarter [7] 59/1 94/5 97/19 97/21 118/17 125/15 139/13 recognize [8] 32/7 75/17 91/17 92/2 105/7 135/2 135/16 160/4 quarterly [6] 21/15 31/17 63/9 125/17 132/3 137/24 recognized [2] 82/20 162/25 question [27] 16/21 17/3 34/7 34/20 37/1 38/17 38/24 40/19 recognizing [3] 93/7 108/16 110/19 59/24 67/7 68/25 87/13 88/7 90/23 93/22 114/1 114/1 131/15 recollections [1] 89/15 132/18 140/1 151/5 170/13 176/17 179/19 180/18 180/18 183/2 **recommend** [1] 77/8 recommendation [5] 70/9 70/11 70/13 154/3 154/6 questioned [1] 141/4 questions [13] 16/23 34/16 65/20 68/25 73/15 73/17 79/20 81/2 recommendations [28] 13/5 13/8 19/22 19/24 48/17 57/6 57/10 95/16 102/22 113/24 176/10 179/10 59/10 73/18 73/21 73/23 74/6 74/7 82/20 92/10 111/18 112/10 queued [1] 44/6 113/10 117/19 122/3 125/25 141/10 146/1 147/4 147/6 147/20 quickly [4] 30/19 127/12 130/14 164/24 166/5 166/6 recommended [3] 13/7 57/20 84/22 quit [2] 56/6 56/9 quite [5] 11/7 11/7 11/8 38/14 77/20 reconciliation [1] 134/4 quiz [1] 99/11 record [16] 5/9 11/3 27/13 54/10 55/3 82/1 89/17 91/1 91/23 quizzed [1] 97/10 114/10 168/21 168/22 168/24 179/8 179/13 184/7 quorum [3] 31/22 66/6 149/20 recorded [1] 143/5 quote [3] 64/7 145/25 146/16 recording [4] 143/5 143/8 143/10 143/17

R relocating [1] 113/4 relying [1] 92/9 recordings [5] 142/24 143/3 143/12 143/14 143/16 remain [5] 28/14 39/13 74/24 105/23 160/9 recordkeeping [1] 118/9 remainder [1] 154/2 records [10] 100/5 118/18 121/6 136/9 136/10 136/10 136/12 remained [1] 74/18 136/13 138/7 138/8 remaining [6] 117/19 118/21 127/16 138/1 151/7 180/6 recovery [2] 146/11 162/2 remains [3] 104/4 113/8 170/15 recruiting [1] 125/22 remarks [7] 18/18 18/20 91/21 151/22 159/25 160/19 162/23 redaction [2] 138/9 139/5 remedy [2] 136/22 136/22 redefining [1] 146/19 remember [1] 84/21 reduce [2] 131/17 162/5 remind [4] 9/11 84/13 85/17 89/3 reduced [3] 105/2 124/20 145/5 reminded [1] 107/11 reduction [4] 32/11 32/17 62/8 85/4 reminder [1] 121/13 reductions [1] 167/11 reminds [2] 72/9 121/10 redundancy [1] 115/13 remotely [1] 73/15 **REEVE [5]** 2/22 5/20 20/5 159/19 167/21 remove [1] 134/11 refer [3] 134/4 134/5 137/23 removed [1] 30/4 reference [3] 9/23 43/17 139/12 Renaud [6] 40/14 42/1 42/4 45/12 140/19 149/16 referenced [1] 136/1 renew [2] 9/19 27/1 references [1] 155/5 renewed [5] 11/1 21/3 21/6 159/22 168/2 referral [2] 106/24 114/5 repair [2] 43/23 71/14 referred [2] 131/25 145/2 repairing [1] 134/2 referring [2] 63/4 120/7 repeatedly [1] 108/18 refers [1] 114/22 repeating [1] 107/13 refill [1] 113/5 **repetitive [1]** 160/1 refine [1] 161/21 replace [4] 28/25 125/5 180/5 180/7 reflect [1] 114/2 replaced [2] 12/25 56/10 reflected [2] 124/19 144/19 replacement [1] 29/4 reflects [5] 9/24 11/3 82/12 107/3 107/6 replica [1] 165/22 reform [7] 3/6 3/10 6/14 8/7 10/19 26/16 117/22 repopulated [1] 126/13 reforms [5] 67/11 115/25 117/24 163/14 167/7 report [60] 7/19 8/5 8/14 20/25 26/20 57/22 59/2 61/2 61/7 refresher [2] 106/14 108/15 61/17 61/24 61/25 61/25 62/5 66/2 66/5 73/8 74/8 85/2 88/16 refused [1] 66/20 89/23 89/25 90/12 95/11 95/15 95/16 96/9 98/6 98/12 100/1 regard [5] 14/12 69/16 152/9 160/18 166/7 102/24 103/21 106/5 113/11 113/20 123/13 125/15 125/18 regarding [8] 18/15 23/20 76/17 93/24 117/8 118/12 141/4 129/9 129/12 129/13 129/15 129/16 132/3 132/4 133/23 134/1 134/5 134/12 137/24 138/19 139/13 152/21 166/7 166/10 regardless [2] 150/17 150/19 166/12 166/18 169/24 170/4 177/18 regards [2] 133/4 153/5 reported [12] 6/20 7/20 62/19 72/4 72/5 96/14 104/5 116/11 Regina [1] 6/24 122/17 123/2 128/22 173/5 region [1] 104/25 reporter [3] 3/23 54/10 184/12 regional [1] 108/20 reporting [9] 21/1 66/10 97/4 98/8 98/9 98/18 101/11 102/1 regular [2] 93/12 113/19 169/8 regularly [7] 11/17 15/7 92/11 104/7 110/10 110/17 126/2 reports [22] 13/20 21/15 31/17 55/1 60/22 60/24 61/2 61/5 63/9 regulations [1] 95/13 64/11 66/24 67/1 67/9 72/22 97/8 97/11 109/7 127/13 129/10 rehash [1] 29/20 133/19 158/15 166/22 rehearse [1] 119/8 represent [4] 79/24 80/12 81/1 148/6 reinforcing [1] 120/6 representation [1] 92/13 reinvestigation [1] 59/13 representative [3] 6/16 45/14 147/19 reiteration [1] 84/20 representatives [3] 6/16 46/24 72/20 reject [2] 34/10 34/21 represented [2] 80/9 92/14 rejecting [2] 16/11 34/22 representing [2] 9/17 72/15 rejection [1] 16/8 represents [1] 122/14 relate [3] 13/20 13/22 43/20 repress [1] 79/12 related [14] 21/4 57/11 60/6 69/12 74/14 104/13 118/24 119/5 request [21] 6/7 23/16 54/3 54/9 57/22 69/14 107/18 107/19 121/20 137/24 138/3 138/16 157/21 158/11 136/19 137/21 139/2 139/3 139/4 139/20 139/20 139/21 141/15 **Relatedly [1]** 26/8 142/23 143/8 143/10 148/25 relates [5] 7/23 71/8 113/8 141/6 159/6 requested [5] 63/8 84/10 93/25 119/3 147/12 relating [1] 6/10 requesting [1] 137/19 relations [9] 84/16 115/21 125/11 126/1 126/19 134/2 146/24 requests [2] 6/21 137/2 148/24 152/4 require [1] 96/15 relationship [4] 32/5 79/21 114/6 127/19 required [27] 26/10 37/21 61/6 65/21 73/3 74/11 84/25 87/25 relationships [7] 14/11 14/17 15/6 43/23 52/3 52/7 146/18 89/23 99/8 100/5 102/7 103/2 104/17 115/25 117/12 121/1 relative [1] 103/3 121/16 122/24 127/5 127/24 128/5 128/24 129/2 149/8 160/25 relatively [3] 43/19 87/16 107/9 169/11 release [4] 113/12 129/10 133/22 143/21 requirement [8] 121/5 123/5 123/11 145/11 150/1 150/22 released [4] 10/5 57/13 128/10 129/12 162/14 162/17 releasing [1] 129/13 requirements [11] 75/6 96/11 97/4 97/10 98/9 122/13 128/15 relegated [1] 61/16 152/6 168/6 168/8 168/9 relevant [4] 14/19 72/21 110/16 144/18 requires [12] 22/19 39/13 62/24 100/19 100/23 101/2 118/22 reliability [1] 120/12 123/6 125/1 129/8 138/9 138/13 reliable [3] 106/16 109/11 123/4 requiring [3] 99/1 118/17 118/19 relies [1] 163/3 research [2] 57/12 153/1 religious [1] 43/18 reservations [1] 88/4

right [44] 6/1 15/19 18/13 18/14 25/22 27/6 37/20 38/17 49/4 R 51/23 53/19 53/23 54/6 54/19 66/15 75/22 83/6 86/19 87/2 90/7 reserved [2] 36/11 138/11 94/11 94/13 114/18 115/3 129/20 151/17 152/5 153/2 156/10 resident [1] 46/5 159/18 169/18 170/11 171/18 172/21 173/18 177/1 178/14 residential [1] 107/24 180/9 180/14 181/21 182/9 182/18 182/23 183/9 residents [3] 84/1 118/13 128/18 **rightfully [1]** 170/3 resignation [1] 22/6 rights [8] 2/10 5/10 14/18 22/7 103/17 145/16 146/2 154/17 resignations [2] 74/1 113/3 rigorous [3] 97/14 98/9 118/5 resigned [6] 21/18 23/20 23/22 23/24 57/17 126/8 rise [2] 14/2 142/16 resilience [1] 14/2 risen [1] 31/3 resistance [3] 132/19 164/17 164/19 rising [1] 131/22 resolution [1] 106/23 risk [11] 100/24 101/1 107/9 108/2 114/4 121/3 122/9 122/10 resolve [1] 138/16 122/12 122/13 127/15 resolved [2] 56/4 120/12 **RMR [1]** 184/12 resource [3] 91/3 112/16 146/19 robust [1] 101/2 resources [9] 41/7 81/24 90/7 102/19 125/22 131/11 144/9 role [11] 14/8 26/15 56/16 56/19 64/18 89/11 91/12 92/22 144/19 160/24 118/23 142/11 146/5 respect [16] 17/14 22/24 25/11 25/12 27/12 35/9 47/21 60/19 role-playing [1] 118/23 95/16 96/8 99/25 101/3 103/16 159/5 159/15 171/10 roles [1] 146/8 respectful [2] 83/15 119/8 room [10] 2/16 3/24 42/15 48/11 93/10 93/11 115/20 154/20 respectfully [1] 35/5 156/1 158/1 respond [10] 24/23 34/17 37/4 73/17 104/20 108/5 119/22 Rosenbaum [4] 115/4 115/6 129/18 138/23 136/24 152/19 162/5 round [2] 13/4 127/9 responded [5] 13/6 74/6 98/25 109/14 147/14 RU [1] 122/5 responder [1] 162/7 rude [1] 155/23 responders [3] 86/19 87/4 161/3 rug [1] 26/13 responding [3] 107/19 122/3 136/20 rule [1] 9/15 responds [1] 83/14 ruled [1] 10/11 response [28] 16/12 17/3 31/16 36/24 37/1 64/15 86/12 86/18 rules [1] 65/4 89/11 97/6 104/14 104/17 105/17 105/21 106/7 106/9 106/15 ruling [2] 10/25 21/5 107/5 108/21 109/12 110/7 120/20 120/21 124/9 133/10 137/20 run [4] 48/1 71/14 83/7 177/18 139/4 161/20 running [1] 14/6 responses [4] 57/7 57/10 119/13 149/6 rushed [1] 28/18 responsibilities [1] 73/4 responsibility [1] 71/22 responsible [1] 100/14 safe [6] 39/23 40/6 44/20 50/5 70/21 80/9 responsive [2] 99/17 120/1 **safely [1]** 133/8 rest [2] 11/20 113/16 **safer [1]** 84/10 restoring [1] 15/14 safety [6] 3/3 102/4 146/25 160/5 161/7 162/19 restriction [1] 102/6 said [29] 16/21 17/1 22/22 30/8 33/9 38/9 49/12 52/8 52/18 restrooms [1] 144/22 61/21 75/25 80/7 80/15 81/17 81/17 82/16 89/3 89/9 90/18 restructuring [1] 146/20 92/17 99/13 152/5 170/8 175/7 177/21 178/4 179/18 180/12 result [10] 25/6 35/1 59/9 74/1 106/19 109/18 116/25 124/18 180/12 160/11 161/2 sake [1] 92/8 resulted [3] 107/14 158/21 167/10 salmon [1] 71/25 resulting [2] 55/18 66/11 same [14] 33/6 55/10 60/18 61/2 67/9 68/17 80/16 84/8 85/5 results [9] 60/4 66/16 70/18 96/16 118/7 128/9 128/12 134/13 85/5 85/6 135/10 145/17 155/11 sample [2] 97/25 101/21 resumed [3] 53/21 95/1 151/16 sanction [1] 82/7 retaliated [1] 65/18 sat [1] 137/6 retaliation [2] 65/5 65/7 satisfied [3] 117/18 176/18 178/1 retreat [2] 14/5 50/8 saved [2] 77/3 110/7 returned [1] 132/25 saw [2] 17/21 25/2 revamping [1] 174/20 say [58] 8/7 15/16 18/8 25/18 26/5 26/6 27/22 28/22 30/13 reveal [1] 138/6 30/18 31/2 33/8 34/20 35/20 39/9 39/15 40/3 40/25 46/22 47/17 revealed [2] 118/14 121/11 50/15 58/4 62/9 67/14 67/17 68/16 69/5 70/19 81/12 82/1 89/22 **Reverend [2]** 14/10 15/13 91/4 91/5 91/22 92/4 92/17 108/7 108/8 111/6 112/5 115/15 Reverend Dr. Haynes [1] 14/10 132/16 134/12 138/24 139/22 141/1 142/7 147/11 148/22 review [31] 15/5 58/18 59/7 66/8 67/1 69/12 85/17 89/24 97/25 150/19 153/18 156/12 157/6 165/3 165/8 170/20 171/19 178/12 101/8 101/20 102/2 111/14 111/22 116/17 116/23 117/6 121/7 saying [11] 33/15 36/11 37/12 39/18 49/2 62/13 64/15 77/1 121/8 122/19 126/4 129/4 136/14 142/24 143/1 164/10 165/10 133/13 147/14 149/14 166/11 166/18 166/19 166/23 says [8] 56/25 59/10 64/10 65/5 66/5 137/24 165/16 176/14 reviewed [10] 57/23 72/21 96/22 100/11 119/11 123/16 128/6 scarce [1] 112/16 143/19 166/4 166/23 scattered [1] 29/8 reviewing [5] 55/11 55/14 88/16 116/14 166/2 scenario [3] 99/10 119/7 119/11 reviews [7] 97/22 117/5 117/9 121/6 121/10 121/13 121/17 scenarios [3] 96/25 99/7 118/23 revised [4] 102/3 116/20 117/22 122/3 scene [4] 66/18 89/22 102/4 102/5 revising [2] 120/1 120/1 scenes [1] 158/1 revision [1] 10/14 schedule [2] 69/12 182/23 revisions [1] 101/11 scheduled [10] 11/17 11/18 11/19 11/20 29/8 29/12 30/7 74/15 ride [2] 33/19 97/8 149/19 166/21 ride-alongs [1] 97/8 scheduling [1] 22/12 riding [1] 62/18 school [1] 46/5

```
S
                                                               service [13] 47/21 93/8 99/2 99/2 99/15 104/14 104/24 105/13
                                                               106/13 120/4 120/22 121/21 161/24
scientific [1] 60/5
                                                              services [32] 12/15 23/17 60/13 74/23 104/3 104/8 104/10
Scientists [1] 72/5
                                                               104/13 104/22 105/4 105/8 105/20 105/25 106/25 110/8 111/13
scope [1] 152/16
                                                               111/24 114/16 114/21 119/18 144/11 144/20 144/21 146/6
screened [1] 74/2
                                                               160/5 160/23 160/25 161/7 161/23 162/1 162/2 162/18
scripted [1] 82/3
                                                              serving [4] 22/8 51/15 58/13 77/19
Sean [1] 78/7
                                                              session [2] 53/23 95/1
seat [2] 83/12 135/6
                                                              sessions [1] 14/22
seated [6] 28/14 51/25 53/22 58/12 126/7 166/19
                                                              set [12] 5/5 11/11 15/20 20/16 69/1 108/7 113/21 120/14 129/3
seats [1] 126/9
                                                               147/19 158/25 182/16
second [12] 8/2 13/3 13/4 16/2 26/1 26/6 69/7 79/18 80/4 99/21
                                                              setbacks [1] 51/24
125/14 172/11
                                                              sets [1] 10/5
second-class [2] 26/1 26/6
                                                              setting [3] 14/6 72/23 146/16
second-generation [1] 80/4
                                                              settings [2] 96/24 159/2
section [25] 63/4 95/7 95/22 95/22 95/23 98/21 99/25 101/3
                                                              settlement [136] 6/2 7/5 7/21 8/4 9/20 10/20 15/21 16/2 16/18
101/4 104/2 106/2 110/25 111/3 113/17 116/11 117/19 117/21
                                                               18/7 19/16 20/12 20/17 20/20 20/23 21/4 21/22 24/12 24/16
118/3 119/16 119/17 121/1 122/16 125/1 125/9 144/1
                                                               24/17 24/19 24/19 24/24 25/16 26/2 26/18 27/2 27/15 28/2 28/4
Section 3 [3] 95/7 116/11 117/19
                                                               29/2 31/16 32/3 34/13 37/13 40/18 41/22 42/16 48/23 53/1
Section 4 [3] 98/21 117/21 118/3
                                                               54/24 55/20 67/24 69/13 69/24 73/5 75/6 76/16 80/1 84/7 84/14
Section 5 [2] 119/17 144/1
                                                               84/17 84/21 85/8 85/10 85/23 87/25 88/1 88/3 90/19 93/25 95/6
Section 6 [3] 106/2 110/25 119/16
                                                               95/20 95/24 96/3 96/11 100/19 102/1 103/2 110/21 112/8
Section 7 [2] 99/25 121/1
                                                               112/19 113/16 115/10 116/1 120/25 122/13 123/6 125/3 127/25
Section 8 [3] 95/22 101/3 122/16
                                                               130/2 130/11 131/16 131/23 134/17 135/14 140/15 144/1
Section 9 [5] 95/22 111/3 113/17 125/1 125/9
                                                               145/22 146/12 147/25 152/3 152/13 152/14 156/15 158/11
sections [5] 95/21 96/3 104/2 116/10 119/13
                                                               158/18 158/25 159/12 159/15 160/6 160/8 160/12 160/16
see [53] 13/9 13/10 18/8 34/2 34/4 34/7 34/12 36/11 37/14
                                                               161/10 162/14 162/17 162/21 162/24 165/6 167/16 168/2 168/7
37/20 38/8 39/5 39/19 40/23 41/18 44/7 46/7 46/9 48/6 49/4
                                                               168/11 168/12 170/19 170/20 171/7 171/19 172/10 172/11
49/14 49/23 52/3 68/17 68/19 69/5 69/8 69/16 69/17 69/21 70/5
                                                               172/13 172/20 172/23 173/9 173/14 173/20 174/6 174/10 176/7
70/18 81/6 96/16 130/14 131/5 140/22 145/10 149/3 149/4
                                                               176/12 176/13 179/23 180/21 180/21 180/25
153/10 164/3 176/11 176/14 176/15 176/17 176/21 176/22
                                                              settlements [1] 103/13
177/14 178/17 179/8 180/13 181/4
                                                              seven [8] 11/17 12/19 17/5 21/12 57/24 63/23 63/25 107/16
seeds [1] 109/10
                                                              seventh [1] 21/18
seeing [6] 32/8 48/11 75/11 93/18 133/18 153/11
                                                              several [18] 36/21 47/22 56/14 66/7 73/21 76/23 77/3 87/15
seek [3] 47/2 96/7 127/25
                                                               88/20 91/25 113/15 119/14 126/7 129/5 134/6 163/1 174/7
seeking [1] 18/2
                                                               174/7
seeks [2] 125/25 170/3
                                                              severe [2] 42/21 76/22
seem [4] 62/16 75/24 77/23 131/22
                                                              sex [2] 128/25 142/2
seems [15] 32/12 36/6 55/15 59/25 62/18 64/5 94/8 130/3
                                                              shall [1] 69/5
132/21 148/19 150/25 175/14 179/5 179/5 183/9
                                                              share [8] 11/16 24/22 38/9 42/21 44/15 53/7 155/4 176/25
seen [21] 9/1 9/5 28/7 31/6 34/12 38/1 38/13 60/23 77/6 77/7
                                                              shared [2] 143/14 143/17
82/21 89/4 89/6 110/14 113/2 117/15 120/20 138/20 170/23
                                                              sharing [2] 44/17 81/20
171/3 171/4
                                                              Sharon [1] 78/22
sees [1] 90/8
                                                              Sharon Gary-Smith [1] 78/22
selected [2] 24/5 49/21
                                                              she [14] 56/10 65/12 65/15 80/24 82/11 82/13 154/18 156/7
selectively [1] 65/15
                                                               163/13 163/14 163/15 165/7 165/7 165/8
self [11] 15/17 23/14 23/15 33/16 33/17 108/2 112/12 141/15
                                                              sheet [1] 6/19
149/9 149/15 151/5
                                                              shifted [1] 160/20
self-determination [3] 23/14 149/9 149/15
                                                              shock [1] 142/4
self-determining [1] 151/5
                                                              shooting [2] 98/20 164/8
self-direct [2] 33/16 33/17
                                                              shootings [7] 63/17 63/21 66/1 165/17 165/19 165/20 165/23
self-directed [1] 15/17
                                                              shored [2] 99/13 99/21
self-direction [1] 112/12
                                                              short [6] 19/4 21/21 45/15 82/12 134/19 138/2
self-facilitate [1] 23/15
                                                              shortcoming [1] 16/12
self-harm [1] 141/15
                                                              shortly [1] 97/20
sell [1] 88/25
                                                              shot [3] 15/12 61/19 61/19
sellers [1] 89/12
                                                              should [57] 19/14 19/15 19/20 34/2 34/7 36/5 39/1 47/5 48/20
send [6] 80/19 87/2 107/16 108/7 108/8 120/6
                                                               51/23 58/7 58/10 60/23 62/13 63/7 66/13 74/4 74/13 74/16 75/7
sending [3] 86/20 86/21 100/13
                                                               83/6 85/14 86/19 89/24 91/3 100/10 100/21 111/6 116/6 118/10
senior [1] 154/16
                                                               119/21 130/11 130/12 138/15 141/19 141/20 141/24 142/20
sense [4] 48/5 75/10 157/18 158/3
                                                               142/24 143/3 143/5 143/8 143/10 143/12 143/14 143/16 143/17
sent [5] 8/25 9/2 54/22 59/10 59/12
                                                               143/20 144/9 146/5 146/7 148/15 150/6 164/10 164/10 170/4
separate [4] 57/8 97/3 99/1 166/20
                                                               173/8
separated [1] 34/4
                                                              shouldn't [3] 13/24 142/7 142/12
separately [2] 8/23 105/5
                                                              shout [1] 36/9
separation [1] 43/25
                                                               show [9] 14/2 44/12 48/14 60/6 65/6 75/23 98/6 100/25 136/20
September [2] 63/24 166/11
                                                              showed [7] 29/16 59/2 84/24 97/12 98/1 101/22 102/2
series [2] 100/8 137/4
                                                              showers [1] 144/22
serious [6] 27/24 55/14 64/17 65/25 123/15 162/12
                                                              showing [4] 50/10 106/17 169/23 172/7
seriousness [1] 123/25
                                                              shown [4] 29/3 103/12 158/22 158/22
serve [5] 9/15 80/16 87/4 110/21 161/21
                                                              shows [7] 34/23 34/23 38/11 50/20 52/19 86/9 132/4
served [3] 76/15 79/15 148/13
                                                              SI [2] 1/5 5/6
serves [1] 15/5
                                                              side [2] 36/23 154/12
```

```
S
                                                               society [1] 160/19
                                                               software [1] 99/22
sight [1] 164/6
                                                               solicit [2] 45/7 112/9
sign [4] 6/19 41/3 78/22 179/3
                                                               solidify [1] 50/1
sign-off [1] 179/3
                                                               solution [2] 136/18 175/10
sign-up [1] 6/19
                                                               solutions [1] 100/20
signature [4] 57/8 184/9 184/9 184/9
                                                               some [113] 10/22 11/16 13/17 14/11 17/12 17/24 17/24 18/17
signed [2] 63/20 184/9
                                                               19/13 22/18 27/24 28/1 29/9 30/1 30/1 30/3 30/8 30/15 32/7
significant [11] 23/13 25/3 68/2 81/24 95/24 101/9 145/9
                                                               33/18 33/21 33/22 34/17 36/19 38/21 39/8 39/8 39/16 41/5 42/1
145/11 146/17 171/21 173/22
                                                               42/19 43/14 44/12 47/1 48/10 48/15 51/4 52/7 55/4 55/14 56/19
significantly [5] 63/2 85/7 98/7 98/10 100/3
                                                               58/12 64/5 67/6 67/17 68/24 69/8 69/23 70/17 70/17 71/23 81/1
signing [1] 184/6
                                                               81/10 83/21 84/13 88/1 88/3 88/4 101/5 102/10 111/8 112/13
signs [3] 105/7 108/16 110/19
                                                               115/14 116/9 119/20 122/25 124/8 125/19 130/3 132/16 132/22
silver [1] 162/9
                                                               133/20 134/3 134/12 134/23 137/21 141/5 141/7 142/8 142/19
similar [4] 56/6 112/2 146/10 163/14
                                                               148/16 150/10 151/1 151/2 151/12 151/24 151/25 155/21
Similarly [1] 63/4
                                                               157/25 159/2 159/20 159/21 162/24 163/8 163/22 164/7 164/13
SIMON [9] 1/14 28/13 64/25 71/22 72/6 76/13 87/5 88/7 137/23
                                                               164/18 164/20 165/2 165/9 165/11 166/1 168/1 172/14 172/14
simply [5] 22/25 25/7 38/7 114/1 138/13
                                                               172/15 173/8 176/4 176/25 178/19 179/9 181/11
simultaneous [1] 122/11
                                                               somebody [1] 50/1
since [27] 11/6 12/18 21/9 21/10 59/5 60/22 61/18 63/2 63/22
                                                               someday [1] 26/13
66/14 67/24 83/10 84/14 85/22 85/23 91/16 98/7 115/6 125/24
                                                               somehow [2] 26/2 44/18
126/2 126/7 131/22 141/3 154/7 161/6 165/20 180/3
                                                               someone [8] 33/18 51/11 134/21 136/3 142/9 148/21 156/6
sincere [1] 72/25
single [6] 11/3 18/11 47/7 57/11 57/24 161/11
                                                               something [32] 7/16 8/9 9/3 24/19 24/20 25/21 37/3 37/7 38/1
singularities [1] 43/4
                                                               39/10 48/6 48/13 48/24 69/16 69/21 79/18 82/3 84/19 85/14
sir [5] 28/12 36/15 53/3 91/10 159/16
                                                               87/15 90/3 91/22 117/16 135/17 139/5 148/10 148/14 169/3
sister [1] 18/18
                                                               172/2 172/9 175/23 179/4
sit [3] 51/6 135/4 156/9
                                                               sometimes [10] 30/20 30/22 33/16 97/9 148/19 155/18 155/22
site [1] 114/13
                                                               155/23 157/1 157/16
sites [3] 29/9 29/9 29/9
                                                               somewhat [1] 56/4
sits [1] 15/2
                                                               somewhere [2] 42/20 142/9
sitting [2] 83/7 83/12
                                                               son [1] 76/21
situate [1] 10/7
                                                               soon [1] 72/10
situation [3] 64/16 102/8 156/5
                                                               SOP [2] 100/16 122/4
six [25] 8/11 13/1 21/1 21/17 33/5 35/6 40/2 44/19 45/8 49/10
                                                               SOPs [1] 100/9
51/12 57/16 74/19 80/21 121/14 123/12 168/5 169/24 170/22
                                                               sorry [3] 89/21 169/6 180/17
176/25 177/16 177/18 179/9 180/14 181/5
                                                               sort [5] 26/1 75/10 116/3 148/4 158/2
six-plus [1] 170/22
                                                               sorts [2] 97/11 114/16
sized [1] 70/2
                                                               sought [2] 102/4 146/9
skeptical [1] 175/22
                                                               sound [4] 40/24 40/25 41/25 128/7
skeptics [1] 87/17
                                                               sounds [1] 153/21
skill [2] 43/21 99/8
                                                               space [4] 12/16 29/11 47/1 50/5
skills [5] 96/20 99/7 99/10 119/5 119/8
                                                               sparkling [2] 36/8 36/10
skin [1] 48/12
                                                               sparse [1] 126/7
slammed [1] 156/10
                                                               speak [24] 6/21 7/4 27/19 43/15 44/23 46/3 46/4 53/14 54/11
slate [1] 13/3
                                                               61/16 76/13 77/22 83/5 86/23 95/7 95/9 151/24 152/1 154/1
slated [1] 113/7
                                                               154/1 154/7 156/20 182/13 182/14
sleeping [1] 44/8
                                                               speakers [1] 96/4
slight [1] 98/8
                                                               speaking [4] 40/6 42/11 42/12 76/20
slightly [1] 168/4
                                                               speaks [1] 45/18
slowly [1] 54/11
                                                               special [1] 97/13
small [6] 21/16 71/5 101/6 164/23 164/25 165/1
                                                               specialist [1] 108/8
Smith [4] 54/4 78/20 78/22 82/24
                                                               specific [8] 17/2 55/13 114/1 129/9 144/18 149/7 158/10 178/9
snapshot [1] 13/25
                                                               specifically [8] 8/17 36/22 96/15 119/6 128/17 142/13 145/21
so [153] 6/20 7/14 8/12 9/7 15/15 17/17 18/19 19/25 20/14 21/1
                                                               152/14
21/19 21/21 23/3 23/20 25/4 25/18 26/6 28/6 28/19 29/16 31/22
                                                               spectrum [1] 109/2
34/11 36/7 36/8 37/7 37/15 38/1 38/1 38/13 41/25 43/7 43/15
                                                               speed [1] 13/2
44/10 44/17 44/19 44/24 45/8 45/10 46/23 48/14 50/18 50/25
                                                               spelled [1] 106/4
51/7 51/13 51/14 52/21 53/25 54/7 54/10 54/14 63/10 63/13
                                                               spending [1] 88/19
66/7 66/20 67/16 69/5 69/17 69/19 73/13 78/9 78/24 79/14 81/5
                                                               spent [1] 43/11
81/12 81/14 81/18 82/1 82/7 82/17 84/19 88/6 88/14 90/25 91/7
                                                               spirit [3] 42/10 147/25 171/6
91/18 92/4 92/14 93/8 94/14 97/17 104/8 104/22 106/5 112/1
                                                               spoken [1] 139/19
112/22 116/9 117/10 117/18 122/24 123/19 128/10 132/18
                                                               sporadically [1] 33/1
133/9 133/21 134/8 135/18 137/1 140/13 141/7 141/10 142/8
                                                               spot [2] 37/2 101/17
142/20 144/3 145/6 145/25 146/11 147/1 147/24 148/10 148/25
                                                               spots [1] 92/8
150/16 152/7 152/12 153/7 153/11 153/18 155/9 155/15 155/24
                                                               spray [1] 64/14
156/12 156/19 157/8 157/25 158/6 160/2 164/22 164/25 166/17
                                                               spread [1] 52/3
166/19 166/21 167/25 168/10 169/13 171/9 171/16 171/18
                                                               St. [1] 173/4
172/14 174/9 174/13 175/22 176/9 176/21 177/1 177/2 177/17
                                                               St. Louis [1] 173/4
178/21 179/10 179/18 180/6 181/12 181/21 182/1 182/9
                                                               stability [12] 39/1 39/1 39/2 39/3 39/3 39/6 39/16 39/16 40/1
sober [1] 141/20
                                                               41/3 50/2 178/10
social [4] 41/12 74/14 86/21 156/7
                                                               stable [2] 159/13 178/10
societal [1] 92/24
                                                               staff [10] 12/15 12/22 47/6 56/8 56/22 57/3 74/16 126/12
```

```
S
                                                              strike [2] 64/13 171/20
                                                              strikingly [1] 63/23
staff... [2] 127/22 156/20
                                                              strong [2] 70/13 79/8
stage [3] 16/9 26/9 124/10
                                                              stronger [1] 124/11
stages [2] 123/12 124/20
                                                              strongest [1] 46/22
stakeholders [1] 126/25
                                                              structure [28] 13/21 25/5 25/7 27/23 28/5 28/7 30/8 34/3 34/5
stand [1] 18/25
                                                               34/6 40/24 41/1 41/25 47/14 69/17 124/3 124/10 169/3 171/20
standard [3] 14/1 100/8 168/18
                                                               172/10 174/21 174/22 175/20 176/12 176/13 176/21 180/7
standards [3] 25/20 101/15 116/19
                                                               180/24
standing [1] 18/24
                                                              structured [1] 48/8
Stark [1] 3/11
                                                              struggle [4] 79/3 79/4 92/25 135/11
start [12] 44/12 44/16 46/12 48/4 51/16 83/24 84/22 121/12
                                                              struggled [3] 76/22 102/10 123/10
136/5 157/24 160/2 177/1
                                                              struggling [2] 38/6 176/9
started [3] 44/22 47/14 73/2
                                                              students [4] 46/7 118/6 152/24 152/24
state [20] 12/21 29/6 53/15 58/25 70/14 71/6 87/21 87/23 90/20
                                                              studies [3] 88/23 88/25 89/9
96/5 108/23 114/10 136/11 136/23 138/12 139/25 149/12 152/4
                                                              Sub [1] 15/3
154/14 169/18
                                                              Sub-committee [1] 15/3
State's [1] 105/1
                                                              subcommittee [17] 29/16 44/22 46/22 46/23 50/17 50/22 50/23
stated [8] 17/8 23/23 29/7 47/13 48/10 134/1 147/8 179/13
                                                               51/3 56/14 112/19 112/20 112/20 112/20 112/24 112/24 128/2
statements [1] 102/4
                                                               153/9
states [55] 1/1 1/4 1/15 3/23 5/6 5/11 5/14 6/2 6/9 7/7 7/20 9/9
                                                              subcommittees [19] 11/24 12/1 12/3 21/11 31/24 47/15 50/16
9/13 10/7 10/15 14/13 17/7 18/4 18/11 20/10 21/3 26/11 26/21
                                                               50/21 51/1 51/7 52/15 58/14 58/15 73/18 112/18 112/21 126/5
26/25 36/20 93/24 103/3 103/6 103/16 103/25 106/3 106/20
                                                               130/22 178/24
108/12 109/25 110/23 111/10 115/12 131/25 132/15 136/6
                                                              subject [14] 65/7 95/17 96/12 96/13 98/19 103/18 107/9 107/20
149/6 158/14 159/8 162/20 168/13 168/15 171/11 171/22
                                                               107/21 107/22 149/11 164/20 165/21 177/13
172/18 172/24 173/11 173/13 174/4 174/14 182/21
                                                              subject's [1] 108/1
States' [6] 7/17 20/13 21/25 95/2 95/11 149/21
                                                              subjected [1] 64/19
static [1] 96/12
                                                              subjects [1] 98/16
stating [1] 179/21
                                                              submission [3] 165/15 165/15 166/3
statistically [1] 44/9
                                                              submissions [5] 17/21 21/8 22/1 27/11 183/14
statistics [2] 59/3 128/21
                                                              submit [5] 36/25 37/3 37/7 38/18 73/15
status [16] 1/12 4/2 5/6 6/1 8/5 8/13 11/1 13/20 14/9 38/4 38/5
                                                              submitted [6] 73/22 74/7 75/9 120/15 129/1 168/14
73/7 116/9 158/15 169/24 182/24
                                                              subordinates' [1] 100/5
stay [2] 18/19 154/12
                                                              Subsequent [1] 138/6
staying [2] 39/2 79/25
                                                              subset [1] 161/14
steering [1] 155/14
                                                              substance [5] 41/23 82/20 142/18 144/14 144/16
step [9] 26/19 50/12 75/7 79/2 82/16 111/10 128/11 154/19
                                                              substances [1] 42/1
170/18
                                                              substantial [75] 7/20 16/10 29/17 55/6 62/23 68/21 68/22 69/1
stepchild [3] 35/16 35/18 35/20
                                                               70/6 95/19 95/22 96/2 101/7 102/12 102/24 104/4 109/23
stepped [5] 12/20 12/22 12/23 50/3 50/12
                                                               110/25 112/4 115/10 115/16 115/18 115/24 116/12 118/2
stepping [2] 12/19 47/10
                                                               118/15 118/16 119/6 119/15 127/4 127/17 128/11 129/14 130/5
steps [2] 32/8 102/11
                                                               143/25 152/3 152/11 152/17 153/4 158/23 158/24 159/10
stigmatizing [1] 59/21
                                                               159/14 160/7 162/22 170/23 170/24 170/25 170/25 171/24
still [32] 26/20 26/21 32/18 33/3 33/14 38/6 44/19 47/19 48/13
                                                               172/3 172/5 172/6 172/8 173/23 176/8 176/15 176/22 176/23
52/9 65/19 70/1 83/12 85/4 85/5 85/5 85/24 86/22 88/11 93/4
                                                               177/25 178/3 178/5 178/8 178/18 178/18 179/9 179/13 179/17
96/12 102/10 130/8 130/17 131/21 132/23 133/22 146/2 152/11
                                                               179/21 180/13 180/15 180/19 180/23 181/1 181/13
170/4 175/9 176/6
                                                              substantially [5] 58/2 98/1 98/13 106/4 119/17
stipend [1] 81/22
                                                              substantive [3] 13/11 56/16 93/23
stipends [1] 12/16
                                                              substituting [2] 9/21 15/25
stipulated [8] 9/20 10/19 16/1 16/18 20/11 20/12 169/6 169/14
                                                              succeed [4] 49/15 55/25 75/8 179/1
stipulation [1] 168/14
                                                              succeeding [1] 49/5
stone [1] 10/6
                                                              success [6] 19/25 25/6 60/12 85/22 92/6 107/4
stop [11] 32/21 60/18 61/24 62/5 62/17 62/17 62/25 85/17
                                                              successful [3] 37/24 50/25 106/17
159/8 159/9 159/10
                                                              successfully [2] 43/23 112/25
stopped [1] 44/24
                                                              such [22] 13/14 37/21 55/23 59/18 64/20 65/9 67/4 122/23
stopping [1] 62/10
                                                               123/23 124/2 133/19 136/15 141/18 141/20 144/8 144/21
stops [11] 31/14 32/18 60/14 61/25 62/12 62/14 62/19 84/25
                                                               144/22 154/21 158/19 160/14 165/17 168/4
84/25 85/2 128/25
                                                              suffering [1] 86/2
storage [1] 88/22
                                                              sufficient [4] 25/7 174/11 180/13 180/15
storing [1] 90/2
                                                              suggest [3] 37/10 56/15 58/25
strategic [2] 58/5 127/23
                                                              suggested [2] 73/7 125/21
strategies [2] 52/7 127/2
                                                              suggesting [1] 121/21
strategy [1] 120/24
                                                              suggestion [2] 149/13 169/21
street [9] 3/11 65/16 70/18 77/2 86/12 86/14 118/11 136/25
                                                              suggests [1] 168/24
                                                              suicidal [1] 76/22
street-level [1] 118/11
                                                              suicide [7] 105/18 107/22 107/23 114/4 114/4 114/13 141/15
strength [1] 132/22
                                                              suitability [1] 54/23
strengthen [1] 165/9
                                                              suitable [1] 105/20
strengthened [1] 82/18
                                                              Suite [6] 2/4 2/7 2/20 2/24 3/8 3/12
stress [1] 98/24
                                                              suites [1] 96/10
stress-equivalent [1] 98/24
                                                              sum [2] 15/24 126/24
stressed [1] 96/20
                                                              summarize [3] 55/4 116/9 125/19
strides [1] 157/1
                                                              summarizing [1] 119/19
```

S tall [1] 82/13 tap [1] 74/13 supervising [1] 171/22 **Taser [1]** 116/21 supervision [5] 121/7 124/3 124/11 143/6 172/16 tasers [2] 98/5 109/21 supervisor [4] 100/11 100/21 121/21 154/17 task [3] 15/18 51/10 127/17 supervisors [15] 58/20 59/20 97/4 100/4 100/7 100/18 117/14 tasks [3] 55/21 73/2 157/9 118/19 120/13 121/3 121/5 122/2 122/10 132/4 132/13 tax [1] 71/15 supervisory [4] 59/18 99/1 99/1 117/9 teacher [1] 46/5 **supply [1]** 74/17 teaching [1] 152/23 support [31] 8/3 12/22 15/23 19/5 22/3 22/10 27/9 27/11 34/9 team [20] 14/5 27/11 62/7 62/8 62/10 85/4 93/17 104/15 104/17 46/13 47/8 47/24 55/25 56/2 64/2 68/17 74/16 75/7 80/23 104/24 115/17 119/20 120/22 120/22 121/3 139/11 161/16 101/14 124/12 125/2 144/2 144/10 144/13 144/19 145/1 150/17 161/24 163/5 163/16 155/12 156/23 178/22 Team's [1] 62/14 supported [6] 8/15 19/15 84/16 126/24 126/25 131/11 teams [4] 104/12 104/14 110/7 161/20 supporting [3] 12/14 46/20 125/23 tear [1] 39/18 **supportive [1]** 46/25 technical [4] 12/11 70/8 80/16 113/19 supports [2] 101/23 162/19 technology [1] 90/1 supposed [6] 30/12 60/22 81/24 84/15 87/4 157/10 Ted [1] 8/24 sure [18] 7/14 17/21 39/4 41/18 49/2 53/17 56/17 58/14 75/24 **televised [1]** 73/13 83/13 91/9 125/8 164/25 165/13 177/6 177/23 181/6 181/11 tell [17] 6/19 7/12 7/14 7/15 34/21 34/24 45/9 74/5 86/6 88/25 surprise [2] 43/8 43/9 91/9 114/6 114/9 136/3 178/24 179/6 180/9 surprised [1] 43/19 telling [2] 56/3 64/7 survey [12] 57/12 57/15 58/9 60/5 60/6 83/17 83/18 83/21 84/23 tells [1] 178/9 128/4 128/7 128/9 template [1] 128/2 surveyed [1] 84/1 templates [1] 120/15 **surveyor [1]** 52/1 temporary [1] 57/3 **Surveys** [1] 32/25 ten [9] 39/20 39/22 53/19 54/14 54/14 54/16 54/18 151/10 suspect [9] 64/7 141/13 141/21 141/25 142/1 142/3 142/14 151/14 142/14 142/16 ten-minute [3] 53/19 151/10 151/14 suspected [4] 141/22 141/25 142/3 142/8 tends [1] 89/18 sustained [5] 59/2 59/5 59/9 65/8 101/23 tense [1] 117/2 SW [8] 2/3 2/7 2/15 2/19 2/23 3/7 3/11 3/24 tension [2] 119/2 155/10 sweat [1] 11/14 tensions [1] 155/10 swiftly [1] 113/5 term [6] 12/20 26/14 49/7 49/19 64/2 158/11 sworn [1] 99/3 termed [1] 135/1 Sylvia [1] 76/14 terminal [1] 136/17 symptoms [4] 105/7 108/16 110/19 141/22 terms [23] 11/8 11/11 28/5 32/13 55/20 110/11 111/5 113/17 **sync [1]** 32/18 115/10 119/16 125/2 132/7 132/9 137/18 149/2 151/1 157/9 system [40] 58/20 59/16 59/21 66/9 71/14 80/19 80/22 81/5 158/18 158/18 159/13 160/12 176/5 180/20 81/13 81/15 81/19 86/23 99/4 100/1 101/9 104/7 104/25 105/11 terrifying [1] 44/2 110/17 116/13 116/23 117/16 117/17 118/4 118/9 118/10 testament [1] 110/21 120/19 121/2 121/9 121/13 124/22 125/2 132/1 136/9 136/16 testimony [13] 6/18 7/2 7/3 15/11 31/12 42/9 45/16 53/8 61/5 138/8 138/10 139/15 163/17 167/18 61/8 65/3 68/12 89/15 systematic [1] 167/7 testing [1] 124/1 systemic [2] 10/1 163/14 tests [1] 99/5 systems [11] 70/4 82/6 89/13 116/5 163/7 163/8 163/23 165/2 than [37] 11/10 11/11 11/23 30/20 32/16 55/17 60/3 62/12 165/5 167/10 167/15 65/15 71/24 75/22 79/18 82/3 82/9 88/23 96/1 98/11 98/16 99/15 100/19 101/2 105/9 105/21 109/16 109/19 115/23 119/24 137/5 151/13 156/1 162/6 164/22 165/3 166/10 166/11 175/11 table [6] 20/1 93/11 135/4 135/6 154/12 157/3 182/25 tables [1] 63/17 thank [122] 8/12 8/18 8/21 8/24 9/10 16/19 18/13 18/16 20/2 TAC [4] 77/16 77/20 77/20 78/14 20/3 20/8 21/20 26/7 27/4 27/5 27/8 27/16 27/20 28/11 28/13 tactics [1] 117/1 34/15 34/19 36/13 36/15 37/6 38/20 38/25 40/4 40/11 42/3 42/6 tailor [1] 63/6 42/18 45/11 45/12 46/2 46/12 51/17 51/18 53/3 53/4 53/5 53/13 tailored [1] 128/18 54/13 54/20 55/2 61/11 64/21 64/22 68/3 68/4 68/7 68/11 68/12 tainted [1] 56/20 70/23 70/24 72/8 75/19 76/1 76/9 76/13 78/2 78/16 78/17 78/18 take [29] 23/23 32/7 39/20 42/17 45/15 45/17 52/5 53/6 53/19 78/21 82/23 82/24 82/25 87/5 87/9 87/9 90/22 91/10 91/13 56/18 67/1 67/13 69/20 70/12 75/24 79/2 83/19 94/3 94/4 94/9 91/14 91/19 92/4 92/14 93/16 93/20 93/20 94/16 95/4 103/20 94/14 95/13 95/18 105/8 111/15 122/20 141/3 149/10 170/18 103/22 103/24 113/25 115/2 115/5 129/17 129/18 135/21 take-home [1] 95/18 135/22 140/5 140/6 145/13 145/15 149/5 149/23 153/21 153/23 taken [7] 24/25 31/12 33/13 55/8 73/22 84/7 150/5 154/9 154/10 154/13 154/20 158/5 158/6 158/9 159/16 159/17 taker [1] 161/13 159/20 167/20 167/21 167/24 169/16 170/5 181/20 182/22 takers [2] 86/18 120/5 183/5 183/10 183/20 183/21 takes [7] 15/7 43/21 52/4 72/24 74/3 124/25 139/4 thanks [1] 80/22 taking [7] 61/8 102/11 111/10 112/23 116/5 149/1 149/20 that [928] talented [2] 19/8 126/18 that's [83] 8/15 9/5 10/18 10/24 11/2 13/25 15/14 17/16 18/10 talk [25] 19/20 31/9 32/2 32/5 43/17 44/15 58/20 72/2 78/25 23/1 24/1 25/13 25/13 31/1 39/16 40/21 40/22 41/13 43/2 43/9 85/16 87/16 88/2 125/13 128/7 130/15 130/16 131/19 132/8 44/5 44/12 44/18 48/17 48/20 48/24 50/19 52/22 54/3 67/12 135/6 145/17 145/18 152/12 152/23 153/11 177/4 69/5 70/4 71/10 71/25 72/7 72/10 75/22 77/13 78/9 82/21 82/22 talked [10] 15/13 87/13 87/15 89/10 103/4 111/8 152/7 164/7 86/3 90/8 90/8 92/1 97/23 104/18 106/2 106/8 106/10 111/4 165/2 172/12 113/12 114/23 118/19 136/1 136/4 138/20 147/15 148/10 151/6 talking [7] 31/10 45/4 58/22 83/24 137/25 153/3 154/8 151/12 152/12 153/13 155/6 155/24 157/4 157/16 157/23 talks [2] 82/13 146/13 157/25 159/5 161/9 162/21 162/21 172/1 172/9 175/19 176/17

т

that's... [6] 176/24 179/25 180/22 181/7 181/7 181/17
their [93] 7/18 8/24 12/5 12/20 13/20 15/20 17/1 17/4 21/3
22/25 27/1 28/20 30/16 35/11 35/14 36/8 43/10 43/11 43/12
43/13 43/13 43/14 47/8 48/16 49/1 50/6 52/16 52/16 52/16
52/17 56/4 56/6 58/3 58/7 58/15 58/23 59/21 60/5 60/11 61/6
63/6 66/8 66/10 69/4 71/17 73/2 75/19 81/13 90/12 99/8 100/5
100/6 100/14 101/11 104/7 105/6 108/21 109/7 112/12 112/16
114/25 119/10 119/11 119/23 121/7 121/10 123/16 124/16
125/13 126/2 137/8 143/18 144/23 145/23 146/6 149/2 149/18
149/19 153/3 153/14 157/9 157/20 158/15 164/9 164/21 165/6
166/1 166/3 167/4 167/5 175/2 175/4 181/4

them [76] 8/18 8/24 12/20 14/22 15/16 15/19 17/11 17/12 17/15 18/5 20/14 26/14 28/25 30/1 30/1 30/15 32/24 33/7 33/23 34/17 35/11 35/12 44/14 44/15 44/15 44/15 44/15 44/16 44/16 44/16 48/15 48/15 48/16 56/2 56/2 56/3 56/4 58/12 60/16 62/9 62/25 63/6 69/8 71/4 73/22 75/19 84/6 93/18 100/6 102/14 115/9 120/6 126/20 127/2 129/3 133/1 133/18 135/17 136/24 142/2 142/16 145/5 148/5 149/18 150/4 150/21 152/1 153/11 156/23 157/20 162/9 163/15 163/15 165/5 181/19 182/13

themselves [8] 24/12 103/19 137/13 140/20 146/7 147/11 156/25 165/24

then [53] 6/11 6/14 6/24 6/25 7/8 7/15 7/24 10/25 11/4 23/17 25/2 29/20 30/3 30/12 31/2 31/6 31/7 33/1 33/9 39/15 40/8 50/24 64/6 75/9 82/15 82/20 86/20 87/20 88/3 90/2 91/9 94/3 94/5 95/15 99/9 113/14 125/20 125/24 132/8 132/21 136/21 151/9 159/21 166/23 170/11 171/25 172/4 177/11 177/18 177/18 180/3 180/15 181/22

theory [1] 108/4

there [156] 6/19 7/19 7/22 12/5 13/14 14/24 17/9 17/9 18/3 23/1 23/2 23/24 25/2 26/23 27/6 28/4 29/6 29/7 29/12 29/24 30/7 30/8 30/12 30/14 30/16 30/16 33/17 37/14 38/14 39/4 40/18 41/3 41/3 41/12 41/13 41/18 42/2 42/19 42/22 42/23 43/1 43/7 44/13 45/1 45/3 45/4 45/6 49/23 51/3 51/21 53/7 53/12 54/3 54/14 56/18 59/1 59/21 60/6 63/23 63/25 65/6 65/14 67/23 69/7 69/19 70/1 70/15 78/13 80/14 80/14 82/14 83/21 87/14 87/22 90/19 91/24 92/2 92/19 92/20 93/13 93/15 98/14 99/23 102/22 108/7 113/1 115/16 115/19 115/22 124/2 126/11 130/3 130/5 130/8 131/4 131/10 131/11 132/18 133/19 135/15 136/8 136/9 136/10 141/8 144/9 145/1 146/16 147/18 147/19 148/3 148/8 148/20 150/1 150/10 150/22 150/25 152/12 152/20 153/4 153/13 153/19 153/20 155/9 155/16 157/8 157/14 160/24 161/25 162/1 163/2 164/7 164/10 164/11 165/17 165/18 166/17 168/24 170/1 170/10 170/11 170/25 171/20 172/5 172/6 172/13 172/16 173/2 173/23 174/5 174/7 176/3 176/6 177/20 180/3 181/16 182/25

there's [12] 19/20 35/10 87/22 114/4 146/17 150/21 152/11 152/17 152/25 155/15 163/21 165/13

thereby [1] 117/17

therefore [2] 30/9 138/12

these [69] 11/24 12/4 13/12 18/6 18/12 19/13 26/20 30/17 30/19 37/11 37/13 37/18 40/19 41/10 41/14 41/16 42/11 43/6 44/13 47/12 55/11 58/10 64/20 67/9 70/15 71/19 71/23 73/20 80/1 81/25 91/16 100/25 100/25 101/17 102/3 104/4 104/18 105/22 105/25 106/19 107/11 110/2 110/20 110/23 111/5 117/15 117/18 119/23 124/18 126/6 126/9 128/20 129/1 129/10 130/8 132/10 133/12 133/20 137/22 137/25 144/24 145/10 148/1 148/6 162/8 162/24 167/10 172/15 177/6

they [185]

they're [10] 85/25 112/6 126/9 133/21 147/15 148/23 148/23 148/23 157/10 178/25

they've [3] 17/1 22/22 73/2

thing [16] 16/8 36/9 39/17 50/15 67/12 69/7 70/7 83/7 92/13 116/3 143/22 145/17 155/13 155/20 157/6 157/12

things [43] 17/24 17/25 31/9 32/2 32/3 33/10 33/20 34/25 35/13 36/1 37/14 37/19 39/19 44/14 45/8 48/11 49/23 50/4 50/14 52/2 57/5 68/13 68/18 80/19 81/25 82/21 84/13 86/6 86/7 106/8 106/19 134/6 134/13 137/22 139/12 140/17 157/14 158/18 158/20 167/25 171/20 176/4 176/9

think [92] 17/14 18/5 18/11 19/19 33/21 34/22 34/24 35/3 35/4 35/19 36/17 37/23 37/25 38/4 38/11 38/11 38/18 38/21 39/8 40/7 40/24 44/11 45/1 45/2 45/9 46/10 48/2 48/7 48/7 48/13

48/17 49/7 49/23 49/25 50/13 50/19 51/8 51/13 51/15 52/17 52/18 52/19 55/5 59/15 68/15 68/24 68/25 70/3 70/17 70/19 79/5 83/20 86/17 92/1 93/13 93/14 115/13 126/23 130/12 148/17 148/23 149/11 150/20 150/23 152/5 152/11 152/17 153/8 153/19 155/25 156/3 156/12 157/23 158/12 159/7 160/3 170/13 171/3 171/7 172/1 173/24 174/25 175/18 177/9 177/12 178/14 178/25 180/1 181/3 181/7 183/14 183/15

thinking [5] 80/3 81/1 87/22 157/18 177/14

thinks [2] 58/17 66/14

third [6] 2/3 2/7 3/24 16/5 50/15 139/3

this [275]

thorough [3] 124/23 133/21 138/22

those [143] 8/22 11/25 12/3 13/20 17/7 17/15 20/15 21/14 23/23 24/3 24/11 26/22 26/25 27/12 27/17 29/9 29/12 29/14 30/8 32/8 32/14 33/19 37/23 39/8 40/4 40/7 42/16 42/17 43/3 43/14 43/19 43/23 45/8 50/13 50/21 50/23 51/1 51/2 51/4 51/5 51/6 51/7 51/8 51/18 52/6 55/7 55/17 58/14 60/24 61/19 62/12 68/24 70/24 74/7 74/15 75/13 75/13 75/15 75/15 79/22 80/15 84/5 84/8 84/25 84/25 86/20 87/11 88/25 89/6 89/8 90/6 93/1 93/7 97/12 102/21 103/8 103/12 104/13 104/22 107/8 109/4 109/16 110/11 112/5 112/21 117/9 118/16 119/20 120/10 123/25 129/6 131/17 131/20 131/21 132/6 132/12 132/13 133/4 133/10 135/6 135/7 135/12 136/11 136/13 137/7 137/9 138/11 142/24 144/4 144/19 145/6 145/7 147/21 150/17 153/9 153/17 159/6 159/12 160/7 160/15 163/7 163/8 164/17 165/3 165/23 166/6 166/22 166/24 167/15 167/21 168/8 168/9 168/13 169/13 173/12 174/18 174/19 177/10 177/13 181/21 181/23 182/7 182/12

though [12] 22/5 24/9 30/13 33/24 59/16 66/23 82/6 126/6 135/5 150/9 172/2 173/15

thought [10] 29/4 48/4 50/9 119/19 156/12 158/1 173/25 175/18 180/12 180/12

thoughtful [2] 19/12 126/18

thoughts [1] 147/4

thousands [2] 12/8 12/13

threat [2] 64/9 165/24

threatening [2] 107/22 114/4

three [16] 11/17 15/25 23/22 51/2 57/20 59/8 63/6 71/4 104/17 106/8 106/19 118/15 148/12 158/20 165/17 169/23

three-hour [1] 11/17

threshold [1] 100/10

thresholds [2] 66/9 132/10

thrive [2] 79/23 80/10

through [29] 10/1 17/16 17/16 17/16 24/4 24/6 29/21 33/12 47/25 50/8 50/16 54/10 66/19 67/9 87/6 96/24 96/25 97/7 97/8 104/5 107/23 110/15 111/11 120/13 127/15 137/6 139/14 156/9 156/15

throughout [7] 72/4 73/13 97/1 97/10 104/25 108/23 146/13

throughs [1] 102/6

throw [2] 36/8 44/16

Thursday [3] 177/9 182/2 182/10

thus [4] 56/19 65/17 85/8 112/13

ticket [1] 65/12

tickets [1] 60/15

tied [2] 25/19 41/23

time [105] 5/5 7/23 11/2 11/7 16/10 19/4 20/20 21/2 32/7 32/8 34/11 34/13 34/15 34/15 34/22 34/24 35/3 35/4 35/7 36/19 38/8 38/17 38/21 38/22 39/20 40/3 40/8 40/24 41/7 42/8 42/17 47/1 47/23 49/25 52/4 52/5 58/10 59/17 59/19 59/19 61/2 63/25 67/1 68/17 71/3 71/6 72/24 74/3 75/24 79/17 81/23 90/24 91/24 92/14 94/6 96/16 96/25 97/18 106/18 112/16 113/17 115/3 121/17 124/20 124/25 125/22 129/20 131/4 134/20 135/16 139/4 140/7 141/1 142/21 144/8 144/21 149/24 151/18 153/24 154/2 154/5 155/11 155/22 158/7 159/18 162/25 164/12 164/14 164/16 166/11 166/17 168/7 169/9 169/15 175/7 176/16 176/23 177/4 178/19 179/9 179/12 179/14 179/16 180/2 180/14

timeline [2] 84/13 123/19

timelines [1] 124/10

timeliness [2] 102/9 102/10

timely [13] 24/3 100/5 121/4 121/11 129/9 136/20 136/21 136/24 137/3 137/10 137/20 138/5 138/22

times [6] 97/21 97/23 98/17 102/15 138/8 162/6 tip [1] 69/25

Т trend [1] 122/21 trends [7] 117/12 117/15 121/4 121/19 121/24 122/6 122/15 tiring [1] 81/14 triage [3] 105/16 107/7 108/17 titled [1] 106/9 trial [3] 143/19 177/2 182/16 today [52] 11/10 11/13 16/13 19/3 21/6 21/8 23/14 28/16 38/6 Tribal [1] 112/2 41/21 42/9 42/14 42/23 44/23 45/10 46/3 46/4 52/18 52/21 tried [3] 43/7 80/3 164/24 71/23 75/16 76/20 80/1 83/5 84/10 88/11 88/23 91/1 93/8 93/16 triggers [1] 66/12 95/14 98/23 111/18 113/15 115/9 134/20 135/11 146/3 148/22 trouble [1] 28/1 160/17 161/1 161/18 164/4 164/8 165/12 168/3 169/22 178/2 troubleshoot [1] 50/9 178/9 178/14 183/15 183/16 troubling [2] 84/5 84/6 today's [2] 72/10 183/1 true [4] 34/9 79/11 132/24 146/2 together [15] 15/17 16/23 39/17 47/15 54/2 79/25 94/9 101/14 truly [2] 58/1 167/14 101/19 133/14 135/18 138/16 174/14 174/16 175/15 trust [18] 52/4 60/4 83/23 83/25 84/1 87/6 115/22 127/3 133/13 told [5] 29/15 65/10 85/25 86/3 165/7 133/15 145/19 146/13 148/14 152/10 152/10 153/14 157/15 **toll [1]** 102/16 157/16 Tom [1] 139/11 truth [1] 134/3 **Tom Christoff [1]** 139/11 try [7] 20/14 34/16 58/25 154/19 161/7 161/20 181/11 too [13] 11/10 30/22 62/10 68/15 87/21 93/8 105/14 111/18 trying [10] 28/25 29/2 77/4 88/24 134/12 136/23 155/10 164/20 139/4 139/5 160/1 173/18 177/6 171/1 181/10 took [2] 65/13 137/5 Tuesday [13] 11/19 17/23 54/22 177/5 177/8 177/8 177/12 tool [3] 90/8 90/16 90/17 182/1 182/5 182/6 182/9 182/10 182/10 tools [1] 163/4 tune [1] 71/6 top [1] 82/9 turn [5] 13/24 61/13 72/9 98/21 143/22 topic [2] 111/3 125/6 Turning [1] 25/23 total [1] 131/8 turnover [8] 13/14 23/20 47/22 51/4 57/18 74/1 80/15 113/2 totally [10] 29/18 30/4 33/17 34/12 35/8 85/11 86/9 88/11 88/19 Twitter [1] 167/1 174/19 two [33] 7/21 11/23 21/14 23/16 29/15 30/20 30/21 30/24 31/23 toward [3] 13/10 102/24 163/2 44/14 49/19 50/13 55/11 55/13 56/6 57/13 59/9 59/11 60/24 towards [6] 26/22 37/15 37/15 39/4 159/9 162/22 61/16 81/7 99/13 100/25 104/11 104/17 111/16 118/16 143/16 town [12] 11/18 18/18 21/14 21/19 31/17 47/15 63/22 84/11 164/16 165/18 171/20 175/1 177/16 126/3 131/23 155/15 155/15 two-hour [1] 11/23 track [2] 126/16 163/24 two-year [1] 49/19 tracker [1] 121/8 type [3] 99/7 134/3 172/17 tracking [3] 99/24 116/5 127/7 types [2] 107/16 133/20 TRACY [2] 2/22 5/20 typically [1] 133/12 tragic [1] 110/2 train [1] 108/22 trained [8] 24/7 31/21 33/7 74/2 96/23 99/9 108/9 144/15 U.S [7] 2/3 2/6 2/10 5/13 19/14 55/5 169/11 **trainees [1]** 97/10 ultimate [2] 82/5 159/14 training [116] 12/15 13/4 14/21 14/23 15/4 24/5 31/2 31/4 31/15 ultimately [3] 24/11 138/5 170/13 33/20 46/16 46/21 63/8 63/11 64/19 73/3 73/8 76/15 76/19 77/2 unable [2] 58/22 81/6 77/8 77/9 77/19 78/4 78/8 78/13 80/11 95/8 96/15 96/19 96/20 unanimously [1] 10/13 97/3 97/9 97/16 97/17 97/22 98/22 98/23 98/24 99/1 99/10 unaware [1] 138/12 99/12 99/15 99/16 99/16 99/16 99/17 99/18 99/18 99/24 101/6 unbiased [1] 45/2 101/13 101/19 101/20 105/6 105/7 106/10 106/11 106/12 unconditionally [1] 19/15 106/14 108/10 108/12 108/15 108/15 108/18 108/21 108/24 unconflicted [1] 45/2 109/5 109/7 109/10 110/18 111/17 111/19 113/6 114/12 116/15 unconstitutional [1] 61/22 116/21 116/22 117/6 117/14 117/21 117/25 118/4 118/5 118/7 under [24] 11/8 16/4 26/2 26/4 26/14 26/22 64/10 75/2 87/25 118/7 118/8 118/9 118/10 118/14 118/18 118/20 118/21 119/3 113/1 121/1 121/7 125/9 125/12 127/18 127/24 141/25 147/2 119/7 119/11 119/12 120/2 120/4 120/5 120/8 120/13 121/21 147/9 147/13 147/16 147/24 150/1 168/16 122/25 125/22 139/14 143/6 143/19 152/18 158/19 161/12 undercut [1] 65/19 161/14 161/17 163/10 167/12 175/3 underlying [1] 169/3 trainings [1] 101/17 underpinning [1] 146/10 transcript [3] 1/13 184/7 184/8 understand [20] 18/1 23/6 36/25 41/9 54/3 56/1 73/20 81/13 transfer [1] 105/18 85/10 102/23 103/10 131/13 135/8 137/20 139/24 149/20 150/5 transfers [1] 122/6 170/6 170/6 179/20 transform [1] 86/9 understandable [1] 110/3 transformation [4] 32/5 32/23 79/14 152/19 understandably [1] 135/2 translated [1] 117/25 understanding [10] 27/2 30/22 31/1 31/3 65/18 87/24 114/2 translation [1] 122/22 127/10 133/24 136/12 transparency [5] 72/18 73/9 131/7 150/16 151/2 undue [1] 112/11 transparent [2] 127/19 170/2 unequivocal [1] 19/5 transport [1] 106/25 unexpectedly [1] 154/18 transporting [1] 110/11 unfilled [1] 74/18 trauma [1] 144/7 unforeseen [1] 10/16 traumatic [3] 59/16 132/5 132/13 unfortunate [1] 67/5 treat [2] 60/18 67/24 unfortunately [4] 36/10 44/23 140/23 140/24 treated [2] 35/24 35/25 unfounded [2] 59/13 101/24 treatment [2] 35/15 118/13 unified [1] 120/14 tree [1] 77/4 unincorporated [1] 91/7 tremendous [8] 22/24 34/23 34/23 133/7 157/1 161/10 171/3 unincorporated/other areas [1] 91/7 171/15 **union [2]** 10/18 72/5 tremendously [1] 164/9 uniquely [1] 34/3

```
U
                                                               uses [9] 97/23 109/19 116/18 162/11 163/9 163/19 164/23
                                                               165/12 167/11
unit [20] 15/2 66/3 84/24 85/3 100/14 104/11 105/10 110/7
                                                               usher [1] 56/9
117/13 117/14 121/15 145/20 145/21 147/2 148/11 149/17
                                                               using [8] 20/16 59/16 60/15 64/3 64/4 64/14 122/8 128/19
 150/9 161/19 161/19 161/20
                                                               utility [1] 72/4
UNITED [60] 1/1 1/4 1/15 3/23 5/6 5/11 5/14 6/2 6/9 7/7 7/17
                                                               utilization [1] 97/13
7/20 9/9 9/13 10/7 10/15 14/13 17/7 18/4 18/11 20/10 20/13
                                                               utilize [1] 144/19
21/3 21/25 26/11 26/21 26/25 36/20 93/24 95/2 95/11 103/3
                                                               utilized [2] 63/13 100/2
103/6 103/16 103/25 106/3 106/20 108/12 109/25 110/23
                                                               utilizing [3] 99/22 117/16 165/5
111/10 115/12 131/25 132/15 136/6 149/6 149/21 158/14 159/8
                                                               utmost [1] 35/11
162/20 168/13 171/11 171/22 172/18 172/24 173/11 173/13
174/4 174/14 182/21
United States [49] 5/6 5/11 5/14 6/2 6/9 7/7 7/20 9/9 9/13 10/7
                                                               vacancies [2] 24/3 113/5
10/15 14/13 17/7 18/4 18/11 20/10 21/3 26/11 26/21 26/25
                                                               Vadim [1] 15/5
36/20 93/24 103/3 103/6 103/16 103/25 106/3 106/20 108/12
                                                               valid [2] 63/13 169/5
109/25 110/23 111/10 131/25 132/15 136/6 149/6 158/14 159/8
                                                               validate [1] 16/14
162/20 168/13 171/11 171/22 172/18 172/24 173/11 173/13
                                                               validation [1] 10/3
174/4 174/14 182/21
                                                               valuable [1] 81/12
United States' [6] 7/17 20/13 21/25 95/2 95/11 149/21
                                                               value [4] 52/16 90/18 98/15 98/17
United States/DOJ [1] 115/12
                                                               values [3] 52/15 98/22 171/6
units [5] 97/13 104/17 104/18 121/16 122/2
                                                               VANNIER [12] 2/14 5/24 20/5 20/6 27/5 27/10 38/15 159/19
Unity [1] 145/8
                                                               159/21 167/23 169/16 175/25
Unity Center [1] 145/8
                                                               Vannier's [1] 170/7
universal [1] 111/14
                                                               variables [1] 122/12
universally [1] 66/20
                                                               variety [4] 21/13 50/13 147/17 166/24
unless [1] 9/7
                                                               various [9] 46/24 47/16 55/8 110/22 111/11 111/16 123/11
unlikely [1] 62/19
                                                               124/20 127/15
unrest [1] 29/25
                                                               vast [1] 164/4
unsafe [1] 30/1
                                                               vehicle [1] 65/13
unsuccessful [1] 139/25
                                                               venture [1] 39/12
until [12] 11/1 21/6 30/24 33/13 81/18 94/5 157/14 166/18
                                                               verbal [1] 64/3
175/23 178/17 179/8 183/19
                                                               verse [1] 19/19
unusable [1] 139/6
                                                               version [1] 129/11
unverified [1] 11/12
                                                               versus [3] 5/7 6/3 59/24
up [67] 6/19 14/2 15/17 16/21 17/23 29/16 34/16 34/20 36/8
                                                               vertically [1] 79/1
38/3 39/7 39/21 40/15 41/10 42/1 42/11 44/7 48/14 50/12 50/20
                                                               very [94] 8/7 8/12 8/14 15/10 16/19 17/12 18/7 22/6 22/9 27/16
52/6 54/2 62/11 62/13 63/12 63/19 65/14 69/4 72/23 72/25 75/7
                                                               27/24 28/6 28/11 28/17 28/17 33/8 36/13 37/23 38/5 38/12 42/3
76/3 77/20 78/22 79/1 82/1 82/16 84/24 86/9 90/23 91/21 92/16
                                                               46/3 46/10 50/9 51/8 51/14 51/15 51/16 52/15 52/18 52/18
99/13 99/21 114/8 134/12 144/9 146/16 147/1 147/19 148/22
                                                               67/23 68/11 69/2 72/8 77/21 78/5 79/8 83/4 83/21 84/5 84/5
149/12 149/20 149/21 150/17 150/21 151/3 151/21 153/19
                                                               85/20 87/5 87/10 90/14 91/17 92/1 92/1 93/3 102/7 103/20
155/18 158/2 158/13 158/16 167/25 169/23 182/13 182/14
                                                               109/3 113/25 114/1 114/23 115/1 119/15 119/19 126/18 131/5
up-to-date [3] 39/7 63/12 158/2
                                                               140/5 148/1 152/4 155/13 155/21 156/8 156/8 156/21 157/2
update [4] 38/4 38/5 125/17 158/2
                                                               158/3 164/10 164/23 164/23 165/1 165/10 166/1 167/20 167/22
updated [5] 22/11 44/24 53/18 53/25 84/16
                                                               171/4 171/4 171/21 172/1 172/2 172/8 172/12 173/21 173/24
updating [1] 90/20
                                                               175/13 175/15 176/5 176/13 183/17 183/17
upfront [2] 115/18 118/5
                                                               vested [1] 92/6
upon [14] 92/9 107/18 107/19 120/5 124/24 134/15 140/11
                                                               victim [1] 46/8
150/23 171/25 173/17 173/18 173/20 178/1 180/14
                                                               victimization [1] 62/6
urge [2] 60/20 169/13
                                                               victimized [1] 62/21
urged [1] 180/14
                                                               victims [1] 62/3
urgent [1] 144/7
                                                               video [1] 90/13
urging [1] 149/18
                                                               videos [2] 89/6 142/24
us [52] 28/10 32/23 39/17 39/25 40/1 40/22 44/5 46/2 46/2
                                                               view [8] 72/22 87/23 88/15 91/11 103/3 103/7 179/24 179/25
46/14 46/16 46/17 46/17 46/20 46/25 47/1 47/4 48/6 48/22
                                                               viewed [1] 180/2
54/14 68/15 69/22 77/23 79/13 79/23 79/25 83/11 88/19 88/25
                                                               views [5] 20/4 87/21 140/8 153/3 172/15
90/25 92/10 111/6 114/22 131/13 133/14 134/7 134/9 134/9
                                                               vigorous [1] 13/9
134/18 135/16 137/10 138/19 139/20 148/5 148/23 148/24
                                                               violence [4] 62/6 62/8 62/12 85/3
152/9 153/19 162/11 177/16 182/1 182/20
                                                               violent [1] 107/20
USDOJ [1] 5/10
                                                               visit [1] 144/21
use [63] 31/18 32/11 32/21 37/22 59/12 60/9 62/9 64/2 64/5
                                                               voice [6] 12/5 48/16 50/6 77/24 131/2 148/8
64/17 65/24 66/11 66/14 66/15 67/20 76/18 77/9 77/13 95/8
                                                               voices [3] 50/13 80/12 111/12
96/8 96/17 96/19 96/24 97/13 97/15 98/4 98/7 98/10 98/14
                                                               voicing [1] 25/19
99/19 100/17 103/8 105/5 109/20 109/25 110/12 116/11 116/14
                                                               void [1] 154/19
116/17 116/20 116/21 116/24 117/5 117/7 117/13 117/23
                                                               volunteer [8] 11/9 15/15 72/23 92/1 106/12 112/15 157/11
 118/23 122/1 128/12 131/19 132/12 133/3 135/13 135/13 143/6
                                                               161/15
158/19 163/8 163/19 163/20 164/6 164/18 164/20 170/23
                                                               volunteers [4] 16/10 18/8 19/13 24/4
use-of-force [12] 59/12 65/24 96/17 96/19 96/24 99/19 100/17
                                                               vote [7] 66/5 73/22 75/2 75/22 91/7 149/18 149/20
116/14 116/20 116/24 117/5 117/7
                                                               Voters [9] 7/1 8/17 13/19 72/16 75/15 75/18 76/2 78/3 166/1
used [22] 33/1 35/10 59/7 97/20 97/23 103/11 109/16 119/21
                                                               Voters' [1] 76/5
128/17 132/1 133/1 141/19 141/21 142/7 142/12 143/12 143/19
                                                               votes [2] 31/12 151/12
147/7 164/15 164/15 164/23 170/24
                                                               vulnerable [1] 32/20
useful [3] 73/10 167/16 167/17
user [1] 118/8
```

W waiting [4] 44/7 82/21 98/16 153/13 wake [1] 133/12 walk [7] 66/19 102/6 114/20 136/25 144/2 144/6 145/2 walk-in [4] 114/20 144/2 144/6 145/2 walk-through [1] 66/19 walk-throughs [1] 102/6 walked [1] 15/16 walks [3] 82/13 155/1 156/13 want [82] 9/11 18/25 20/15 21/16 21/19 22/2 22/5 22/11 23/6 23/19 24/9 24/18 25/24 26/5 27/13 28/22 33/15 36/19 37/2 37/2 41/16 43/24 46/7 46/9 46/12 47/7 51/9 51/21 52/3 53/13 54/2 64/8 75/20 78/2 78/24 79/22 80/7 80/18 81/12 82/1 85/17 86/8 90/19 90/25 91/15 91/23 92/4 92/17 93/17 108/3 108/4 108/5 113/16 115/15 115/18 120/18 130/14 130/15 136/5 148/7 148/7 149/23 151/25 154/20 154/25 156/13 156/19 156/24 157/5 157/6 157/23 160/2 164/6 165/13 165/25 167/6 168/20 169/25 170/20 176/21 176/22 177/14 wanted [11] 19/4 19/4 21/16 27/21 65/11 154/21 155/4 155/4 156/24 175/4 177/23 wanting [1] 156/23 wants [1] 58/20 warnings [1] 64/12 Warren [1] 172/22 was [156] 8/9 8/9 11/5 13/1 13/7 16/19 20/20 21/18 22/16 23/11 23/13 24/17 24/24 25/2 29/1 29/22 29/24 30/3 30/5 30/14 33/5 33/10 33/13 33/20 39/19 43/12 44/22 44/24 46/6 46/17 48/5 49/21 50/9 56/8 57/13 59/12 60/22 60/25 61/6 62/10 63/20 64/1 64/1 65/7 65/15 67/25 69/7 73/22 73/24 76/24 77/4 79/8 80/22 80/22 80/25 81/3 81/5 81/8 81/8 81/9 81/14 81/17 83/18 84/10 84/15 84/15 84/17 85/10 87/14 89/21 89/25 90/10 96/12 98/4 98/19 99/17 99/23 100/1 109/16 114/25 115/1 115/1 121/22 126/7 130/12 131/15 131/17 131/24 131/25 134/20 134/22 135/24 139/17 139/17 139/18 139/21 139/22 139/23 140/1 140/1 140/3 140/19 141/4 141/8 145/21 146/2 154/17 154/18 154/22 155/13 156/1 156/6 156/12 157/12 158/1 160/6 163/1 163/1 163/13 164/25 165/1 165/21 166/9 166/17 166/17 166/19 166/20 168/10 170/21 171/23 172/8 172/13 172/13 172/15 172/16 172/17 172/19 172/20 172/25 173/1 173/16 173/22 173/23 173/24 173/25 174/2 174/5 174/7 174/8 174/11 174/24 175/11 175/19 175/20 176/9 180/18 Washington [2] 2/12 173/4 wasn't [6] 50/7 174/1 174/11 175/8 175/21 177/24 watch [2] 39/17 40/22 watched [2] 15/16 82/17 water [1] 71/14 way [45] 8/7 19/10 19/11 25/10 25/12 35/20 35/24 35/25 36/10 39/21 48/15 51/3 58/14 59/6 59/23 65/12 68/15 70/19 81/19 81/20 83/14 83/15 85/22 86/13 90/15 90/17 91/2 91/5 91/15 99/5 110/5 116/4 128/4 135/10 147/18 148/18 152/11 156/3 156/4 170/19 172/1 175/14 176/25 178/10 179/17 ways [6] 27/25 66/4 73/12 126/1 157/14 161/23 we're [15] 9/16 36/11 39/18 75/25 84/8 86/16 89/25 92/20 132/24 133/18 133/22 134/12 145/6 172/7 181/3 we've [9] 47/12 86/23 90/5 131/16 147/12 157/18 160/20 161/1 weapon [2] 71/25 107/21 Weapons [1] 98/5 Weatheroy [1] 14/16 web [1] 57/11 website [11] 12/6 22/11 23/9 23/9 57/4 57/5 57/15 78/13 166/25 166/25 167/4 Wednesday [2] 182/2 182/9 week [7] 28/19 124/5 175/1 177/5 177/8 177/10 182/16 weekly [1] 124/15 weeks [5] 30/20 30/21 31/23 49/9 88/8 weigh [1] 37/8 weighing [1] 91/2 weighty [1] 23/2

welcome [19] 5/17 5/25 6/3 6/4 6/5 6/22 7/4 19/1 28/12 40/15

42/4 46/1 53/24 78/20 93/12 93/20 140/12 145/14 151/20

welcomed [1] 148/2 welfare [1] 141/13 well [47] 6/6 10/23 17/7 19/3 22/12 22/16 28/6 28/7 28/23 30/9 34/1 41/19 44/18 47/19 48/1 48/8 51/7 76/24 87/12 93/18 93/21 97/4 100/2 102/2 106/6 106/13 109/3 114/9 124/22 129/1 130/7 131/2 133/23 136/2 144/16 145/7 147/5 147/14 148/5 155/16 161/6 164/24 170/8 170/11 171/6 173/12 176/11 well-received [1] 109/3 well-structured [1] 48/8 wellness [3] 70/10 70/16 78/9 went [6] 29/21 33/12 63/19 63/22 100/18 137/6 were [55] 16/23 20/16 23/2 24/3 29/24 30/1 30/2 30/13 30/14 30/16 50/8 50/12 50/25 58/11 58/11 58/12 59/8 59/12 60/24 62/20 63/23 63/25 64/16 65/16 66/5 71/16 73/23 75/13 79/12 82/14 87/17 89/12 99/9 117/2 117/17 121/12 121/15 122/23 128/7 140/20 143/4 147/10 151/3 159/25 165/16 165/17 165/23 166/5 166/6 166/8 168/13 168/20 171/13 171/20 173/12 weren't [1] 88/24 what [137] 7/14 9/5 10/6 13/9 17/18 18/23 19/7 19/21 25/2 25/18 25/18 26/10 26/11 27/22 28/17 28/24 29/1 29/21 30/2 30/3 30/12 34/2 35/17 36/5 36/11 38/4 38/5 38/7 40/19 41/4 41/6 41/9 44/10 44/13 47/2 49/2 49/10 49/13 49/22 51/13 51/14 52/15 52/17 56/3 57/9 65/15 68/8 70/4 70/17 71/18 71/19 72/5 75/11 75/11 76/25 77/23 79/11 80/2 80/7 80/8 81/8 81/21 83/5 83/19 83/20 85/1 85/22 85/25 86/2 86/5 86/13 86/16 88/23 88/25 89/3 89/9 89/19 89/24 90/5 90/7 90/11 92/3 92/9 97/17 100/19 100/22 101/2 103/4 106/5 115/1 120/20 130/4 130/4 130/9 130/25 131/8 131/10 131/11 131/15 131/24 132/19 132/23 135/2 136/22 137/18 144/3 149/3 152/7 153/8 155/2 155/2 157/5 157/17 160/1 160/17 162/16 162/16 162/21 163/4 163/25 166/5 168/8 169/11 169/12 170/3 170/7 176/10 176/17 178/1 178/6 179/25 180/22 180/25 181/2 181/8 181/12 181/18 what's [10] 22/15 39/25 48/18 87/21 87/23 89/18 114/6 149/4 154/3 157/25 whatever [2] 41/13 149/1 Wheeler [2] 18/17 61/4 wheels [1] 33/20 when [98] 8/10 16/23 16/24 16/25 22/13 23/1 24/23 30/2 31/9 32/2 33/16 33/19 38/8 38/17 38/17 39/8 39/21 41/21 43/15 43/16 44/17 44/18 47/25 50/12 58/9 60/12 64/1 68/21 70/7 70/10 71/19 73/17 74/15 76/24 80/17 81/8 81/14 82/18 82/18 82/19 85/2 86/1 86/10 86/16 98/14 100/10 101/15 102/4 102/7 105/17 105/19 107/20 107/21 107/22 107/24 108/1 109/23 117/10 120/6 120/21 127/5 129/14 132/6 132/8 132/10 134/22 139/19 140/18 141/13 141/14 141/24 142/1 142/2 142/4 142/7 142/13 146/9 150/19 152/12 152/23 153/11 154/18 154/25 157/16 160/7 162/6 164/8 164/12 164/15 170/20 171/19 173/6 175/11 175/24 176/9 177/19 180/1 180/13 whenever [3] 28/12 93/18 95/3 where [53] 7/19 17/3 32/19 39/6 39/9 44/17 48/4 50/8 50/19 51/6 51/9 55/12 65/16 70/15 71/18 73/18 77/4 77/25 79/4 80/8 80/9 80/9 81/9 83/6 87/24 88/5 89/17 92/25 95/22 97/9 101/4 103/11 106/21 117/22 118/21 119/7 130/8 135/11 136/16 137/1 137/3 137/24 138/14 140/14 142/18 152/24 157/15 157/17 163/21 164/19 164/22 167/13 179/1 whereas [1] 89/14 whereby [1] 142/23 wherever [2] 18/24 18/25 whether [34] 13/22 24/11 40/24 40/25 41/24 41/24 48/14 48/14 48/19 52/8 52/21 57/7 57/8 59/22 65/4 65/6 65/20 65/23 66/25 67/7 68/1 69/4 69/17 84/12 90/10 91/2 138/12 140/19 141/5 149/10 150/21 172/12 176/24 180/19 whether the [1] 57/8 which [74] 7/21 12/21 13/3 13/7 18/4 19/13 20/17 20/24 21/11 21/14 27/25 31/5 34/25 46/17 46/22 47/2 47/6 47/8 47/17 50/11 51/6 53/8 54/25 55/15 56/22 58/14 61/20 62/18 63/17 65/8

65/20 69/14 70/16 78/23 79/17 85/6 86/12 93/3 99/2 99/5 99/8

102/15 102/19 106/7 106/8 106/16 107/3 109/20 111/5 113/15

117/17 118/22 122/11 123/6 126/23 129/13 131/20 137/10

138/4 138/19 140/24 142/17 145/18 145/21 147/5 147/17

159/14 160/17 162/5 163/1 170/14 172/11 173/12 173/25

61/16 112/13 141/11 146/21

while [13] 19/19 24/9 28/6 30/1 30/7 32/6 35/9 55/20 59/18

```
W
                                                               127/18 127/19 156/19 162/22 176/16 181/6
                                                              workloads [1] 124/13
white [2] 77/20 77/21
                                                              works [8] 13/4 77/8 86/25 104/9 105/11 147/18 152/14 182/20
who [85] 6/4 14/21 15/11 19/9 21/18 22/9 22/21 23/3 24/4 24/6
                                                              world [1] 79/16
28/9 29/14 29/25 32/14 33/10 35/7 39/22 41/15 42/14 42/14
                                                              worn [1] 103/7
42/20 42/21 42/23 42/24 43/2 43/6 44/4 44/22 45/3 45/5 45/18
                                                              worse [3] 71/24 89/16 148/21
46/13 47/3 49/20 50/1 50/20 51/15 51/25 53/8 55/7 55/10 56/9
                                                              worst [1] 43/10
56/10 58/12 62/13 67/2 73/14 76/21 77/10 78/7 79/4 79/5 79/5
                                                              worth [1] 159/7
79/13 79/22 80/15 81/4 84/3 85/24 86/9 86/19 87/3 87/4 93/7
                                                              would [141] 16/11 17/9 17/10 18/5 18/17 18/19 19/8 19/18
98/19 109/1 114/3 114/9 124/12 130/10 133/4 142/8 143/4
                                                               19/22 20/1 22/18 22/20 23/3 23/3 23/4 23/8 23/17 25/8 25/18
147/13 148/21 153/25 155/1 155/7 156/13 156/13 156/18 171/6
                                                               29/4 30/12 34/25 35/6 36/7 36/8 37/6 37/10 37/20 37/23 38/1
171/12 172/15 178/4
                                                               38/2 38/23 39/5 41/25 42/5 45/14 46/14 46/22 49/8 49/22 49/25
whole [4] 70/5 122/22 158/25 170/19
                                                               50/15 50/25 54/10 54/18 55/3 56/23 58/14 60/16 63/12 64/17
wholesome [1] 95/12
                                                               65/3 67/10 67/13 67/14 68/13 68/16 68/17 68/19 69/5 69/8
whom [2] 15/10 82/14
                                                               69/16 69/18 69/19 69/20 70/5 70/7 70/19 73/20 74/20 75/2 79/2
why [17] 24/14 25/13 25/15 35/6 37/20 38/2 40/22 46/6 59/1
                                                               83/11 86/5 87/20 88/15 90/25 91/1 91/4 94/2 94/4 96/15 98/21
65/14 78/25 132/18 134/21 138/20 151/1 168/23 175/19
                                                               99/9 108/9 112/22 126/21 130/23 130/24 131/12 131/19 137/8
wide [2] 44/1 105/11
                                                               137/12 140/10 140/13 140/16 140/19 141/3 141/5 141/7 141/9
will [136] 6/22 7/3 9/23 10/7 14/22 16/13 16/24 16/25 20/6
                                                               142/16 142/17 142/22 143/23 144/3 144/6 144/13 144/20
20/14 21/8 23/10 24/20 25/5 26/13 26/20 26/21 27/20 29/6
                                                               144/24 144/24 145/1 145/4 145/11 148/14 148/17 148/22
30/23 33/8 34/1 34/12 34/16 38/17 39/9 39/9 40/2 41/20 45/9
                                                               149/11 150/11 150/11 150/17 151/2 151/10 151/11 151/25
45/15 48/19 49/12 49/19 50/24 51/8 52/13 52/23 53/2 53/9
                                                               153/25 154/1 155/4 157/18 161/24 162/11 165/11 168/22
53/17 54/1 54/2 56/18 57/18 61/7 61/16 67/1 67/2 68/23 69/2
                                                               169/13 169/21 169/23 170/4 171/22 177/7 182/1 182/25
69/14 69/22 70/12 75/20 81/16 82/15 82/16 83/25 85/5 86/2
                                                              wouldn't [4] 39/20 46/15 67/17 90/17
86/9 86/12 86/18 86/25 87/2 87/7 87/7 88/7 89/3 91/5 91/9
                                                              wrap [1] 167/25
93/15 94/3 94/5 94/13 94/14 95/7 95/9 95/17 96/5 98/4 99/23
                                                              wrapped [1] 58/5
100/6 107/16 111/1 113/18 113/19 115/3 119/11 127/4 129/24
                                                              wrenching [1] 15/11
134/19 135/15 139/8 140/7 141/11 141/12 146/23 152/5 153/9
                                                              write [3] 89/23 89/25 90/12
159/3 159/21 159/24 160/9 162/5 162/8 166/14 166/21 166/22
                                                              writing [5] 13/6 36/25 37/7 38/13 88/16
166/23 166/24 167/3 167/4 167/7 167/15 167/17 169/8 169/8
                                                              written [14] 8/16 8/25 17/20 21/7 57/7 69/10 69/14 75/9 88/16
171/25 172/4 172/5 176/4 177/4 177/4 177/6 178/5 179/1
                                                               89/25 136/2 150/3 157/12 183/14
179/15 180/11 180/15 180/15 181/12 182/23 183/12 183/19
                                                              wrong [2] 64/14 90/13
WILLIAMS [1] 2/2
                                                              www.portlandoregon.gov [1] 12/7
willing [2] 40/2 82/22
                                                              www.portlandoregon.gov/pccep [1] 12/7
win [2] 134/18 134/18
                                                               Wyse [1] 3/7
win/win [1] 134/18
window [1] 82/10
                                                               Υ
wisdom [2] 36/14 172/10
                                                              yeah [3] 25/12 149/16 172/7
wise [2] 172/9 176/13
                                                              year [26] 11/20 20/10 21/2 29/19 49/19 58/5 60/5 60/22 63/21
wish [6] 7/5 11/4 27/19 37/5 82/5 124/21
                                                               64/1 67/15 68/22 75/20 76/8 96/6 104/16 106/19 122/16 125/13
wishes [3] 53/8 142/5 150/9
                                                               127/23 129/11 147/9 149/16 172/7 176/24 177/2
within [9] 21/1 40/2 91/6 95/15 99/12 121/14 123/7 147/25
                                                               years [36] 20/21 39/21 39/22 39/23 42/11 52/5 59/9 63/2 65/10
163/7
                                                               67/15 71/3 71/11 76/24 80/1 87/15 88/2 89/4 89/9 92/23 96/1
without [17] 22/25 45/1 45/3 46/15 56/2 87/1 90/12 100/13
                                                               98/11 98/11 103/5 111/16 116/20 119/14 119/25 134/24 143/16
112/11 116/2 122/23 142/4 143/4 143/9 145/5 150/23 184/8
                                                               146/2 160/20 161/5 163/1 170/22 174/7 174/7
witness [1] 102/5
                                                              yes [19] 18/21 24/2 25/11 25/17 25/17 29/7 33/4 33/14 49/7
witnessed [1] 55/7
                                                               49/12 49/18 53/3 80/14 80/14 87/19 102/23 169/20 182/4 182/8
witnesses [1] 123/24
                                                              yesterday [3] 23/7 28/19 134/21
Wolf [1] 15/9
                                                              yet [18] 11/3 22/1 28/8 38/16 49/16 56/10 57/23 61/24 66/7
woman [1] 82/11
                                                               74/20 82/21 87/9 88/15 118/15 133/24 151/5 175/2 181/1
women [12] 7/1 8/17 13/19 72/16 75/14 75/18 75/21 76/2 76/5
                                                              yielded [1] 97/18
78/3 92/18 165/25
                                                              yoga [1] 70/17
won't [4] 29/20 52/4 52/9 129/6
                                                              you [331]
wonder [2] 66/25 67/2
                                                              you'd [1] 129/7
wonderful [1] 166/2
                                                              you'll [1] 93/18
wondering [1] 114/25
                                                              you're [7] 33/4 52/25 84/12 93/20 139/1 149/14 149/14
word [1] 36/3
                                                              you've [7] 38/4 83/21 91/16 115/8 126/20 178/12 181/8
words [5] 87/12 108/7 152/3 170/23 170/24
                                                              your [152] 5/5 5/11 5/20 5/23 9/10 10/2 11/13 13/15 13/24
work [87] 9/12 11/8 12/11 19/6 19/13 28/7 28/23 31/24 33/11
                                                               15/23 16/4 16/17 17/20 17/22 18/16 19/2 20/2 20/3 20/4 20/8
46/18 47/15 48/2 48/9 48/21 48/22 48/25 49/1 49/21 50/10
                                                               20/9 21/8 21/24 22/15 24/1 25/11 25/19 26/7 26/20 26/25 27/4
50/19 50/22 50/24 51/25 52/9 52/14 52/16 56/5 56/11 56/14
                                                               27/8 27/20 34/15 36/14 36/14 36/21 37/6 38/4 38/5 38/20 38/24
57/19 58/15 68/7 72/23 75/17 75/19 76/1 81/23 82/22 83/11
                                                               40/11 40/16 40/17 42/5 45/21 45/22 45/24 52/12 52/17 53/15
85/5 87/11 90/13 92/5 92/18 92/19 92/20 100/2 107/3 108/9
                                                               54/13 64/22 66/3 68/4 68/10 68/12 72/11 72/13 75/16 76/1
113/8 114/25 115/23 118/22 125/19 127/5 127/12 127/14
                                                               77/24 77/24 77/24 78/15 78/19 81/17 81/18 82/25 82/25 87/10
128/12 128/18 129/4 130/23 131/23 131/25 134/2 134/17
                                                               87/23 90/23 91/12 91/19 92/14 92/15 93/21 94/2 94/6 94/8
136/18 138/15 141/1 145/16 148/17 152/18 153/2 153/20
                                                               94/16 95/4 95/14 95/16 95/18 96/3 96/8 98/21 99/22 99/25
157/15 157/17 159/3 159/9 159/11 160/4 160/9 163/6 178/25
                                                               100/12 101/4 102/22 102/24 103/21 103/22 103/24 108/6
181/12 181/22 182/7 182/21 183/9
                                                               113/23 114/10 115/5 120/11 120/11 124/18 129/4 129/17
workable [1] 181/23
                                                               129/23 130/1 135/23 136/8 139/10 140/10 142/10 149/8 149/25
worked [4] 29/21 67/14 80/24 161/5
                                                               151/22 153/23 153/24 154/3 154/6 154/14 158/4 158/9 158/16
worker [1] 86/21
                                                               159/20 167/24 168/3 169/13 169/19 169/21 172/22 176/1 176/2
working [24] 14/25 16/25 19/9 21/23 30/11 31/7 33/25 34/12
                                                               176/17 177/1 177/4 177/22 179/20 180/10 180/11 180/17
37/24 39/22 48/6 58/21 72/25 81/17 86/7 86/11 120/22 127/14
```

180/22 181/10 181/20 181/24 182/15 182/20 182/22 183/10

Vour Honor (83) 1-55 S h1 1-520 S/23 9/10 11/13 19/15 19/24 Your Honor (83) 1-55 S h1 1-520 S/23 9/10 11/13 19/15 19/24 15/23 19/17 17/22 21/8 21/24 24/1 25/11 25/19 28/20 28/25 27/20 40/16 42/5 69/3 88/10 72/13 95/14 98/13 98/3 98/25 10/012 10/14 10/22 19/32 11/52 15/21 12/14 19/12/4 13/01 13/08 14/01 14/98 14/92 51/51/22 15/32/4 15/99 15/91 13/01 13/02 14/01 14/91 14/92 15/12 15/92/4 15/99 15/91 13/01 13/02 14/92 18/91 18/92 18/91 18/92		
Your Honor [63] 5/5 5/11 5/20 5/23 9/10 11/13 13/15 13/24 15/23 16/17 17/22 21/8 21/24 24/1 25/11 25/19 26/20 26/25 27/20 40/16 42/5 66/3 68/10 72/13 95/14 95/18 96/3 96/8 99/25 100/12 101/4 102/22 103/22 113/23 115/5 120/11 124/18 129/4 130/1 136/8 140/10 149/8 149/25 151/22 153/24 158/9 158/16 159/20 168/3 169/13 169/19 169/21 172/22 179/20 180/10 180/11 180/17 180/22 181/10 182/15 182/20 182/22 183/10 Your Honor's [3] 10/2 16/4 95/16 yourself [1] 5/9 yous [1] 46/12 youth [7] 15/3 43/18 46/8 50/23 112/19 153/2 153/3 YouTube [1] 155/25 Z zero [2] 162/11 162/14	Υ	
100/12 101/4 102/22 103/22 113/23 115/5 120/11 124/18 129/4 130/1 136/8 140/10 149/8 149/25 151/22 153/24 158/9 158/16 159/20 168/3 169/13 169/19 169/21 172/22 179/20 180/10 180/11 180/17 180/22 181/10 182/15 182/20 182/22 183/10 Your Honor's [3] 10/2 16/4 95/16 yourself [1] 5/9 yous [1] 46/12 youth [7] 15/3 43/18 46/8 50/23 112/19 153/2 153/3 YouTube [1] 155/25 Z zero [2] 162/11 162/14	your [1] 183/14 Your Honor [63] 5/5 5/11 5/20 5/23 9/10 11/13 13/15 13/24 15/23 16/17 17/22 21/8 21/24 24/1 25/11 25/19 26/20 26/25	
Your Honor's [3] 10/2 16/4 95/16 yourself [1] 5/9 yous [1] 46/12 youth [7] 15/3 43/18 46/8 50/23 112/19 153/2 153/3 YouTube [1] 155/25 Z zero [2] 162/11 162/14	100/12 101/4 102/22 103/22 113/23 115/5 120/11 124/18 129/4 130/1 136/8 140/10 149/8 149/25 151/22 153/24 158/9 158/16	
yous [1] 46/12 youth [7] 15/3 43/18 46/8 50/23 112/19 153/2 153/3 YouTube [1] 155/25 Z zero [2] 162/11 162/14	180/11 180/17 180/22 181/10 182/15 182/20 182/22 183/10 Your Honor's [3] 10/2 16/4 95/16	
Z zero [2] 162/11 162/14	yous [1] 46/12 youth [7] 15/3 43/18 46/8 50/23 112/19 153/2 153/3	
zero [2] 162/11 162/14		
	zero [2] 162/11 162/14	